

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
1	Pg. 12 of 222 Cl. 1.2 (xx)	Any other services that are not explicitly mentioned above but are necessary to carry out the GC assignment.	We believe that any such services that are not explicitly mentioned in the RFP/TOR shall be considered as a change in scope and accordingly be executed through contract amendment/modification on mutual agreement basis. Please Confirm.	Tender conditions prevail.
2	Pg. 35 of 222 NB (ii)	The Key Personnel (K1) are permitted to share their CVs with not more than 2 (two) Applicants. However, the Team Leader and Dy. Team Leader are not permitted to share their CVs with more than 1 (one) Applicant.	It is requested that K1 personnel, other than the Team Leader and Deputy Team Leader, may be permitted to provide consent/availability to any number of Bidders.	The clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1.
3	Pg. 63 of 222 Cl. 3.1.3 (1)	Relevant Experience of the Applicant: 30% of the maximum marks are awarded based on the number of Eligible Assignments, with a maximum of 6 Eligible Assignments . The remaining 70% marks are based on the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments and other similar work in the Metro Rail sector.	It is requested that the Authority may kindly consider awarding the full marks based on six Eligible Assignments , with proportionate marks for each assignment, instead of the existing 30%–70% marking methodology. This would ensure a clear, objective and transparent evaluation .	The clause is amended. Please see Addendum No 1.
4	Pg. 50 of 222 Cl. 2.14.4	If an individual Key Personnel makes a false averment regarding his qualification, experience or other particulars, or his commitment regarding availability for the Project is not fulfilled at any stage after signing of the Agreement, he shall be liable to be debarred for any future assignment of the Authority for a period of 5 (five) years from the date of notice to that effect from the Authority. The award of this Consultancy to the Applicant may also be liable to cancellation in such an event.	It is requested to kindly remove the provisions relating to cancellation of the consultancy contract due to false averments/commitments made by any expert which may not be under control of/directly attributable to the consultant.	Tender conditions prevail
5	Pg. 51 of 222 Cl. 2.14.6	In case any Key Personnel proposed by the Applicant in its Proposal is not an employee of the Applicant or its Associate or the consortium member, as the case may be,	Through these provisions we understand that the consultant is allowed to propose list of key personnel who are not the full time permanent employees of the Applicant or its Associate or the consortium member on	Yes.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
		then the Applicant shall furnish an Undertaking - 2 in relation to such Key Personnel in the format specified in Form 17 of Appendix I hereof.	the date of proposal subject to submission of Undertaking- 2. Please confirm.	
6	Pg. 55 of 222 Pg. 154 of 222 Cl. 2.16.7, read with Form of Contract Cl. 6.1.4,	The rates quoted by the GC in its Financial Proposal shall be firm for the first one year of the Assignment Period. Subsequent to the first year of the Assignment Period and for the remainder of the initial 3 year term of the Assignment Period, the rate paid by the Authority to the GC shall be escalated every year at 5% on a non-compounded basis on the rate for the first year of the Assignment Period. In case the Services are required to be provided beyond the contemplated 3 year Assignment Period, the rate to be paid to the GC by the Authority shall similarly be escalated at the rate of 5% per annum on non-compounded basis on the rate for the first year of the Assignment Period. The Authority shall in no event be required to pay the GC any sum in excess of the aforesaid amounts.	As the period of assignment is 48 months (4 years), kindly modify the assignment period in the clause to 4 years. Kindly confirm the escalation formula applicable for the 4-year assignment and any extensions. It is requested that annual escalation be linked to WPI/CPI or be applied on a compounded basis.	Clause amended. Please refer Addendum No 1 The clause is amended. Please refer to the Addendum No 1.
7	Pg. 56 of 222 Cl. 2.20.1,	The Selected Applicant's Bid Security shall be returned, upon the Applicant signing the Agreement and completing the deliverables assigned to it for the first 6(six) months of the Consultancy in accordance with the provisions thereof	We understand that where the Consultant opts to furnish the Performance Security in the form of a Bank Guarantee in accordance with Clause 2.30 (Page 62 of 222), the Bid Security shall be released at the time of execution of the Consultancy Agreement upon submission of the Performance Bank Guarantee. Kindly confirm.	Please read the RfP carefully.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
8	Pg. 85 of 222 TOR 1.2,	The scope of work includes but is not limited to works and procurement contract(s) including preparation of tender documents (consisting of pre-qualification criteria, evaluation criteria, specifications, BOQ, Special Conditions, etc) and rendering any other assistance to HAML during tendering process and finalization of the tenders. It also includes the services of Project Management Consultants during execution of the Project.	We understand that any services not expressly specified in the RFP/TOR or any additional services beyond the scope shall be treated as a change in scope and shall be carried out only through a corresponding contract amendment/modification, subject to mutual agreement of the parties. Kindly confirm.	Tender conditions prevail
9	1–4, 77, 84 1.1.1, 1.2(ii), TOR 1.1, 3.2	Location and Extent of 1.6 km Underground Alignment	The RFP indicates approximately 61.4 km of elevated corridor and 1.6 km of underground section; however, the limits, locations, and construction methodology of the underground works have not been defined. As these elements materially influence the Consultant's methodology, effort estimation, and specialist resource deployment, we respectfully request the Employer to provide/confirm the underground chainages, station locations, transition sections, likely construction methodology, and available geotechnical/ alignment information (KMZ/KML File).	Information available will be provided. You are requested to collect it from HAML office, Metro Bhavan during working hours. Please refer Clause.1.9
10	Pg. 133 of 223 Form of Agreement, Cl. 1.12 (I),	the Key Personnel with estimated Man Months of 12 (twelve) or more are full time permanent employees of the General Consultant {or of its Associates or its Consortium Members, as the case may be}	We understand that the consultant is allowed to propose list of key personnel who are not the full-time permanent employees of the Applicant or its Associate or the consortium member on the date of proposal subject to submission of Undertaking-2, in terms of Cl. 2.14.6, (Pg. 51 of 222). Please confirm.	The clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1.
11	Pg. 136 of 222 Form of Agreement, Cl. 2.8,	Provisions for Suspension of Agreement by the Consultant not given in the RFP	It is requested to kindly include the provisions related to suspension by the consultant also. Kindly provide that where services are suspended for reasons not attributable to GC, all deployed personnel	Tender conditions prevail

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
			costs, office costs and mobilisation costs shall remain payable by the Authority.	
12	Pg. 144 of 222 Form of Agreement, Cl. 3.4.3,	the General Consultant, with respect to damage caused to the Authority's property, shall not be liable to the Authority: (i) for any indirect or consequential loss or damage; and (ii) for any direct loss or damage that exceeds the aggregate of (a) the Agreement Value set forth in Clause 6.1.2 of this Agreement, and (b) the proceeds the General Consultant may be entitled to receive from any insurance maintained by the General Consultant to cover such a liability in accordance with Clause 3.5.2.	We request the client to kindly revise the given provisions for Limitation of Consultant's liability in line with the other SRFPs for similar projects as under: the General Consultant, with respect to damage caused to the Authority's property, shall not be liable to the Authority: (i) for any indirect or consequential loss or damage; and (ii) for any direct loss or damage that exceeds (a) the Agreement Value set forth in Clause 6.1.2 of this Agreement, or (b) the proceeds the General Consultant may be entitled to receive from any insurance maintained by the General Consultant to cover such a liability in accordance with Clause 3.5.2 whichever is higher. Further, in absence of the provisions related to the liability period applicable to the consultant, we understand that the same shall be up to the date of completion of the consultant's assignments under the project. Please confirm.	Tender conditions prevail
13	Pg. 144 of 222 Form of Agreement, Cl. 3.5.2 (a),	Higher of Third Party liability insurance as required under Applicable Laws and the Third Party liability insurance with a minimum coverage of Rs. 1 cr. (Rs. one crore) for the period of this Agreement	It is requested to kindly replace the provisions given under sub clause 3.5.2 (a) with the following provisions: "Third Party liability insurance as required under Applicable Laws".	Tender conditions prevail
14	Pg. 151 of 222 Form of Agreement, Cl. 4.9,	Requested to kindly refer the RFP	We understand that the provisions related to the Liquidated Damages (LD) as given under Cl. 4.9 shall not be applicable in case the delay/non deployment are due to exceptional circumstances and beyond the control of the consultant.	Tender conditions prevail

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
15	Pg. 154 of 222 Form of Agreement, Cl. 6.3 (b),	The General Consultant shall be paid for its Services as per the Payment Schedule at Annex-6 of this Agreement, subject to the General Consultant fulfilling the following conditions: (i) No payment shall be due for the next stage till the General Consultant completes to the satisfaction of the Authority the work pertaining to the preceding stage. (ii) The Authority shall pay to the General Consultant, only the undisputed amount.	The contract being a time-based contract and not the deliverables based and further, the payment conditions being based on man-months allocation, provisions prescribed under sub clause 6.3(b)(i) seems irrelevant and hence it is requested to kindly remove the same from Clause 6.3. Further, kindly provide that invoices shall be deemed approved if no comments are communicated within 30 days and payment shall be released within 30 days thereafter.	Tender conditions prevail Tender conditions prevail
16	Pg. 156 of 222 Form of Agreement, Cl. 7.2.3,	The Authority shall have the right to invoke and appropriate the proceeds of the Performance Security, in whole or in part, without notice to the General Consultant in the event of breach of this Agreement or for recovery of damages or liquidated damages, as the case may be, as specified in this Clause 7.2.	Please modify the sentence in a way that a notice shall be served to the Consultant before invoking and appropriating the proceeds of performance security.	Tender conditions prevail
17	Pg. 155 of 222 Cl 6.3,	(c) Interest at the rate of 6% (six per cent) per annum shall become payable as from the Due Date on any undisputed amount due by, but not paid on or before, such Due Date. (e) Any delay by the General Consultant in reimbursement by the due date shall attract simple interest @ 10% (ten percent) per annum.	The RFP provides for interest @ 6% p.a. on delayed payments by the Authority, whereas delayed reimbursements by the Consultant attract interest @ 10% p.a. Kindly consider applying a uniform rate of interest to both Parties on the principle of mutuality and equitable risk allocation	Tender conditions prevail
18	146–147 & 157 Agreement 6.3(b) /	Monthly Man-Month Payment vs Stage Payment	Annex-6 specifies a time-based contract with monthly payment against actual Man-Months utilized, whereas Clause 6.3(b)(i) states that no payment for the next “stage” is due until the preceding stage is completed to	Tender conditions prevail

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
	Annex-6		HAML's satisfaction. We understand that monthly payment for actual approved manpower deployment shall not be withheld on account of completion of an undefined preceding stage on part of the contractor. Kindly confirm our understanding is correct and please clarify the stages.	
19	148 Agreement 7.2.2	Liquidated Damages on “Individual Component”	LD at 0.05% of the Agreement Value per week, subject to a maximum of 10%, is stated to apply to delays in the Services or any ‘individual component’ thereof. Bidders kindly request clarification of the term ‘individual component’. Further, it is requested that LD be applicable only to delays solely attributable to the GC resulting in failure to achieve a defined contractual milestone or deliverable, and not to delays arising from HAML, contractors, statutory authorities, unavailability of work fronts/data, force majeure events, or other causes beyond the GC’s reasonable control.	Tender conditions prevail
20	89 TOR 3.5.1(1)	GC Liability for Contractor Performance	TOR states that although correctness, completeness and statutory compliance remain with the Contractors, “GC will be responsible for any deficiency in the performance of the contractors.” Kindly clarify that GC’s responsibility shall be limited to deficiency/negligence in performance of its own supervision and consultancy obligations, and that GC shall not assume the contractual performance liability of EPC/Works Contractors.	Tender conditions prevail
21	4, 78, 82 1.2(xvi)–(xvii), TOR 1.5.1, 3(xxxvi)	5D-BIM Platform & Cyber-Security Audit Cost Responsibility	GC is responsible for validating data for the 5D-BIM digital project management platform and carrying out cyber-security audits, while the RFP does not specify the platform/CDE, software licences or cyber-audit accreditation/extent. Kindly confirm whether the 5D-BIM/CDE platform and enterprise licences will be provided by HAML, and define the required cyber-security audit standard, frequency and whether any	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
			mandatory independent / empanelled third-party audit cost is to be borne by GC or it will be through the respective EPC Contractor/HAML.	
22	Page No. 26, Clause No. 2.2	2.2.1 Applicants must read carefully the minimum conditions of eligibility (the “Conditions of Eligibility”) provided herein. Proposals of only those Applicants who satisfy the Conditions of Eligibility will be considered for evaluation. The Technical Capacity and Financial Capacity mentioned hereunder, should be satisfied either by the Applicant itself or it may satisfy the same along with its Associate(s), only. In case the Applicant is satisfying the said Conditions of Eligibility along with its Associate(s), it shall furnish a certificate in format prescribed in Form 14 of Appendix- I. The Team Leader and Dy. Team Leader as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RfP, to be offered in the Proposal shall be full time permanent employees of the Applicant or its Associates. Where the Applicant is a consortium, the Applicant may also offer the Team Leader and Dy. Team Leader of its other consortium members (but not the Associates of such other consortium members).	We kindly request you to delete this clause as it is challenging to have the experts available in-house, these are typically project-based roles. Most experts are actively engaged in ongoing assignments, and including their CVs for new bids may either necessitate their replacement in current projects or could result in non-deployment penalties in new assignments. The requirement of key staff and their experience and qualification vary from Project to Project. The requirement in the present RFP is specific with markings and hence its not always we have people inhouse. The Consultant will ensure employment of such key expert post bid for all positions. In the alternative, we request the Authority to kindly permit the Applicant to have any two (2) of the key personnel proposed among the required 15 CVs as full-time permanent employees of the Applicant or its Associates, while permitting the remaining key personnel to be engaged/appointed by the Consultant after award of the assignment. We further request that no marks or evaluation penalty be imposed in respect of the remaining key personnel who are proposed to be engaged after award, provided that the Consultant demonstrates their availability and ensures their deployment in accordance with the requirements of the RfP. This approach would provide the Authority with adequate assurance regarding the Consultant's ability to mobilize the required experts, while also enabling participation by qualified consultants who may not	The clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
			necessarily have all project-specific specialists on their permanent payroll at the bidding stage. We therefore request the Authority to kindly consider suitably amending Clause 2.2.1 to provide flexibility for engagement of specialized key personnel post-award.	
23	Page No. 51, Clause No. 2.14.6	For the purpose of Financial Proposal, Applicant shall include an estimated 12 (twelve) technical visits of two representatives of the Authority each time for interaction and exposure distributed between Europe and Asia during the entire Assignment Period (minimum 175 man days). The cost of travel, boarding and lodging in this connection will have to be borne by GC.	We request the Authority may kindly specify the proposed countries/cities for the technical visits, along with an indicative duration/schedule, to enable bidders to accurately assess and quote the associated travel, boarding, lodging, and logistical costs in the Financial Proposal.	Tender conditions prevail.
24	Page No. 55, Clause No. 2.16.7	2.16.7 The rates quoted by the GC in its Financial Proposal shall be firm for the first one year of the Assignment Period. After the first year of the Assignment Period and for the remainder of the initial 3 year term of the Assignment Period, the rate paid by the Authority to the GC shall be escalated every year at 5% on a non-compounded basis on the rate for the first year of the Assignment Period. In case the Services are required to be provided beyond the contemplated 3 year Assignment Period, the rate to be paid to the GC by the Authority shall similarly be escalated at the rate of 5% per annum on non-compounded basis on the rate for the first year of the Assignment Period. The Authority shall in no event be required to pay the GC any sum in excess of the aforesaid amounts.	It is requested that the annual escalation may kindly be considered at 5% on a compounded basis instead of a non-compounded basis. Considering the long duration of the Assignment Period and the continuous increase in manpower costs, statutory compliances, inflation, administrative overheads, and operational expenses, compounded escalation would more appropriately reflect the actual increase in project execution costs over time. This revision would help maintain financial sustainability throughout the contract period and ensure uninterrupted deployment of qualified resources and quality services during the entire Assignment Period, including any extended duration of services.	The clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
25	Page No.60; Clause No. 2.25.2	The Authority expects all the Key Personnel (K1) to be available during first year of implementation of the Agreement. The Authority will not consider substitution of Key Personnel (K1) except for reasons of any incapacity or due to health. Such substitution shall ordinarily be limited to one Key Personnel (K1) subject to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel being provided to the satisfaction of the Authority. As a condition to such substitution, a sum equal to 10% (ten per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel (K1) for the first three months from the date of such substitution of Key Personnel shall be deducted from the payments due to the General Consultant. In the case of a second substitution hereunder, such deduction shall be 20% (twenty per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel (K1) for the first three months from the date of such substitution. Any further substitution may lead to disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement.	The deduction of remuneration for substitution of key personnel is too high; we recommend amending this clause as: If experts are replaced after giving written notice of 3 months, with equal or more qualifications (than the qualification/ experience, etc. specified for the position), then there shall be no penalty. (Ref: Recently submitted Ahmedabad Metro GC)	Tender conditions prevail
26	Page No.60; Clause No. 2.25.2	Substitution of the Team Leader will not normally be considered and may lead to disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement	This is a binding as the Expert proposed be it an employee/ Consultant / In house expert can deny / refuse during the time of joining. There is no clause of bond/ binding the Consultant can impose on any employee or external for deployment. However the Bidder Consultant will take a consent from the candidate for willing to join post bid.	Tender conditions prevail

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
27	CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION, 3.1.3, page 63	Relevant Experience of the Applicant 30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and (ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector.	In this regard, it is requested that full marks under the “number of Eligible Assignments” criteria may kindly be awarded to bidders possessing six (6) eligible projects as these numbers are sufficient enough to justify the experience of the eligible bidder to execute this nature of project, also as the present definition creates ambiguity regarding the exact number of projects required for securing full marks. Also please clarify the minimum eligible assignment to qualify, and the bifurcation of Marks. Based on our understanding of the eligibility requirements, we understand that the minimum requirement is: At least one similar work of value INR 150 crore or more; or At least two similar works, each of value INR 80 crore or more; or At least three similar works, each of value INR 60 crore or more. Further, if the above constitutes the minimum eligibility requirement, we understand that the number of assignments required to achieve full marks for six (6) Eligible Assignments would be as follows: 3 projects, where one qualifying similar work of INR 150 crore or more is submitted; 4 projects, where two qualifying similar works of INR 80 crore or more each are submitted; or 5 projects, where three qualifying similar works of INR 60 crore or more each are submitted.	The clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
			We request the Authority to kindly confirm whether the above understanding is correct. Further, the parameters “comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments” and “other similar works in the Metro Rail sector” are broad and subjective in nature, and no clear methodology or measurable benchmarks for evaluation have been defined in the tender document. This may lead to varying interpretations during technical evaluation. It is therefore requested that a clear and transparent evaluation methodology, including defined criteria/weightage for assessing project size, quality, and similarity of Metro Rail works, may kindly be specified in the tender document to ensure uniform understanding among all bidders and a fair evaluation process.	
28	CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION, 3.1.3, page 63	Relevant Experience of Key Personnel 30% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments as per the scoring criteria mentioned in the clause) (as specified below) the respective Key Personnel has worked on. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments undertaken by the Key Personnel; and (ii) other similar work in Metro Rail sectors. The criteria for scoring are given below:	In this regard, it is requested that full marks under the “number of Eligible Assignments” criteria may kindly be awarded to experts possessing six (6) eligible projects as these numbers are sufficient enough to justify their experience to execute this nature of project. And we request you to delete 70% criteria for the experts. Also please clarify the minimum eligible assignment to qualify, and the bifurcation of Marks. Request to kindly consider Assignment duration of 2 Years like someone who has worked for 4 Years the number of eligible assignments will be considered as 2 numbers. Kindly Clarify the term “the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments” as this is subjective and ambiguous.	The clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
			(ii) other similar work in Metro Rail sectors – Please consider the Client / Contractors / Manufacturer experience also along with “General Consultancy (GC) / Independent Engineer (IE) / Project Management Consultancy (PMC) covering the scope of services similar to those required to be rendered under this RfP and works pertaining to Civil & System works of Metro Rail based urban mass rapid transit system / Railway System.	
29	RFP Page No. 26	(I) Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Personnel (K1) whose CVs will be evaluated for bidding: Sr. No. 14. Chief Alignment and Project Coordination Expert Graduate in Civil Engineering with Post Graduation in Transportation Planning/ Transportation Engineering Shall have total experience of 25 years. Out of which minimum 10 years of experience in preparation of FSRs/DPRs for rail based urban mass transit systems including alignment design, alternative analysis, travel demand modeling, multi modal integration, project structuring, coordination of various project activities. Shall have been Team Leader or one position below in preparation of at least three DPRs/FSRs for Metro Rail based urban mass rapid transit systems	We request the Client to kindly consider a Graduate Degree in Civil Engineering as an acceptable qualification in lieu of making Post-Graduation in Transportation Planning/Transportation Engineering mandatory, as the existing requirement may unnecessarily restrict the pool of suitably qualified and experienced professionals. We further request the Client to kindly consider relaxing the experience requirement to: “Shall have total experience of 20 years, out of which a minimum of 7 years of experience in rail-based passenger systems.” We also request the Client to kindly relax the requirement regarding DPR/FSR experience to: “Shall have experience in the proposed position or one position below in the preparation of at least one DPR/FSR for Metro Rail/Rail-based Urban Mass Rapid Transit Systems.” Further, DPR/FSR assignments may not always have the project cost presented in the specific manner or format stipulated under the ‘Eligible Assignment’ criteria. Consequently, it may be difficult for otherwise relevant and comparable assignments to satisfy the	The clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
			specified requirements. Similarly, there may be instances where no project directly matching the defined ‘Similar Work’ criteria is available for consideration under the marking/scoring criteria. In view of the above, we request the Client to kindly clarify how relevant DPR/FSR assignments and projects that do not have a directly comparable ‘Similar Work’ assignment, or whose project cost is not presented in the prescribed format, will be considered for eligibility and/or scoring purposes.	
30	RFP Page No. 26	(I) Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Personnel (K1) whose CVs will be evaluated for bidding: Sr. No. 14. Chief Operations and Maintenance Expert (K1) Graduate in Electrical Engineering	We request the Client to kindly consider the educational qualification as “Graduate in Electrical / Electronics / Mechanical / Production Engineering or any other relevant Engineering discipline” in lieu of restricting the qualification exclusively to Electrical Engineering. This would provide a wider pool of suitably qualified and experienced professionals while ensuring that the proposed expert possesses the requisite technical knowledge and experience relevant to Operations and Maintenance of rail-based urban mass transit systems.	Tender conditions prevail.
31	RFP Page No. 23 - 24	(I) Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Personnel (K1) whose CVs will be evaluated for bidding: Sr. No. 10. Chief Quality Assurance & Quality Control Expert (K1) Sr. No. 11. Chief Safety Expert (HSE) (K1) Relevant project experience means working experience with client / contractor while implementation of a Metro Rail / Railway	However, under the scoring criteria, it appears that only experience on Metro/Railway System Projects is considered for awarding marks, whereas experience on flyovers/expressways in urban areas, which is expressly included within the definition of relevant project experience, does not appear to be given corresponding consideration. In view of the above, we request the Client to kindly clarify how the definition of relevant project experience is to be correlated with the scoring criteria, and confirm whether experience on flyovers/expressways in urban	Tender conditions prevail. The clause is self-explanatory

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
		System projects / flyovers in urban areas or with/as consultant providing GC/PMC/IE services while implementation of a Metro Rail / Railway System projects/ flyovers / expressways in urban areas.	areas will also be considered for awarding marks. We further request the Client to clarify whether such experience, where it meets the specified eligibility requirements, will be considered equivalent/relevant for the purpose of awarding full marks, or whether a separate scoring mechanism will be applicable for such projects.	
32	CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION, RFP age No. 55, 3.1.3	The scoring criteria to be used for evaluation shall be as follows., Item No. 3. Relevant Experience of Key Personnel 30% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments as per the scoring criteria mentioned in the clause) (as specified below) the respective Key Personnel has worked on. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments undertaken by the Key Personnel; and (ii) other similar work in Metro Rail sectors. The criteria for scoring are given below:	We request the Client to kindly consider replacing the term “Eligible Assignments” with “Relevant Projects” in the evaluation criteria in line with the terminology used under the CV requirements. Using a consistent terminology throughout the RFP will avoid ambiguity and ensure uniform interpretation during the evaluation of Key Personnel experience. Kindly Consider	The clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1.
33	Clause 2.2.2 (D), S.No.7, Pg 22	Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Personnel (K1) whose CVs will be evaluated for bidding, page 30 Chief Structural Engineer - Elevated & UG Structures (K1), Graduate in Civil preferably Post -	We request the Client to kindly consider and allow experience gained on DDC (Detailed Design Consultant) projects as relevant project experience, as DDC assignments involve detailed design, structural analysis, preparation/review of structural drawings and other activities directly relevant to the scope of the proposed position. Further, we understand that a candidate holding a	The Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
		Graduation in Structures Relevant project experience means working experience on GC / PMC / IE for detailed designing/ proof checking/ reviewing of structural drawings for elevated viaduct / underground tunnel / stations for Metro Rail / Railway System projects.	Graduate Degree in Civil Engineering will be considered eligible and will be awarded the applicable/full marks, subject to fulfilment of the prescribed relevant experience criteria, since Post-Graduation in Structural Engineering is stated as “preferable” and not mandatory. We also understand that the educational qualification does not carry any separate weightage/marks under the CV evaluation/scoring criteria. Accordingly, a candidate with a Graduate Degree in Civil Engineering, without a Post-Graduation in Structural Engineering, should not be disadvantaged in scoring, provided the candidate fulfils all the stipulated relevant experience requirements.	Tender conditions prevail Tender conditions prevail
34	Page No. 32 - 33; 2.2.3,	The Financial Capacity of the Applicant shall be certified by its Statutory Auditor in the form prescribed in Form 5 of Appendix- I hereof. In the event that the Applicant does not have a statutory auditor, the Financial Capacity shall be certified by the Chartered Accountant that ordinarily audits the annual accounts of the Applicant. The Applicant shall also enclose with its Proposal, certificate(s) from the client(s) certifying that the Applicant or its Associate, as the case may be, had undertaken the Eligible Assignments, the date of commencement and completion of the Eligible Assignment and the fee received in respect thereof. All the Key Personnel (K1) shall also enclose with its Proposal, proof of qualification from a recognized University, certificate(s) from the	We request the Client to kindly reconsider this clause, as it may not be practical for candidates to obtain completion certificates from their respective clients in the format and with all the details required by HAML. In most cases, respective clients do not issue completion certificates containing specific information. Therefore, we kindly request the Client to consider a self-declaration Certificate or Employment Certificate issued by the candidate’s respective employer as an alternative supporting document for verifying the candidate’s experience and employment details.	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
		client(s) certifying that the Key Personnel (K1) had undertaken the Eligible Assignments, the date of commencement and completion of the Eligible Assignment and the fee received in respect thereof by the client whom the Key Personnel (K1) has worked with.		
35		Mobilization advance	We request for a provision of an interest-free Mobilization Advance equivalent to 10% of the contract value. The Mobilization Advance would help ensure positive cash flow during the initial stages of the project and facilitate timely mobilization of resources, deployment of key personnel, establishment of project infrastructure, and smooth execution of the assignment.	Tender conditions prevail
36	2.14.3, page 50	Technical Proposal 2.14.3, page 50 Failure to comply with the requirements spelt out in this Clause 2.14 shall make the Proposal liable to be rejected and the Authority shall be entitled to forfeit Bid Security.	We request to kindly delete this clause.	Tender conditions prevail
37	3.1 Evaluation of Technical Proposals page 61	Relevant Experience of the Applicant	We understand that, for the purpose of evaluation of eligible assignments and relevant experience of the Applicant, the credentials of a Parent Company may be considered for its Subsidiary, or vice versa, only in cases where both entities are participating together as part of the same Joint Venture / Consortium in the subject bid. Accordingly, it is requested that the Client kindly confirm whether the above understanding is correct.	The credentials of a Parent Company will be considered for its Subsidiary, or vice versa, only in cases where both entities are participating together as part of the same Joint Venture / Consortium in this bid.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
38	page 30	Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Personnel (K1) whose CVs will be evaluated for bidding, page 30 Chief Structural Engineer - Elevated & UG Structures (K1), Relevant project experience means working experience on GC / PMC / IE for detailed designing/ proof checking/ reviewing of structural drawings for elevated viaduct / underground tunnel / stations for Metro Rail / Railway System projects.	We request you to kindly allow DDC projects experience also.	The Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1.
39	Clause 2.3 Performance BG	The General Consultant shall be required to furnish a performance security of a value equivalent to 3% (three percent) of the Agreement Value. The Authority shall retain by way of performance security, 3% (three percent) of all the amounts due and payable to the General Consultant. The General Consultant may, in lieu of retention of the amounts as referred above, furnish a Bank Guarantee.	We request you to kindly allow “Insurance surety Bond in Performance BG as being allowed in EMD.	Clause is self-explanatory Please refer Annexure II to Addendum No 1 for Proforma of Insurance surety Bond
40	2.1 Scope of Proposal, page 17	The members having less than 26% participation will be termed as non-substantial member and will not be considered for technical and financial evaluation	We request to kindly restrict the participation of Non-Substantial/Associate Members to a maximum of 10% only. Further, considering the complexity, scale, and technical requirements of the project, it is requested that each consortium partner should independently possess relevant experience in similar assignments. Accordingly, the eligibility criteria may kindly be revised as under:	The clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
			Lead Member: Should have successfully completed at least one “Similar Work” in India of value not less than INR 100 Crore as Lead/single entity. Each Consortium Member: Should have successfully completed at least one “Similar Work” in India of value not less than INR 60 Crore each irrespective of their % participation.	
41	Clause 1.7.1, Page 14	For the purposes of evaluation of Technical Proposal of the Applicants, US \$ conversion rate shall be as per the forex rate of Reserve Bank of India (RBI) prevailing 28 days prior to the PDD. In case of any other currency, the same shall first be converted to US\$ as per the forex rate of RBI as prevailing 28 days prior to the PDD and the amount so derived in US\$ shall be converted into Rupees at the aforesaid rate.	The RFP does not specify the exact reference date or methodology for determination of the applicable exchange rate for conversion of foreign currency values. In this regard, it is requested that the Client kindly confirm a single standard reference date along with a uniform exchange rate methodology to be considered for evaluation of the Consultant's work experience and financial capacity. Further, it is requested that a specific cut-off date for applicability of the exchange rate may kindly be fixed prior to the bid submission date. This will help avoid repeated revision and resubmission of supporting financial documents in the event of extension of the bid submission deadline. The above request is submitted for ensuring clarity, consistency, and uniformity in bid evaluation for all applicants. Kindly consider and confirm.	Tender conditions prevail
42	Appendix-1, Form-8, Page 193	Certificate from the Statutory Auditor	As obtaining certificates from the Statutory Auditor is often a time-consuming process and may lead to practical difficulties within the bid submission timelines, it is requested that the Client kindly permit submission	Agreed.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
			of certification(s) from a practicing Chartered Accountant (CA). The certificate may be accepted on the CA's official letterhead, duly signed and stamped, along with a valid UDIN number, for the purpose of compliance with the subject forms.	
43	Cl. 2.16.7 of 2.16 Submission of Proposal Page no. 47	Cl.no. 2.16.7 Submission of Proposal The rates quoted by the GC in its Financial Proposal shall be firm for the first one year of the Assignment Period. Subsequent to the first year of the Assignment Period and for the remainder of the initial 3 years term of the Assignment Period, the rate paid by the Authority to the GC shall be escalated every year at 5% on a non-compounded basis on the rate for the first year of the Assignment Period. The Authority shall in no event be required to pay the GC any sum in excess of the aforesaid amounts.	As per Clause 2.16.7, “Submission of Proposal,” a non-compounded escalation of 5% on the first-year rates is applicable after the first year of the Assignment Period for the balance 3-year Assignment Period and any extension thereafter. In this regard, it is requested to consider a compounded escalation of 5% per annum after the first year, for the balance 3-year Assignment Period and any extension thereafter, with the compounded escalation of 5% for each subsequent year being applied to the rates applicable during the immediately preceding year.	The clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1.
44	Cl. 1.4 Issue of RfP Document Page no.5	1.4 Issue of RfP Document This RfP document will be issued to Applicants by the Authority from Aug 12, 2026 on payment of non-refundable processing fee of Rs.2,00,000 (Rupees Two lakh only) in the form of a demand draft or banker's cheque and the non-refundable processing fee shall be furnished by the Applicant along with the submission of the Proposal.	As per Clause 1.4 of the RFP, the Applicant is required to pay a non-refundable processing fee of ₹2,00,000 (Rupees Two Lakh only). However, in line with the prevailing practice followed in RFPs issued by various Government Metro companies in India, such fees are generally in the range of ₹20000-₹25000. Accordingly, the Client is requested to consider reducing the non-refundable processing fee.	Tender conditions prevail

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority														
45	Cl. 2.25 Substitution of Key Personnel Page 52 &53	2.25 Substitution of Key Personnel 2.25.1 The Authority will not normally consider any request of the Selected Applicant for substitution of Key Personnel (K1) satisfaction of the Authority. 2.25.2 (i) The Authority expects all the Key Personnel (K1) to be available during first year of implementation of the Agreement. Any further substitution may lead to disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement. (ii) Substitution of Key Personnel (K1) when he is working with the Lead Member,, will not be accepted under any circumstances. (iii) Substitution of Key Personnel (K1) can be permitted after first year of Agreement,, for every substitution exceeding the limit of two Key Personnel.	May please permit substitution of Key Personnel (K1) without any restriction beyond the reasonable control of General Consultant.	Tender conditions prevail														
46	Cl. 2.2.2 (D)(II) Page No. 27 to 31	Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of K2 experts whose CVs will not be evaluated for bidding but need to be approved by HAML before mobilization: <table><tr><th>Sl no</th><th>Position</th><th>Minimum Qualifications in the relevant field</th><th>Overall experience in years</th><th>Minimum years of relevant / specific experience</th><th>Area of Expertise</th><th>Estimated months</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>Senior Contract Expert (K2)</td><td>Graduate in Civil Engineering</td><td>15</td><td>5</td><td>15+ years in contract management. Out of which minimum 5 years must be in railway or railway related industry.</td><td>36</td></tr></table>	Sl no	Position	Minimum Qualifications in the relevant field	Overall experience in years	Minimum years of relevant / specific experience	Area of Expertise	Estimated months	1	Senior Contract Expert (K2)	Graduate in Civil Engineering	15	5	15+ years in contract management. Out of which minimum 5 years must be in railway or railway related industry.	36	As per the given table of referred clause of RFP, Total experiences and Area of the expertise are same for the most of the K2 positions. It is requested to consider the area of expertise as similar to the relevant experience (i.e. 5 Years instead of 15+ years and 10+ years) for all the K2 Positions.	Tender conditions prevail
Sl no	Position	Minimum Qualifications in the relevant field	Overall experience in years	Minimum years of relevant / specific experience	Area of Expertise	Estimated months												
1	Senior Contract Expert (K2)	Graduate in Civil Engineering	15	5	15+ years in contract management. Out of which minimum 5 years must be in railway or railway related industry.	36												
47	Cl. 3.1.4 (b) Page no. 62	3.1.4 Eligible Assignments b) "Similar Works" means, "General	In reference to Clause 3.1.4 (b) of RFP, we understand that Railway System (i.e., "rail based urban mass rapid	Tender conditions prevail														

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
		Consultancy (GC) / Independent Engineer (IE) / Project Management Consultancy (PMC) covering the scope of services similar to those required to be rendered under this RfP and works pertaining to Civil & System works of Metro Rail based urban mass rapid transit system / Railway System (i.e., “rail based urban mass rapid transit system”) involving the work.....during last 10 (ten) years ending in March 2026 / Dec 2025”.	transit system”) covers experience in MRTS/RRTS/HSR/LRT Projects.	
48	Cl. 2.14.2 (k) Page no. 42	2.14.2 While submitting the Technical Proposal, the Applicant shall, in particular, ensure that..... k) no Key Personnel should have attained the age of 70 (seventy-five) years at the time of submitting the proposal	As per the cl. 2.14.2 (k), no Key Personnel should have attained the age of 70 (seventy-five) years at the time of submitting the proposal In view of mobilization of best suitable and efficient experts; it is requested to kindly consider 65 years as maximum age for the Key Personnel.	Tender conditions prevail.
49	Annex 6- Payment Schedule Cl No. 6.3 (b) Page no. 157	Annex -6 The payments shall be made to the General Consultant as per the Man-Months rate as the contract for appointment of General Consultant is time based. The actual payments shall be made as per the actual Man-Months utilized on monthly basis. 6.3 (b) The General Consultant shall be paid for its Services as per the Payment Schedule at Annex-6 of this Agreement, subject to the General Consultant fulfilling the following conditions: (i) No payment shall be due for the next	As per Annex-6 (Payment Schedule) of RFP, Payments shall be made to the General Consultant as per the Man-Months rate as the contract is time based. The actual payments shall be made as per actual Man-Months utilized on monthly basis. However, Clause 6.3(b) states: 'No payment shall be due for the next stage till the General Consultant completes to the satisfaction of the Authority the work pertaining to the preceding stage.' These two provisions appear contradictory. Please clarify whether the contract is purely time-based (monthly man-month invoicing) or deliverable / stage-based. If it is deliverable based, please provide the delivery and stage wise payment	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
	Page no. 146	stage till the General Consultant completes to the satisfaction of the Authority the work pertaining to the preceding stage. The Authority shall pay to the General Consultant, only the undisputed amount.	schedule.	
50	Cl. 2.14.6 Page No. 43	2.14.6 The proposed Consultancy Team shall be composed of experts (K1) and specialists (K2) In case the Authority provides any alternative technical solutions as per Clause 1.6.4 of MSS as ‘proven in service’ which is acceptable to GC, then such system where the technology is in use shall be got verified by the representatives of the Authority. The GC shall be required to arrange for technical visits for the representatives of the Authority to different Metro Rail systems across the globe for interaction and exposure to latest technology. These visits shall be spread over the total Assignment Period and shall include all the aspects of Metro Rail system from foundation to completion and operation. For the purpose of Financial Proposal, Applicant shall include an estimated 12 (twelve) technical visits of two representatives of the Authority each time for interaction and exposure distributed between Europe and Asia during the entire Assignment Period (minimum 175 man days). The cost of travel, boarding and lodging in this connection will have to be borne by GC.	The Financial Proposal requires inclusion of the cost for 12 International technical visits (2 HAML representatives per visit, minimum 175 man-days) to Metro Rail systems in Europe and Asia. Kindly clarify whether the cost of these visits will be reimbursed on an actual basis against supporting documents, or whether the Applicant is required to include a lump-sum estimate in the Financial Proposal. In case the actual cost of the visits exceeds the amount estimated in the Financial Proposal, kindly clarify whether the Authority will reimburse the additional cost, or if the same is to be borne by the General Consultant.	Tender conditions prevail
51	Cl.	Page no. 27–31	Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise	Tender conditions

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
	2.2.2(D)(II) – K2 Experts		of K2 experts whose CVs will not be evaluated for bidding but need to be approved by HAML before mobilization. Kindly clarify whether replacement of K2 experts during execution with equivalent or better experts shall be permitted with prior approval of HAML	prevail
52	Cl. 2.25.2 (i) Page no. 52	2.25 Substitution of Key Personnel 2.25.2(i) The Authority expects all the Key Personnel (K1) to be available during first year of implementation of the Agreement. The Authority will not consider substitution of Key Personnel (K1) except for reasons of any incapacity or due to health. Such substitution shall ordinarily be limited to one Key Personnel (K1) subject to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel being provided to the satisfaction of the Authority. As a condition to such substitution, a sum equal to 10% (ten per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel (K1) for the first three months from the date of such substitution of Key Personnel shall be deducted from the payments due to the General Consultant. In the case of a second substitution hereunder, such deduction shall be 20% (twenty per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel (K1) for the first three months from the date of such substitution. Any further substitution may lead to disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement.	As per the clause no. 2.25.2(i), there are provisions of penalty imposition in case of substitution of Key Personal except for reasons of any incapacity or due to health. In this regard, the client is requested to kindly forgo the penalty in case of reasons beyond the control of consultant i.e. retirement, any incapacity or due to health etc. of any Key Personnel.	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
53	Cl. No. 2.2.2 Page No. 19 to 32 Page No. 32	Table (I), (II) & (III) of Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise & Estimated Man Months of K1, K2 and Other Staffs. NB: # HAML reserves the right to (i) operate these positions in a staggered manner as per the requirements of the Project; and (ii) split the total number of Man Months estimated above (i.e. 2,400 Man Months) in any proportion across the three categories of Personnel K3, K4, K5 and K6 depending on the exigencies of work, the abovementioned bifurcation being only an estimate at this stage.	As the Duration of the GC Tender is 48 months, however according to the Table (I), (II) & (III) of clause no. 2.2.2; estimated deployment Man months for most of the Positions are not for the complete duration of the project. We understand that these positions when mobilised will be continuously deployed for the duration of the assignment as per RFP as it is not feasible to hire the staff for small duration & that too intermittently for CV based positions.	Tender conditions prevail.
54	Cl. No. 2.25.2 & Cl. No. 2.25.3 Page No. 52 & 53	2.25.2 (i) The Authority expects all the Key Personnel (K1) to be available during first year of implementation of the Agreement..... In the case of a second substitution hereunder, such deduction shall be 20% (twenty per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel (K1) for the first three months from the date of such substitution. Any further substitution may lead to disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement. 2.25.3 Substitution of the Team Leader will not normally be considered and may lead to disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement.	In view of the current market scenario with many projects under execution & in pipe line, it is not possible to retain the personnel if he is not willing to continue, So it is requested to relax the condition of disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement in the case of more than two replacement and allow the substitution of Team Leader without disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement in case of unavoidable circumstances.	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
55	Cl. no. 3.1.3 of 3.1-Evaluation of Technical Proposals Page no. 55-56	3.1.3 The scoring criteria to be used for evaluation shall be as follows. Criteria 30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and (ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector.	As per Clause 3.1.3 , 30% of the maximum marks are allocated based on the number of Eligible Assignments, with a maximum of 6 (Six) Eligible Assignments being considered. We understand that these 6 (Six) Eligible Assignments shall be assignments having a value of ₹60 Crore or above each, in accordance with Clause 3.1.4 of the RFP. Please confirm whether our understanding is correct.	The Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1.
56	Cl.no.1.8 Schedule of Selection Process Cl.no. 2.16: Submission of Proposal (2.16.5, 2.16.5) Cl.no. 2.17: Proposal Due Date Page no. 6 Page no.45-	1.8 Schedule of Selection Process S. No. 4: Last date for receiving Proposals (“ Proposal Due Date ” or PDD): 17.09.2026 at 15.00 Hrs IST 2.16 Submission of Proposal 2.16.1 The Applicants shall submit the original and one copy of the Technical Proposal in physical / hard copy form along with online submission on e-procurement platform. Each page of the submission shall be signed by the Authorized Representative of the Applicant. 2.16.5 The completed Proposal must be delivered on or before the specified time on Proposal Due Date. Proposals submitted by	As per Clause 1.8, Sl. No. 4 of the RFP, the last date for receiving Proposals (“ Proposal Due Date ” or “ PDD ”) is 17.09.2026 at 15:00 Hrs IST. Further, as per Clauses 2.16.1, 2.16.5 and 2.17.1 of the RFP, the Applicant is required to submit the original and one copy of the Technical Proposal in physical/hard copy form, along with the online submission through the e-procurement platform. The original Financial Proposal is also required to be submitted in physical/hardbound form, along with the online submission, with all pages serially numbered and accompanied by an index of submissions. In view of the above, the Client is kindly requested to allow at least 2 (two) additional days for submission of the Proposal hardcopy , i.e., after online Proposal submission deadline.	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
	46 Page no.46-47	fax, telex, telegram or e-mail shall not be entertained. 2.17 Proposal Due Date 2.17.1 Proposal should be submitted before 1500 hrs A receipt thereof should be obtained from the person specified therein.		
57	Form 3, APPENDIX-I of RFP Page no. 181-182	APPENDIX-I Form 3: Statement of Legal Capacity Ref. Date: To The Managing Director, Hyderabad Airport Metro Limited, Metro Rail Bhavan, Begumpet, Hyderabad-500003, Telangana. Dear Sir, Sub: RfP for Appointment of General Consultant HMR Phase-II project for Cor IV from Nagole to RGIA (36.8 km; 24 stns); Cor V from Raidurg to Kokapet Neopolis (11.6 km; 8 stns); Cor VI from MGBS to Chandrayangutta (7.5 km; 6 stns); & Cor VIII from LB Nagar to Hayat Nagar (7.1 km; 6 stns) for 63 km (Package-I), Hyderabad, Telangana. I/We hereby confirm that we, the Applicant (along with other members in case of consortium, constitution of which has been described in the Proposal*), satisfy the terms and conditions laid down in the RfP document.....	As per the Appendix-I, Form-3: Statement of Legal Capacity , we understand that the provision is intended to authorize the designated Lead Member to act for and on behalf of the Consortium in connection with the bid and the subsequent execution of the Agreement. We kindly request the Authority to confirm whether the duly executed “Statement of Legal Capacity” by each individual Consortium Member, containing the above authorization in favour of the Lead Member, shall be considered sufficient and acceptable as the Power of Attorney/authorization to the Lead Member, and whether any separate Power of Attorney to Lead Member, in any other format, is required to be submitted along with the Proposal. In case a separate Power of Attorney to Lead Member is required; we kindly request the Authority to provide the prescribed format.	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
58	General General	General	In line with the prevailing practice adopted in RFPs issued by various Government Metro companies in India, the value of completed works is generally updated to the price level as of the last day of the month preceding the month of tender submission, by applying 5% annual escalation for the INR component and 2% for the foreign currency component. In view of the above, it is requested that the Client may kindly incorporate a suitable provision for escalation of 5% per annum for the INR component and 2% per annum for the foreign currency component on the value of completed works, up to the last day of the month preceding the PDD, for updating the value of completed works for the purpose of eligibility assessment.	Please refer Addendum No 1
59	Clause No. 3.1.3 of RFP Page no. 61 of RFP	Clause no. 3.1.3 While awarding marks for the number of Eligible Assignments, the Applicant or Key Personnel, as the case may be, that has undertaken the highest number of Eligible Assignments shall be entitled to the maximum score for the respective category and all other competing Applicants or respective Key Personnel, as the case may be, shall be entitled to a proportionate score..... However, for assigning scores in respect of the size and quality of Eligible Assignments, all Eligible Assignments of the Applicant/Key Personnel shall be considered.	As per prevailing practice in the Metro Project, there is no provision for Extra Marks beyond the minimum eligibility criteria. In view of the above it is requested to score the Key Personnel equally meeting the eligibility assignment criteria in place of awarding marks based on highest number of Eligible assignments.	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1.
60	Clause No. 8 of RFP	Cl. No. 8: Services and Facilities to be provided by HAML to GC	As per the clause no. 8 of the RFP, Computers, software related items etc., as required have to be	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
	Page no. 96 of RFP	Computers, software related items etc., as required have to be arranged by the GC. Power consumption charges and telephone charges are to be borne by the GC. Security and housekeeping will also be provided by the GC. Transport facility to the staff will be provided by the GC at their own cost.	arranged by the GC. Power consumption charges and telephone charges are to be borne by the GC. Security and housekeeping will also be provided by the GC. Transport facility to the staff will be provided by the GC at their own cost. It is requested that these Charges may be considered on reimbursable to the consultants on Actual basis and shall not be part of the financial evaluation.	
61	Clause no. 4.9 of RFP Page no. 143 of RFP	Cl. No. 4.9: Non-deployment of Personnel In the event that the GC fails to deploy right to levy liquidated damages as under: for each day of delay or failure to deploy Key Personnel up to a period equal to 20% hereof: 1 % of the total amount attributable to that Key Personnel per day determined as per Annex 3 hereof. for each day of delay or failure to deploy Key Personnel for a period beyond 20% and up to 40% to that Key Personnel per day determined as per Annex 3 hereof. for any delay or failure to deploy Key Personnel beyond a 2 months periodand appropriating from, the Performance Security or otherwise.	With reference to Clause 4.9 – Non-deployment of Personnel, the provision of liquidated damages for delay/non-deployment of Key Personnel may have a significant financial impact, particularly during the initial mobilization period. We request the Employer to kindly consider deployment period of 3 months from the approval of CV of Professional Personnel, considering the practical requirements of recruitment, mobilization and joining of suitably qualified personnel. It is requested that the Clause 4.9 may be suitably amended to provide a deployment period of 3 months for Professional Personnel.	Tender conditions prevail.
62	Clause No. 3.1.3 The scoring criteria Page No. 55 to 60	Clause No. 3.1.3 The scoring criteria	As per the clause no. 3.1.3 of “the scoring criteria” of Key Personnel, it is observed that marks are proposed to be awarded based on the number of eligible assignments. However, the RFP does not clearly specify the minimum duration required for considering a project as one eligible assignment. This may lead to an	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause				Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
		3.	Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel	70	30% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments as per the scoring criteria mentioned in the clause) (as specified below) the respective Key Personnel has worked on. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments undertaken by	inequitable evaluation, where a Key Personnel having several years of continuous experience on one or two major projects may receive lower marks than another personnel who has worked on multiple projects for relatively shorter durations. We therefore request the Employer to clarify the minimum qualifying duration for an assignment and the methodology for evaluating long-duration assignments vis-à-vis multiple short-duration assignments. In GC/PMC consultancy tenders, relevant experience is generally evaluated based on the number of years of relevant experience at the same level or one level below the proposed position, rather than merely number of assignments. Accordingly, it is requested to consider revising the scoring criteria from the number of assignments to the number of years of relevant experience. Further, a clear and comprehensive position-wise marking matrix, specifying the eligibility requirements, relevant experience, minimum assignment duration, scoring methodology and marks against each criterion, may kindly be provided to ensure transparency, uniform interpretation and selection of the most suitable Key Personnel during proposal evaluation.	
		3(a)	Team Leader (Civil - K1)	11	Shall have a minimum total experience of 25 years. Out of which minimum 08 years of experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least 5 years of experience in the rank of Team Leader or one position below. Shall have at least 3 years of continuous working experience in a single relevant project in the rank of Team Leader or one position below. Relevant project experience means working experience with/as client/ contractor while implementation of a Metro Rail / Railway System project or with/as consultant providing GC/PMC/IE services while implementation of Metro Rail / Railway System projects.		
63	Cl. 2.2.2 (I) Sr. No. 1 to 15 Page No. 19 to 27	Cl. 2.2.2 Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Personnel (K1) whose CVs will be evaluated for bidding.....				As per Clause No. 2.2.2(i), the RFP specifies that “Relevant Project Experience means working experience with/as a Client/Contractor during the implementation of a Metro Rail/Railway System Project, or with/as a consultant providing GC/PMC/IE services during the implementation of Metro Rail/Railway	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause							Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
		Sl no	Position	Minimum Qualifications in the relevant field	Overall experience in years	Minimum years of relevant / specific experience	Area of Expertise	Estimated man months		
		1	Team Leader (Civil - K1)	Graduate in Civil Engineering	25	8	Shall have a minimum total experience of 25 years. Out of which minimum 08 years of experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least 5 years of experience in the rank of Team Leader or one position below. Shall have at least 3 years of working experience in a single relevant project in the rank of Team Leader or one position below. Relevant project experience means working experience with / as client / contractor while implementation of a Metro Rail / Railway System project or with / as consultant providing GC / PMC / IE services while implementation of Metro Rail / Railway System projects.	48	<i>System Projects.”</i> In this regard, the Client is requested to consider the relevant experience of Key Personnel/Professional Personnel gained in Metro Rail, LRT, Railway Projects, and Railway System Projects, thereby enabling applicants to deploy the most suitable Key Personnel possessing relevant qualifications and experience for the respective positions.	
64	General	General							Defect Liability Period: As per the RFP, the Defect Liability Period (DLP) applicable to the General Consultant (GC) has not been specified. The Client is kindly requested to clarify whether any Defect Liability Period is applicable to the GC under this Tender. If applicable, it is requested that the Client kindly confirm the following: The duration of the Defect Liability Period; The scope and obligations of the GC during the DLP; The applicable payment terms/conditions during the DLP; and The number and category of personnel/professionals required to be deployed during the DLP.	Tender conditions prevail
65	Clause 1.1.1 Pg1 of RFP and Total	Regarding increase in the man-month requirements							we understand that the project comprises four corridors with a total length of approximately 63 km and 44 stations. Considering the overall scope, scale, complexity, and volume of work involved in the project, the man-	Tender conditions prevail

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
	Estimated Man Months of K1 to K6 Personnels		months provided for the K1 to K6 personnel appear to be on the lower side and may not be adequate for effectively delivering the envisaged scope of services. We therefore request the Authority to kindly review and reconsider the proposed man-month requirements for K1 to K6 personnel and suitably revise the same in line with the volume and scope of work. For ready reference and consideration, we have enclosed an Annexure B indicating the man-month requirements specified by other Metro organisations for projects of similar scale and volume of work. For your kind consideration please.	
66	Clause 1.1.4 Funding Pattern Pg2 of RFP	Regarding the details of funding agency: <i>The funding pattern shall be 20% as GoTG contribution; 20% as Gol contribution; and the balance 60% as debt from external aided agencies.</i>	With reference to Clause 1.1.4 – Funding Pattern, Page 2 of the RFP, it is stated that the funding pattern shall comprise 20% GoTG contribution, 20% Gol contribution, and the balance 60% as debt from external aided agencies. We request the Client to kindly provide the name(s) of the likely/proposed external aided funding agency/agencies for the 60% debt component, if identified, to enable the bidders to have clarity on the proposed funding arrangement. For your kind clarification please.	Tender conditions prevail.
67	SN (xi) under Clause 1.2 Pg3 of RFP	GC will plan and arrange for training of personnel of HAML deployed for operation and maintenance of various equipment's and plants at the suppliers' premises. GC will also plan and arrange training of the personnel in operation and maintenance of the metro system, as a whole. There will be no separate payment for training. The price for the same is deemed to be included in the Applicant's offer.	We understand that the training under reference clause is required to be conducted at the client's premises the cost of which shall be included. In case of any specific requirement of international training, the same shall be reimbursed separately as per actuals. For your kind clarification please.	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
68	Clause 1.2 (xvi)–Cyber Security Audit Pgno. 4 of RFP	GC is responsible for cyber security audit of all systems delivered by EPC agencies.	We understand that the GC team deployed shall audit all systems delivered by EPC agencies. However, since the scope of this work can't be assessed definitively, In case any specific level of security is to be incorporated, a separate independent Cyber security expert will be required and same shall be paid extra. For your kind consideration please.	Tender conditions prevail.
69	NIT & Clause 1.4 Issue of RFP Document Pg5 of RFP	As per the NIT the processing fee is INR 2,36,000.00 /- This RfP document will be issued to Applicants by the Authority from Aug 12, 2026 on payment of non-refundable processing fee of Rs.2,00,000 (Rupees Two lakh only) in the form of a demand draft or banker's cheque	With reference to the NIT and Clause 1.4 – Issue of RFP Document, Page 5 of the RFP , we note that there is a discrepancy in the processing fee mentioned in the NIT and the RFP, as detailed below: NIT: Processing fee of INR 2,36,000/- • RFP, Clause 1.4: Non-refundable processing fee of INR 2,00,000/- -We understand that the difference may be on account of GST , wherein the amount mentioned in the NIT may be inclusive of GST, while the amount specified in the RFP may be exclusive of GST. We request the Client to kindly clarify the discrepancy and confirm the exact processing fee amount payable by the Applicant , We request the Client's kind consideration and clarification in this regard.	Non-refundable processing fee of Rs.2,00,000 (Rupees Two lakh only) in the form of a demand draft or banker's cheque is excluding GST
70	SN 9 under Clause 1.8 – Schedule of Selection Process Pg6 of RFP	“Signing of Agreement – Within 7 days of LOA”	The process of finalising and executing the required legal documentation, arranging the Performance Bank Guarantee (PBG), obtaining necessary internal approvals and completing other contractual formalities are all time-taking activities. Further, Foreign partners will be involved in most of the JVs, and therefore,	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
			completing all formalities in 7 days will not be enough as per our past experience. In view of the above, we request that signing of agreement should be kept within 30 days from the date of issuance of the LOA to provide sufficient time for the successful Consultant/JV to complete all requisite contractual and financial formalities. For your kind consideration please.	
71	Clause 2.2 Conditions Eligibility of Applicants Pg18 AND Form 14	2.2.1 Applicants must read carefully the minimum conditions of eligibility (the “Conditions of Eligibility”) provided herein. Proposals of only those Applicants who satisfy the Conditions of Eligibility will be considered for evaluation. The Technical Capacity and Financial Capacity mentioned hereunder, should be satisfied either by the Applicant itself or it may satisfy the same along with its Associate(s), only.	The Form 14 indicates that the associate referred in subject clause is a parent/subsidiary of the bidder. This effectively allows one legal entity to use another legal entity's experience/capacity to qualify. Such criteria will dilute the intent of the selection criteria. We request the client to kindly consider experience of only the Bidder or JV/Consortium members and not of any of their parent / subsidiaries / associates / subconsultants. Para 7.3.3(2) of Office Memorandum F. No. 1/3/2026-PPD issued by Department of Expenditure, Ministry of Finance dated 22.07.2026 specifically says qualification determination shall not consider qualifications of subsidiaries, parent entities, affiliates or any other entity different from the consultant	The credentials of a Parent Company will be considered for its Subsidiary, or vice versa, only in cases where both entities are participating together as part of the same Joint Venture / Consortium in this bid.
72	Clause 2.2. Conditions Eligibility Pg18	Clause 2.2.2 To be eligible for evaluation of its Proposal, the Applicant shall fulfil the following: (B) Financial Capacity: Minimum average	Since FY 2025–26 has only recently concluded, audited financial statements for this period may not yet be available for many applicants due to the ongoing statutory audit and approval process.	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
		annual turnover of the Applicant shall be INR 200 crore or more for consecutive 5 (five) financial years ending in March 2026 / Dec 2025. Minimum average net worth of consecutive 5 (five) financial years shall be more than Rs 50 crore. Each member of a Joint Venture / Consortium must have minimum average annual turnover of Rs 200 crore for consecutive 5(five) financial years ending in March 2026 / Dec 2025.	In this regard, we request the authority to kindly relax the criteria and allow provisional / unaudited financial statements certified by the Statutory Auditor/ Chartered Accountant for FY 2025–26 to be considered during evaluation. For your kind consideration please.	
73	Clause 2.2. Conditions Eligibility Pg18	Clause 2.2.2 To be eligible for evaluation of its Proposal, the Applicant shall fulfil the following: (B) Financial Capacity: Minimum average annual turnover of the Applicant shall be INR 200 crore or more for consecutive 5 (five) financial years ending in March 2026 / Dec 2025. Minimum average net worth of consecutive 5 (five) financial years shall be more than Rs 50 crore. Each member of a Joint Venture / Consortium must have minimum average annual turnover of Rs 200 crore for consecutive 5(five) financial years ending in March 2026 / Dec 2025.	Keeping the requirement for each member of JV / consortium equal to the total requirement of INR 200 crore is very high and may unnecessarily restrict wider participation. Criteria 2 under Table-2 of DoE Manual as referenced above states that high turnover requirements can unnecessarily restrict competition and that higher turnover should be adequately justified. Therefore, request you to amend the criteria as follows: Minimum average annual turnover of the Applicant shall be INR 200 crore or more for consecutive 5 (five) financial years ending in March 2026 / Dec 2025. Minimum average net worth of consecutive 5 (five) financial years shall be more than Rs 50 crore. In case of Joint Venture / Consortium, the average annual turnover will be based on percentage	Tender conditions prevail

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
			participation of each member. Example: Let Member-1 has percentage participation = M and Member-2 has = N Let the average annual turnover of Member-1 is 'A' and that of Member-2 is 'B', then the average annual turnover of JV will be = (AM+BN)/100 which shall more than INR 200 crore.	
74	Clause 2.2.2 (D) Conditions Page 18-26 of RFP	(I) Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise for “11 Chief Safety Expert (HSE) (K1)” Graduate in Civil / Electrical Engineering	We request the client that in addition to mentioned qualification, Graduate in Mechanical Engineering should also be considered as they are required for inspection and safety of heavy machinery such as erection, cranes, launchers, piling rigs, plant and machinery etc. For your kind consideration please.	Tender conditions prevail.
75	Clause 2.2.2 (D) Conditions Page 18-26 of RFP	(I) Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise for “14. Chief Alignment and Project coordination Expert” - Graduate in Civil Engineering with Post Graduation in Transportation Planning/ Transportation Engineering - Shall have total experience of 25 years. Out of which minimum 10 years of experience in preparation of FSRs/DPRs for rail based urban mass transit systems including alignment design, alternative analysis, travel demand modeling, multi modal integration, project structuring, coordination of various project activities.	The requirements mentioned under the referred position combine two separate roles. We request to rather keep two separate experts for both roles. Further to this, generally Alignment Experts are usually Civil Engineering graduate with civil design experience and not specifically transportation Engineering. We therefore request the client to kindly relax the criteria of Alignment Expert as: “• Graduate in Civil Engineering. Post Graduation in Transportation Planning/ Transportation Engineering shall be preferable. Shall have total experience of 20 years. Out of which minimum 7 years of experience in preparation of FSRs/DPRs for rail based urban mass transit systems	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
		Shall have been Team Leader or one position below in preparation of at least three DPRs/FSRs for Metro Rail based urban mass rapid transit systems	including alignment design, alternative analysis, travel demand modeling, multi modal integration, project structuring, coordination of various project activities. In addition, he should have worked in Alignment position in metro. Shall have been Team Leader / Alignment Expert or one position below in preparation of at least three DPRs/FSRs for Metro Rail based urban mass rapid transit systems.” For your kind consideration please.	
76	Clause 2.2.3 Pg 33 of RFP	The Applicant shall also enclose with its Proposal, certificate(s) from the client(s) certifying that the Applicant or its Associate, as the case may be, had undertaken the Eligible Assignments, the date of commencement and completion of the Eligible Assignment and the fee received in respect thereof.	The current clause effectively allows another legal entity's experience/capacity to qualify the bidder. Therefore, request the client to remove this clause. Moreover, Para 7.3.3(2) of the DoE Manual specifically says qualification determination shall not consider qualifications of subsidiaries, parent entities, affiliates or any other entity different from the consultant.	Please refer SI.No.4 of Addendum No 1
77	Clause 2.14.6 clause 2 Technical proposal Pg 43	The Team Leader and Dy. Team Leader as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RFP, to be offered in the Proposal shall be full time permanent employees of the Applicant or its Associates.	engaged in various ongoing Metro projects and their replacement from respective assignments will lead to work getting suffered, which is unprofessional on part of the consultant. Such replacement will also attract contractual penalties. Therefore, we request the client to allow to propose outsourced staff in Team Leader and Dy. Team Leader positions.	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1
78	Clause 2.25.3 Pg 53 of RFP	“Substitution of the Team Leader will not normally be considered and may lead to disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement.”	We request the Authority to kindly remove or suitably relax this clause , as substitution of key experts may become necessary due to unavoidable circumstances such as resignation, medical reasons, retirement or	Tender conditions prevail

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
			other unforeseen situations beyond the Consultant's control. It is requested that replacement of the Team Leader may be permitted with an expert of equivalent or better qualification and experience, subject to approval of the Authority, without attracting disqualification or termination provisions. For your kind consideration please.	
79	Clause 2.30 Performance Guarantee Pg 54 of RFP And Clause 7.1.1 Performance security Pg 147 of RFP.	The General Consultant shall be required to furnish a performance security of a value equivalent to 3% (three percent) of the Agreement Value. The Authority shall retain by way of performance security, 3% (three percent) of all the amounts due and payable to the General Consultant. The General Consultant may, in lieu of retention of the amounts as referred above, furnish a Bank Guarantee/Insurance a Bond, substantially in the form specified at Annex- 7 of this Agreement.	We understand that the total performance security under the contract shall be 3% of the total consultancy value (exclusive of GST). This 3% may be either in form of amount retained from all payments or in the form of Bank Guarantee for 3% of the total consultancy contract value. Kindly confirm.	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1.
80	Item Code 1 under Clause 3.1.3 Pg 55 of RFP	Relevant Experience of the Applicant: 30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and (ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector.	Clause 8.4.2.1 of the DoE Manual as referenced in letter clearly states that “Each proposal should be judged on its own merits and assigned an absolute - not comparative -grade against predefined criteria and sub-criteria.” Clause 7.3.3.7 of the DoE Manual clearly states that “There should be no qualification criteria that would be advantageous to foreign consultants at the cost of domestically provided consultancy”. As one possible approach , for the component relating to the number of Eligible Assignments, the following objective methodology may kindly be considered:	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
			“The bidder having done 4 or more number of eligible projects will get 100% of the maximum marks for this sub criterion. Other bidders having done lesser number of eligible projects will be awarded proportional points based on number of eligible projects carried out/ being carried out by them (i.e., if bidder A has done 4 or more number of eligible projects i.e., “4” and bidders B & C have done “m” and “n” numbers of eligible projects respectively, then bidder A will get 100% of the maximum marks for this sub-criterion and bidders B & C will get “m x 100/4” % and “n x 100/4”% of maximum marks respectively, where “m and n” is lesser than 4.)” For your kind consideration please.	
81	Item Code 3 under Clause 3.1.3 Pg 55 of RFP	Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel: 30% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments as per the scoring criteria mentioned in the clause) (as specified below) the respective Key Personnel has worked on. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) undertaken by the Key Personnel; and (ii) other similar work in Metro Rail sectors.	The present provision proposes awarding 70% marks based on the “comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments” and other similar Metro Rail experience, without clearly defined benchmarks for full score. Moreover, Clause 8.4.2.1 of the DoE Manual states that evaluation should be based on absolute predefined criteria and not on comparative ranking. In order to promote transparent and fair competition, we request the Client to amend the evaluation criteria for Key Personnel and prescribe objective, absolute scoring parameters based on educational qualification, total professional experience and relevant experience, instead of comparative assessment. For your kind consideration please.	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1
82	Item Code 3 under Clause 3.1.3 Pg 55 of	Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel: 30% of the maximum marks for each Key	We request the Client to kindly remove the requirement of a specified number of Eligible Assignments for evaluation of Key Personnel. The	Clause is amended. Please refer

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
	RFP	Personnel shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments as per the scoring criteria mentioned in the clause) (as specified below) the respective Key Personnel has worked on. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments undertaken by the Key Personnel; and (ii) other similar work in Metro Rail sectors.	prescribed requirements for overall experience, relevant experience and experience in a similar capacity already provide sufficient and objective parameters to assess the suitability and merit of the proposed experts. Further, requiring up to 6 Eligible Assignments may disproportionately disadvantage experienced professionals whose assignments typically span 5–6 years each. In such cases, meeting the requirement of 6 assignments would imply more than 30–36 years of professional experience , which may be impractical and unnecessarily restrictive. For your kind consideration please.	Addendum No 1
83	Clause 3.1, Page 55 of the RFP – A&M Marking Criteria	Regarding the detailed marks bifurcation for the PPT/Presentation, A&M Proposed Methodology, and Work Plan ,	We understand that the marks allocated for the Presentation/PPT are included within the overall 20 marks assigned for the Proposed Methodology and Work Plan under the A&M evaluation criteria. We request the Client to kindly provide the detailed marks bifurcation for the following components, as applicable under the ToR: Presentation/PPT A&M Proposed Methodology Work Plan For your kind consideration please.	Tender conditions prevail.
84	Clause 3.1.4 Eligible Assignments Pg 61	Similar Works" means, "General Consultancy (GC) / Independent Engineer (IE) / Project Management Consultancy (PMC) covering the scope of services similar to those required to be rendered under this RfP and works pertaining to Civil & System works of Metro Rail based urban mass rapid transit system / Railway System (i.e., "rail based urban mass rapid transit	We understand that, since the definition of "Similar Works" specifically refers to rail-based urban mass rapid transit systems , only projects pertaining to Metro Rail / Mass Rapid Transit Systems (MRTS) would be considered as Railway systems under similar works. For your kind confirmation please.	Clause is self-explanatory

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
		system”) involving the work of project management and supervision including contract management, design checking, construction management, inspection, safety and quality, testing and commissioning during last 10 (ten) years ending in March 2026 / Dec 2025.		
85	Clause 16.15.2 Pg111 of RFP And Clause 2.2 Provision and commencement of Services Pg126 of RFP	Deployment of Key Personnel: Key Personnel shall be deployed on need basis and the work on the Assignment shall commence within 15 days of the Letter of Award of the Assignment in a progressive manner. Formulation of QSMP --- The QSMP shall be prepared and adopted within 15 days of Commencement of the Assignment. Even prior to the preparation and approval of the QSMP activities as envisaged shall commence concurrent to the work schedule of the contractors within 15 days of the Letter of Award of the Assignment. And Clause 2.2 Provision and Commencement of Services Subject to and in accordance with the terms and conditions contained in this Agreement and the RFP, the Authority hereby appoints the General Consultant to perform the Services and the General Consultant hereby	Considering the specialised nature of the Assignment and the requirement for suitably qualified and experienced senior-level professionals, a mobilisation period of 15 days may be challenging, particularly as senior professionals generally have long notice periods. Further, Project commencement, office set-up, mobilisation etc. are all time taking activities and adequate time is required for initiating these. Therefore, we request the authority to kindly relax the timelines of all activities in referred clause to 30 to 60 days. For your kind consideration please.	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
		accepts such appointment and agrees to perform the Services. The General Consultant shall commence the Services within a period of 15 (fifteen) days from the Effective Date, or such later date as may be notified by the Authority.		
86	Clause 3.4 Liability of the General Consultant Pg. 136 of RFP.	Clause 3.4.3 The Parties hereto agree that except in case of negligence or wilful misconduct on the part of the General Consultant or on the part of any person or firm acting on behalf of the General Consultant in carrying out the Services, the General Consultant, with respect to damage caused to the Authority’s property, shall not be liable to the Authority for any direct loss or damage that exceeds the aggregate of (a) the Agreement Value set forth in Clause 6.1.2 of this Agreement, and (b) the proceeds the General Consultant may be entitled to receive from any insurance maintained by the General Consultant to cover such a liability in accordance with Clause 3.5.2.	As a general practice in Metro consultancy assignments, the limit of liability under consultancy contracts is kept equal to the amount of consultancy agreement value. Considering that the insurance maintained under the stated clause is intended to cover the relevant liability, it is requested that the liability provision may kindly be revised so that the maximum liability of the General Consultant is limited to the higher of the Agreement Value or the applicable insurance proceeds, rather than the aggregate of both amounts. Accordingly, it is requested that Clause 3.4.3 may be suitably amended to read that: “the General Consultant shall not be liable for any direct loss or damage exceeding the higher of (a) the Agreement Value specified in Clause 6.1.2, or (b) the proceeds receivable under the insurance maintained pursuant to Clause 3.5.2.” For your kind consideration please.	Tender conditions prevail
87		In the event that the GC fails to deploy any Key Personnel or Professional Personnel as may be required for provision of the Services	Considering that the liquidated damages are intended to compensate the Authority for the delay in deployment of the concerned personnel, it is requested that the	Tender conditions prevail

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
	Clause 4.9, Schedule-2 – Non-deployment of Key Personnel (LD) Pg143-144	in accordance with the requirements of this Agreement and the MSS, the Authority shall have the right to levy liquidated damages as under: for each day of delay or failure to deploy Key Personnel upto a period equal to 20% of the total Man-month period allocated for such Key Personnel as specified in Annex 3 hereof: 1 % of the total amount attributable to that Key Personnel per day determined as per Annex 3 hereof. for each day of delay or failure to deploy Key Personnel for a period beyond 20% and upto 40% of the total Man-month period allocated for such Key Personnel as specified in Annex 3 hereof: 2% of the total amount attributable to that Key Personnel per day determined as per Annex 3 hereof. for any delay or failure to deploy Key Personnel beyond a 2-month period of the date on which such Key Personnel is required to be present, the Authority shall be entitled to take suitable remedial actions or to terminate this Agreement, for an GC Event of Default and appropriate the Performance Security.	basis for calculation of such liquidated damages may kindly be linked to the monthly remuneration attributable to the respective Key Personnel, rather than the total amount attributable to such Key Personnel for the entire period. Accordingly, it is requested that the liquidated damages may be revised as follows: For delay during the first month: 1% per day of the monthly remuneration attributable to the concerned Key Personnel. For delay during the second month: 2% per day of the monthly remuneration attributable to the concerned Key Personnel. This would provide a more proportionate basis for levy of liquidated damages in relation to the actual period of delay and the remuneration applicable to the concerned Key Personnel. For your kind consideration please.	
88	Various forms under appendix-I of RFP.	From 1 to Form 13 and Form 18	We understand that the technical bid formats and related forms have been derived from RFPs issued by Indian Railways. However, the Railway Board has since revised its Model RFPs for PMS, PSSA and PGMS services vide letters namely:	Tender conditions prevail

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
			Railway Board Letter No. 2022/CE-I/CT/3/PMS dated 28.05.2025 regarding the Model RFP for Appointment of Authority Engineer for Project Management Services (PMS). Railway Board Letter No. 2022/CE-I/CT/6/PSS dated 19.12.2025 regarding the Model RFP for Appointment of Project Supervision Services Agency (PSSA). Railway Board Letter No. 2026/W-III/CT/3(PGMS)(3532628) dated 07.05.2026 regarding the Model RFP for Project General Management Services (PGMS). Accordingly, we request the Client to kindly review and align Forms 1 to 13 and Form 18 with the latest applicable Railway Board Model RFP provisions, as relevant to the scope of the present assignment. This will ensure consistency with the latest Railway Board guidelines and uniformity in the technical submission and evaluation requirements. For your kind consideration please.	
89	General	Provision of Mobilization Advance	Provision of mobilization advance is a standard practice in large consultancy assignments and would assist the Consultant in mobilization of key personnel, establishment of project offices, deployment of systems/software, and other initial project expenditures. The same would facilitate smooth commencement of services without any additional financial implication to the Employer, as the advance remains fully secured through a Bank Guarantee. Therefore, we request the client to kindly allow interest-free mobilization advance payment up to	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
			10% of the Contract Value against submission of an unconditional Bank Guarantee/e-Bank Guarantee of an equivalent amount. For your kind consideration please.	
90	General	Various penalties and liquidated damages	We understand that the total amount of various penalties and liquidated damages under this agreement shall not exceed 10% of the agreement value.	Tender conditions prevail.
91	General	Availability of Software	We understand that the EPC (DB) Contractor shall provide all project-specific/required software necessary for execution of the assignment such as AutoCAD, STAAD Pro, Primavera, MS Project, Revit, BIM, Project Management Software, Open Rail and Document Control Software etc. In case any software is to be procured by the GC, the same shall be reimbursed on actuals. For your kind confirmation please.	Tender conditions prevail.
92	General	General Project Insurance ion & Status	We request the Client to kindly clarify the following: Whether the work has been duly sanctioned and the Detailed Project Report (DPR) has been approved, and whether the Land Acquisition (LA) process has been completed. What is the current status of the tenders invited for appointment of contractors. Whether the works for all corridors under the General Consultant (GC) will commence simultaneously, or whether the works for individual corridors will commence separately/in a phased manner. For your kind clarification please.	Tender conditions prevail.
93	General	Regarding the Project Documents	We request the Client to kindly share the Project DPR, Procurement Plan, Implementation Plan, and KMZ	Information available will be

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
			file of the proposed alignment to enable the bidders to have a better understanding of the project scope, proposed implementation strategy, and alignment details, and to facilitate an effective site visit and assessment of the project requirements . For your kind consideration please.	provided. You are requested to collect it from HAML office, Metro Bhavan during office hours.
94	2.1.1 Pg 9	In the Eligible Assignments, the member shall have a minimum of 26% stake in the project taken up and completed.	We request you to kindly relax the requirement of a minimum 26% stake in the eligible assignment. We have successfully completed and commissioned a project valued at INR 300+ crore, wherein our stake was 25%. Despite our substantial experience of such similar project, the assignment would not be considered eligible. Therefore, we request that eligible assignments be considered where the member's attributable share/ value is at least INR 60 crore, irrespective of the minimum 26% stake requirement.	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1
95	2.2.1 Pg18	The Team Leader and Dy. Team Leader as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RfP, to be offered in the Proposal shall be full time permanent employees of the Applicant or its Associates. Where the Applicant is a consortium, the Applicant may also offer the Team Leader and Dy. Team Leader of its other consortium members (but not the Associates of such other consortium members).	Generally, TL and DTL are engaged on complex projects until project completion to ensure successful delivery. Hence, it would be difficult to shift them to this project. Kindly relax this criterion and allow us to provide CV with exclusive consent (LOA).	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1
96	3.1.3 Pg 61	While awarding marks for the number of Eligible Assignments, the Applicant or Key Personnel, as the case may be, that has undertaken the highest number of Eligible	We request you to kindly consider awarding the maximum score based on specified capping is met for the Applicant and/or Key Personnel, instead of proportionate marking based on the highest number of	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority								
		Assignments shall be entitled to the maximum score for the respective category and all other competing Applicants or respective Key Personnel, as the case may be, shall be entitled to a proportionate score. No score will be awarded to an Applicant/ Key Personnel for fulfilling the eligibility criteria of a minimum number of Eligible Assignments and only assignments exceeding the eligibility criteria shall qualify for scoring.	Eligible Assignments undertaken. This will help ensure a fair and competitive evaluation process.									
97	3.CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION Pg 55 of RFP	3.1.3 The scoring criteria to be used for evaluation shall be as follows. <table><tr><th>Item Code</th><th>Parameter</th><th>Max. Marks</th><th>Criteria</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>Relevant Experience of the Applicant</td><td>10</td><td>30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the</td></tr></table>	Item Code	Parameter	Max. Marks	Criteria	1	Relevant Experience of the Applicant	10	30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the	In the recent bids in Metro and other projects (including funded projects such as Ahmedabad Metro, MMRDA, NCRTC etc.) full marks are given for 6 eligible projects. Copies of the relevant clauses as adopted by other Metro Authorities are attached as Annexure-1 for ready reference, please. This will give fair chance to all. We request you to consider the same in this RFP.	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1
Item Code	Parameter	Max. Marks	Criteria									
1	Relevant Experience of the Applicant	10	30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the									

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause				Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
					comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and (ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector.		
98	3. CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION Pg 55 of RFP	3.1.3 The scoring criteria to be used for evaluation shall be as follows.				In similar tenders (copies enclosed), key personnel are evaluated on the basis of following parameters with specific weightage to each: a) Educational qualifications b) Overall experience, c) Relevant experience d) Position held This will facilitate evaluation on common scale. We wish to mention that similar criterion is adopted in other metro GC projects (Ahmedabad, AP metro etc.,). Copies of the evaluation criteria being adopted (GMRC, APMRCL, NMRC) are attached as Annexure-2 for your kind consideration. We request you to consider the same in the RFP. This will give fair evaluation for all.	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1
		Item Code	Parameter	Max. Marks	Criteria		
		3	Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel	70	30% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments----- ----- ---. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments undertaken by the		

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause				Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
					Key Personnel; and (ii) other similar work in Metro Rail sectors.		
99	2. INSTRUCTIONS TO APPLICANTS A. GENERAL 2.1 Scope of Proposal Pg 9 of RFP	The members having less than 26% participation will be termed as non-substantial member and will not be considered for technical and financial evaluation. In the Eligible Assignments, the member shall have a minimum of 26% stake in the project taken up and completed.				As per industry practice and also as adopted in other Metro GC projects, JV member/applicants' experience is not linked to percentage share of respective member in completed/ eligible project. However, the same is evaluated by linking to absolute value, which is INR 60 crores in the present RFP. In view of above, we request you to consider value of share in the eligible project (INR 60 Crore) in place of % of share. Our request is also in line with RFP invited by HAML for GC services to “Raidurg Metro Station to Rajiv Gandhi International Airport corridor” towards JV member eligibility.	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1
100	2. INSTRUCTIONS TO APPLICANTS A. GENERAL 2.1 Scope of Proposal Pg 9 of RFP	The members having less than 26% participation will be termed as non-substantial member and will not be considered for technical and financial evaluation. All members of the Consortium shall have working experience in GC / IE / PMC of Metro Rail / Railway system projects.				It is understood a firm meeting the following criteria is eligible to participate as Substantial JV member in the present bid. Should have working experience in GC/IE/PMC of Metro Rail/ Railway system projects Should have 26% or more participation in the present bid. Should have minimum average annual turnover of INR 200 Crores in the last five (5) financial years. Should have minimum average net worth of INR 50 Crores in the last five (5) financial years. Kindly confirm.	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
101	Section 2 Clause 2.2 Conditions of Eligibility of Applicants Pg 18 of RFP	2.2.1 Applicants must read carefully the minimum conditions of eligibility (the “Conditions of Eligibility”) provided herein. Proposals of only those Applicants who satisfy the Conditions of Eligibility will be considered for evaluation. The Technical Capacity and Financial Capacity mentioned hereunder, should be satisfied either by the Applicant itself or it may satisfy the same along with its Associate(s), only. In case the Applicant is satisfying the said Conditions of Eligibility along with its Associate(s), it shall furnish a certificate in format prescribed in Form 14 of Appendix- I. The Team Leader and Dy. Team Leader as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RfP, to be offered in the Proposal shall be full time permanent employees of the Applicant or its Associates. Where the Applicant is a consortium, the Applicant may also offer the Team Leader and Dy. Team Leader of its other consortium members (but not the Associates of such other consortium members).	1) Experts on the company role are engaged in other ongoing projects. 2) As a consultant, it is not feasible to retain these highly paid experts on rolls without work on hand. 3) Therefore, please allow us to propose well qualified and experienced professionals, outside of our organisation along with an undertaking to recruit them on to the company rolls upon successful award of the contract. This is well accepted practice in the Metro GC projects. Kindly consider.	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1
102	3.1.4 Eligible Assignments Pg 62 of RFP	a) Technical Capacity: c) Substantially completed projects of at least 80% of the project value will also be considered as Eligible Assignments subject to submission of certification by the employer / client.	We request you to consider projects with 70% completion as Substantially completed projects and consider for evaluation. This has been followed by GMRCL for appointment of GC for Phase 3 metro. Copy of the relevant clause is attached as Annexure-3 for your reference please.	Tender conditions prevail
103	2.2 Conditions	(I)Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Personnel (K1)	We request you to permit key personnel to share their CVs up to Two (2) Applicants/ bidders including TL and	Clause is amended.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
	of Eligibility of Applicants Pg 19 of RFP	whose CVs will be evaluated for bidding: NB: (ii) The Key Personnel (K1) are permitted to share their CVs with not more than 2 (two) Applicants. However, the Team Leader and Dy. Team Leader are not permitted to share their CVs with more than 1 (one) Applicant.	Dy.TL.	Please refer Addendum No 1
104	6. PAYMENT TO THE GENERAL CONSULTANT Pg 146 of RFP	6.1 Cost estimates and Agreement Value	As you would appreciate, Mobilisation Advance is required to meet initial cash flow requirements related to setup of office, vehicles hiring, procurement of computer hardware and software etc. Therefore, we kindly request you to consider providing a mobilisation advance of 10% of the contract value against Bank Guarantee in line with standard industry practice and as being adopted in other metro GC projects.	Tender conditions prevail.
105	4.4. Substitution of Key Personnel Pg 142 of RFP	(i) The Authority expects all the Key Personnel to be available during first year of implementation of the Agreement. During the first year of the Agreement, the Authority will not consider substitution of Key Personnel except for reasons of any incapacity or due to health. Such substitution shall ordinarily be limited to one Key Personnel subject to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel being provided to the satisfaction of the Authority. As a condition to such substitution, a sum equal to 10% (ten per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel for the first three months from the	Change/Substitution of key experts depends on several factors beyond control of bidders, though bidders try to retain their experts on the project. We request the Authority to kindly consider suitable changes in the clause, as per industry practice being followed in other Metro GC projects. In Gujarat Metro Rail Project, Key Personnel replacement is permitted without remuneration deduction as stated below , subject to prior approval of the Authority and deployment of equivalent or better qualified experts. “Maximum permissible limit for change of GEC STAFF (Key) IS 10% WITHOUT ANY REDUCTION IN MONTHLY REMUNERATION, on the basis of CV	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
		date of such substitution of Key Personnel shall be deducted from the payments due to the General Consultant. In the case of a second substitution hereunder, such deduction shall be 20% (twenty per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel for the first three months from the date of such substitution. (ii) Substitution of Key Personnel when he is working with the General Consultant or its consortium member will not be accepted under any circumstances. (iii) Substitution of Key Personnel can be permitted after first year of the Agreement, if the Key Personnel is not available for reasons of any incapacity or due to health or due to resigning from the employment of the General Consultant or its consortium member, subject to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel being provided to the satisfaction of the Authority. Such substitution shall however be limited to two in each year. There will not be any deduction for the substitutions from second year onwards where such substitution is limited to two in each year. In the event the Authority permits substitution of more than two Key Personnel in a year, a sum equal to 20% of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel for the first three months from the date of substitution of such Key Personnel shall be deducted from the	approved by GMRC and deployed by GEC for first time. This 10% limit covers replacement of GEC staff on medical ground, long absentee or quitting the organization or demobilized by Employer. <i>However, if experts are replaced after giving written notice of 3 months, with equal or more experience/qualification (than the qualification/ experience, etc. specified for the position), then there shall be no penalty.”</i> Copies of the similar clauses adopted in other metro projects are attached as Annexure-4 for ready reference, please.	

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
		payments due to the General Consultant, for every substitution exceeding the limit of two Key Personnel.		
106	2.2 Conditions of Eligibility of Applicants (D) Conditions of Eligibility for Key Personnel: Each of the Key Personnel must fulfil the conditions of Eligibility specified below: Pg 20 of RFP	(I) Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Personnel (K1) whose CVs will be evaluated for bidding: Sl.No.6. Position: Chief Systems Integration Expert (K1) Area of Expertise: Shall have at least 2 years of working experience in a single relevant project experience in this rank or one position below.	As you would appreciate, this assignment that this position is generally deployed during System Integration phase which is around 12-18 Months. In current bid also person-months specified are 21 months against this expert however for qualification purpose 2 years continuous working experience in single relevant project is specified. In lieu of the above we would request to modify the above as <u>Shall have at least 1 year of working experience in a single relevant project experience in this rank or one position below.</u> This may be considered please.	Tender conditions prevail.
107	2.2 Conditions of Eligibility of Applicants (D) Conditions of Eligibility of Applicants	(I) Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Personnel (K1) whose CVs will be evaluated for bidding: Sl.No.7. Position: Chief Structural Engineer (Elevated & UG Structures- K1) Area of Expertise: Shall have at least 2 years of working	This expert will be purely responsible for Design Review/Proof Checking work of structural works. Many experts in this field work in multiple projects such as PMC/GC/DDC. Hence experience in PMC /GC/DDC for metro rail projects, urban rail system projects such as LRT, Monorail and also in designing of major structures such as railway flyovers, railway bridges, road flyovers, etc.	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
	for Key Personnel: Each of the Key Personnel must fulfil the conditions of Eligibility specified below: Pg 22 of RFP	experience in a single relevant project experience in this rank or one position below. Relevant project experience means working experience on GC / PMC / IE for detailed designing/ proof checking/ reviewing of structural drawings for elevated viaduct / underground tunnel / stations for Metro Rail / Railway System projects.	This may please be considered.	
108	2.2 Conditions of Eligibility of Applicants Pg 24 of RFP	(I) Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Personnel (K1) whose CVs will be evaluated for bidding: <u>Sl.No.11: Chief Safety Expert (HSE) (K1)</u> Minimum Qualifications in the relevant field: Graduate in Civil / Electrical Engineering	Graduation in <i>any branch of engineering</i> may please be considered as qualifying experience for “Chief Safety Expert (HSE) (K1).”	Tender conditions prevail
109	2.2 Conditions of Eligibility of Applicants Pg 19 of RFP	(I) Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Personnel (K1) whose CVs will be evaluated for bidding: <u>Sl.No.2: Dy. Team Leader (Systems - K1)</u> Shall have a minimum total experience of 20 years. Out of which minimum 08 years of experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least 03 years of experience in the rank of Dy Team Leader (System) or one position below	In order to have experts from vast pool of expertise available in Indian Railway Domain, “ relevant project experience in Indian Railways/Indian Railway Projects ” in addition to Metro Rail/Railway System projects may also be considered for “Dy. Team Leader (Systems - K1)”	Tender conditions prevail

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
		Shall have at least 3 years of working experience in a single relevant project experience in the rank of Dy Team Leader (System) or one position below. Relevant project experience means working experience with / as client /contractor while implementation of a Metro Rail / Railway System project or with / as consultant providing GC / PMC / IE services while implementation of a Metro Rail / Railway System projects.		
110	2.2 Conditions of Eligibility of Applicants Pg 23 of RFP	(I) Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Experts (K1) whose CV's will be evaluated for bidding: <u>Sl.No.9: Chief Traction & Power Supply Expert (K1):</u> Shall have a minimum total experience of 20 years. Out of which minimum 07 years of experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least 03 years of experience in the rank of proposed position or one position below. Shall have at least 2 years of working experience in a single relevant project experience in this rank or one position below. Relevant project experience means working experience with client / contractor while	In order to have experts from vast pool of expertise available in Indian Railway Domain, “relevant project experience in Indian Railways/Indian Railway Projects” in addition to Metro Rail/Railway System projects may also be considered for “<u>Chief Traction & Power Supply Expert (K1)</u>”	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
		implementation of a Metro Rail / Railway System projects or with/as consultant providing GC / PMC / IE services while implementation of a Metro Rail / Railway System projects.		
111	2.2 Conditions of Eligibility of Applicants Pg 21 of RFP	(I) Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Experts (K1) whose CV's will be evaluated for bidding <u>Sl.No.8: Chief Track Expert (K1):</u> Shall have a minimum total experience of 20 years. Out of which minimum 07 years of experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least 03 years of experience in the rank of proposed position or one position below. Shall have at least 2 years of working experience in a single relevant project experience in this rank or one position below. Relevant project experience means working experience with / as client / contractor while implementation of a Metro Rail / Railway System project or with / as consultant providing GC / PMC / IE services while implementation of a Metro Rail / Railway System projects.	In order to have experts from vast pool of expertise available in Indian Railway Domain, “relevant project experience in Indian Railways/Indian Railway Projects” in addition to Metro Rail/Railway System projects may also be considered for “<u>Chief Track Expert (K1)</u>”	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
112	4 General Consultant's Personnel and Sub-Consultants Pg 142 of RFP	4.5 Working hours, overtime, leave, etc. The Personnel shall not be entitled to be paid for overtime nor to take paid sick leave or vacation leave except as specified in the Agreement, and the General Consultant's remuneration shall be deemed to cover these items. All leave to be allowed to the Personnel is excluded from the Man Days of service set forth in Annex-2. Taking of leave by any Personnel for a period exceeding 7 days shall be subject to the prior approval of the Authority, and the General Consultant shall ensure that any absence on leave will not delay the progress and quality of the Services.	Accordingly, we request the Authority to consider allowing paid leave and client holiday without deduction from the approved Man Days.	Tender conditions prevail.
113	2.14 Technical Proposal Pg 42 of RFP.	2.14.1 Applicants shall submit the technical proposal in the formats at Appendix-I (the “ Technical Proposal ”). 2.14.3 Failure to comply with the requirements spelt out in this Clause 2.14 shall make the Proposal liable to be rejected and the Authority shall be entitled to forfeit the Bid Security.	It is brought to your notice that non-compliance with technical proposal submission requirements may arise due to inadvertent clerical errors, formatting issues, or minor deviations. Therefore, forfeiture of Bid Security for such procedural or documentation-related deficiencies appears to be excessively stringent in nature. It is requested to deletion of “ the Authority shall be entitled to forfeit the Bid Security ”	Tender conditions prevail.
114	Clause 2.1.1 – Technical Capacity / Eligible Assignments	For considering an Eligible Assignment, the relevant member is required to have a minimum 26% stake in the project undertaken and completed.	We request the Authority to kindly consider deletion of the stipulation requiring a minimum 26% equity/participation stake for an assignment to qualify as an Eligible Assignment. The relevance and capability derived from an assignment may instead be assessed based on the nature and extent of services performed, scope of responsibilities undertaken, and demonstrated contribution of the respective Consortium/JV member	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
			towards the successful execution of the assignment, irrespective of its percentage participation. The prescribed minimum stake requirement may inadvertently exclude technically competent firms that possess substantial and relevant experience in comparable GC/PMC/IE assignments but have participated with a share below 26%. Deletion of this stipulation would facilitate wider participation by experienced and capable firms, promote healthy competition, and enhance the overall quality and competitiveness of bids, without compromising the technical evaluation or assessment of the Applicants' relevant experience and capabilities.	
115	Clause 2.2.2(B) – Financial Capacity	Each member of a Joint Venture/Consortium must have a minimum average annual turnover of Rs. 200 Crore for consecutive five financial years ending March 2026/December 2025.	We request the Authority to relax this requirement. The financial capacity may kindly be assessed based on the combined financial strength of the JV/Consortium, with an appropriate minimum turnover requirement for the Lead Member and proportionate requirements for other Consortium Members based on their respective share in the Consortium. The present requirement may restrict technically capable specialist firms from participating despite the Consortium having adequate overall financial capacity.	Tender conditions prevail.
116	Item 3(n) – Chief Alignment and Project Coordination Expert (K1)	The proposed expert shall have a total experience of 25 years, including a minimum of 10 years' experience in preparation of FSRs/DPRs for rail-based urban mass transit systems, covering alignment design, alternative analysis, travel demand modelling, multi-modal integration, project structuring and coordination of various project activities. The expert is also required to have been Team Leader or one position below in at least three DPRs/FSRs	We request the Authority to consider revising the requirement for the Chief Alignment and Project Coordination Expert, as alignment and multi-modal integration are two distinct areas of expertise requiring different domain knowledge and professional experience. The present criteria require a single Key Personnel to possess experience in both alignment-related activities and multi-modal integration, in addition to other areas such as travel demand modelling, project structuring and project coordination. Considering the distinct and specialized nature of these	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
		for Metro Rail-based urban mass rapid transit systems.	functions, it may be difficult to identify a single professional possessing substantial and relevant experience in both Alignment and Multi-Modal Integration at the prescribed level. Therefore, we request the Authority to consider providing two separate Key Personnel positions—one for Alignment-related activities and another for Multi-Modal Integration/Project Coordination—with appropriate qualification and experience requirements defined separately for each position	
117	Clause 2.2.1 G Clause 2.2.2(B)	The RFP permits consideration of Technical and Financial Capacity along with Associates; however, separate turnover requirements are prescribed for each JV/Consortium Member.	Kindly clarify whether the financial capacity of an Associate can be considered for meeting the financial eligibility requirement of the respective Applicant/Consortium Member. A clear methodology for evaluation of financial capacity in case of a JV/Consortium with Associates may kindly be provided.	Tender conditions prevail
118	TOR – Cyber Security Audit	The GC is required to undertake Cyber Security Audit for systems delivered by the EPC agency.	Kindly provide detailed scope, applicable standards, frequency, deliverables and responsibility for the Cyber Security Audit. Further, if specialized third-party Cyber Security services are required, kindly clarify whether the associated costs shall be separately reimbursable.	Tender conditions prevail.
119	TOR – 5D-BIM / Digital Project Management Platform	The GC is required to validate contractor inputs and coordinate/authorize uploading of information into the 5D-BIM/Digital Project Management Platform.	Kindly clarify whether the 5D-BIM/Digital Project Management Platform, including software licenses, servers/cloud infrastructure, user licenses and integration tools, shall be provided by HAML. Any additional development, procurement or specialized BIM services required from the GC may kindly be treated as additional/reimbursable services.	Tender conditions prevail.
120	TOR – Key Personnel Deployment	Detailed man-month requirements are specified for Key Personnel, while the TOR also refers to deployment on a need basis	Kindly clarify whether the prescribed man-months are mandatory fixed deployment requirements or indicative requirements subject to actual project progress, work	Tender conditions prevail

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
		in a progressive manner.	fronts and requirements of the Authority. Deployment schedules may kindly be allowed to be suitably adjusted without attracting penalties where changes arise due to project requirements or Authority instructions.	
121	Conditions relating to Non-Deployment of Key Personnel	Financial deductions/penalties are prescribed for delay or failure in deployment of Key Personnel.	We request that penalties for non-deployment shall apply only where the GC fails to deploy the required personnel despite a specific written requirement and adequate notice from the Authority. Delays due to non-availability of work fronts, changes in project schedule or other reasons beyond the control of the GC should not attract penalties.	Tender conditions prevail
122	Key Personnel Substitution	The provisions relating to substitution of Key Personnel are restrictive and may result in deductions/other consequences.	We request the Authority to permit substitution of Key Personnel during the Assignment, subject to prior approval and replacement with personnel having equal or better qualifications and experience. Substitution due to resignation, retirement, medical reasons or other unforeseen circumstances beyond the Consultant's control should not attract financial penalties.	Tender conditions prevail
123	Damages / Deficiency in Services	The Authority may determine damages for errors, variations or deficiencies and may take penal action as per the Agreement.	We request that any damages or penalties for errors, variations or deficiencies shall be imposed only after providing the GC a reasonable opportunity to explain and rectify the deficiency. Any damages should be based on demonstrable direct loss attributable to the GC and remain subject to the contractual liability provisions.	Tender conditions prevail
124	Payment Mechanism / Stage-wise Payment	Payment for a subsequent stage is linked to completion of the preceding stage to the satisfaction of the Authority.	Considering that GC services are multidisciplinary and several activities will run concurrently, kindly clarify the definition of stages and associated payment milestones. Payments for personnel and services actually rendered may kindly be processed periodically and should not be withheld due to delays in unrelated activities or stages.	Tender conditions prevail

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
125	Clause 6.1.4 / Assignment Period	The RFP specifies an Assignment Period of 48 months, whereas the relevant provision refers to an initial 3-year Assignment Period and services beyond the 3-year period.	Kindly clarify and rectify the inconsistency between the 48-month Assignment Period and the reference to the 3-year period. Further, kindly confirm the applicability of the annual escalation mechanism for the entire stipulated Assignment Period of 48 months.	Clause amended. Please refer Addendum No 1.
126	General -	Last date for receiving Proposals (“Proposal Due Date” or PDD): 17.09.2026 at 15.00 Hrs IST	We respectfully request a four (4) week extension to the bid submission deadline from the date of issuance of the pre-bid replies, as the clarifications provided have a significant impact on the technical and commercial aspects of our proposal. Additional time is required to assess these changes and ensure that our submission is fully compliant, accurate, and aligned with the project requirements. We kindly request your consideration to grant this extension to enable a high-quality and well-prepared bid. Kindly consider.	Clause amended. Please refer Addendum No 1.
127	Page no. 14 of 222 1.8 Schedule of Selection Process	Signing of Agreement: Within 7 days of LoA	It is understood that upon issuance of the Letter of Award (LoA), the successful consultant is required to furnish the Performance Bank Guarantee prior to signing of the Agreement. Considering that issuance of the Performance Bank Guarantee and completion of internal banking formalities generally require two to three weeks’ time, we request the Authority to kindly allow execution/signing of the Agreement within 30 days from the date of LoA however consultant shall endeavor to sign the contract as early as possible. Kindly consider.	Clause amended. Please the Addendum No 1
128	Page no. 14 of 222	For the purposes of evaluation of Technical Proposal of the Applicants, US \$ conversion	We request the Authority to kindly clarify and freeze the applicable reference date/period for currency	Tender conditions prevail

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
	1.7 Currency conversion rate and payment	rate shall be as per the forex rate of Reserve Bank of India (RBI) on / in the applicable date / period. In case of any other currency, the same shall first be converted to US\$ as per the forex rate of RBI as on / in the applicable date / period, and the amount so derived in US\$ shall be converted into Rupees at the aforesaid rate.	conversion from foreign currency to US\$ and thereafter to INR. For uniformity and consistency in bid evaluation, it is requested that RBI forex exchange rates prevailing 28 days prior to the Proposal Submission Date may kindly be considered. Kindly consider.	
129	Clause 2.2.2 (I) Pg 19 of RfP	Team Leader (Civil K1) Shall have a minimum total of 25 years. Out of which minimum 08 years of experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least 5 years of experience in the rank of Team Leader or one position below. Shall have at least 3 years of working experience in a single relevant project in the rank of Team Leader or one position below.	We request the Authority to consider revising the experience requirement as follows: "Shall have a minimum total experience of 25 years, out of which at least 8 years shall be relevant to the present assignment. The expert shall have at least 3 years' experience in the capacity of Team Leader or one position below. Further, experience gained across three relevant projects in the capacity of Team Leader or one position below may also be considered in lieu of the requirement of 3 years' experience in a single project." This revision would facilitate broader participation of highly qualified professionals possessing diversified experience across multiple metro rail projects while maintaining the overall quality and competency requirements of the assignment Kindly consider.	Tender conditions prevail
130	Clause 2.2.2 (I) Pg 19 of RfP	Dy. Team Leader (Systems - K1) Shall have a minimum total experience of 20 years. Out of which minimum 08 years of experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least 03 years of experience in the rank of Dy	We request the Authority to consider revising the experience requirement as follows: "Shall have a minimum total experience of 20 years, out of which at least 8 years shall be relevant to the present assignment. The expert shall have at least 2 years' experience in the capacity of Deputy Team Leader	Tender conditions prevail

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
		Team Leader (System) or one position below Shall have at least 3 years of working experience in a single relevant project experience in the rank of Dy Team Leader (System) or one position below	(Systems) or one position below. Further, experience gained across two relevant projects in such capacity may also be considered acceptable." This modification would increase competition and enable participation of experienced professionals who have successfully contributed to multiple metro rail projects in leadership positions while ensuring the required technical expertise for the assignment. Kindly consider.	
131	2.2 Conditions of Eligibility of Applicants 2.2.2 (D) (I) (II)	Total Estimated Man Months (K1): 424 Total K2 Man Months: 775 (III) Break up of Man Months for K3, K4, K5 & K6 positions The total Man Months proposed to be deployed for K3, K4, K5 & K6 positions are 2,400 Man Months.	As per our understanding, the total deployment of 3,599 Man Months for K1 to K6 personnel over the 48-month GC assignment for the cumulative 63 Km corridor appears to be on the lower side considering the overall scope and requirements of the project. We kindly request the Authority to relook into the proposed Man Month allocation and consider suitable revision, if deemed appropriate. We would also be grateful if the Authority could kindly share the deployment plan for K1 to K6 personnel, including the indicative timelines and priority of the following corridors, to enable bidders to appropriately plan the required resources: Corridor 4: Nagole – RGIA: 36.8 Km / 24 Stations Corridor 5: Raidurg – Kokapet Neopolis: 11.6 Km / 8 Stations Corridor 6: MGBS – Chandrayangutta: 7.5 Km / 6 Stations Corridor 8: LB Nagar – Hayat Nagar: 7.1 Km / 6 Stations	Tender conditions prevail

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
132	Clause 2.14 Technical Proposal 2.14.2 Pg 42 of RfP	d) CVs of all Professional Personnel have been submitted;	We understand that only 15 K1 key CV need to be submitted with the bid. Kindly confirm.	Tender conditions prevail. Clause is self-explanatory.
133	Clause 2.14 Technical Proposal 2.14.4 Pg 42 of RfP	If an individual Key Personnel makes a false averment regarding his qualification, experience or other particulars, or his commitment regarding availability for the Project is not fulfilled at any stage after signing of the Agreement, he shall be liable to be debarred for any future assignment of the Authority for a period of 5 (five) years from the date of notice to that effect from the Authority. The award of this Consultancy to the Applicant may also be liable to cancellation in such an event.	We understand that in case of submission of false information, client may impose punitive actions including debarment of the Key personnel for 5 years. In this regard, we wish to clarify that the Consultant undertakes full responsibility for due diligence, verification, and cross-checking of all submitted CVs and credentials of key personnel. However, despite reasonable verification measures, instances of incorrect or false information provided by individuals may still occur due to misrepresentation beyond the Consultant's control. Therefore, we request the client to kindly consider that in such cases, punitive action may be limited to debarment of the concerned individual (key personnel) only, and no cancellation of the Consultancy will be done. Kindly consider.	Tender conditions prevail.
134	Clause 2.14 Technical Proposal: 2.14.6 Pg 43 of RfP	The GC shall be required to arrange for technical visits for the representatives of the Authority to different Metro Rail systems across the globe for interaction and exposure to latest technology. These visits shall be spread over the total Assignment Period and shall include all the aspects of Metro Rail system from foundation to completion and operation. For the purpose	It may kindly be noted that in general practice the expenses toward such training/ global exposure requirement are accounted for in a separate head of the financial proposal or through provisional sums which are non-competitive items. Thus, we request client to consider a suitable amount towards this requirement to be made part of the financial proposal as a non-competitive head and to be used at actuals based on the approval from the client	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
		of Financial Proposal, Applicant shall include an estimated 12 (twelve) technical visits of two representatives of the Authority each time for interaction and exposure distributed between Europe and Asia during the entire Assignment Period (minimum 175 man days). The cost of travel, boarding and lodging in this connection will have to be borne by GC.	and subject to the overall ceiling limit of the training head. This will level out the field for all the consultants. Kindly consider.	
135	2.14 Technical Proposal Pg 44 of RfP	2.14.9 In case it is found during the evaluation or at any time before signing of the Agreement or after its execution and during the period of subsistence thereof, that one or more of the eligibility conditions have not been met by the Applicant or the Applicant has made material misrepresentation or has given any materially incorrect or false information, the Applicant shall be disqualified forthwith if not yet appointed as the General Consultant either by issue of the LOA or entering into of the Agreement, and if the Applicant has already been issued the LOA or has entered into the Agreement, as the case may be, the same shall, notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained therein or in this RFP, be liable to be terminated, by a communication in writing by the Authority without the Authority being liable in any manner whatsoever to the Applicant or General Consultant, as the case may be. In such an event, the Authority shall forfeit	Consultants inherently undertake regress due-diligence in order to provide accurate and correct information in their bids. However, in particular cases wherein the Consultant inadvertently fails to provide correct information or submit any misrepresenting information pertaining to firm's/bidders eligibility conditions, we respectfully request the Client to kindly provide a reasonable opportunity to clarify, explain, or rectify any inadvertent errors, omissions, or non-material discrepancies identified during evaluation or contract execution prior to any measures mentioned under Clause 2.14.9. However, if the consultant fails to provide a reasonable and acceptable clarification then an official warning may be given to the consultant. Further, we request that forfeiture of Bid Security/Performance Security may be limited only in a case where the clarification so issued by the consultant as mentioned above is found to be unsatisfactory and misrepresentation, fraud, or submission of materially false information by the consultant is established. Kindly consider.	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
		and appropriate the Bid Security or the Performance Security, as the case may be, as mutually agreed pre-estimated compensation and damages payable to the Authority for, inter alia, time, cost and effort of the Authority, without prejudice to any other right or remedy that may be available to the Authority.		
136	2.14.9 last paragraph Pg 44 of RfP	In such an event, the Authority shall forfeit and appropriate the Bid Security or the Performance Security, as the case may be, as mutually agreed pre-estimated compensation and damages payable to the Authority for, inter alia, time, cost and effort of the Authority, without prejudice to any other right or remedy that may be available to the Authority.	We kindly request the Authority for the deletion of the below section in bold: “In such an event, the Authority shall forfeit and appropriate the Bid Security or the Performance Security, as the case may be, as mutually agreed pre-estimated compensation and damages payable to the Authority for, inter alia, time, cost and effort of the Authority, without prejudice to any other right or remedy that may be available to the Authority.” We understand that a general non-compliance with the tender requirements should not be the reason for the Authority to forfeit the Bid Security. Kindly consider.	Tender conditions prevail.
137	Clause 2.15.2 (i) Pg 44 of RfP	2.15.2 (i) In case any assumption or condition is indicated in the Financial Proposal, it shall be considered non-responsive and liable to be rejected and the Authority shall be entitled to appropriate the Bid Security.	We kindly request the Authority request to delete the below section in bold and rephrase the para 2.15.2 (i) as: “In case any assumption or condition is indicated in the Financial Proposal, it shall be considered non-responsive and liable to be rejected and the Authority	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
			shall be entitled to appropriate the Bid Security.” We understand that a general non-compliance with the tender requirements should not be the reason for the Authority to forfeit the Bid Security. Kindly consider.	
138	Clause 2.16 Submission of Proposal Pg 45 of RfP	The rates quoted by the GC in its Financial Proposal shall be firm for the first one year of the Assignment Period. Subsequent to the first year of the Assignment Period and for the remainder of the initial 3 year term of the Assignment Period, the rate paid by the Authority to the GC shall be escalated every year at 5% on a non-compounded basis on the rate for the first year of the Assignment Period. In case the Services are required to be provided beyond the contemplated 4 year Assignment Period, the rate to be paid to the GC by the Authority shall similarly be escalated at the rate of 5% per annum on non-compounded basis on the rate for the first year of the Assignment Period. The Authority shall in no event be required to pay the GC any sum in excess of the aforesaid amounts.	We kindly request the Authority to consider providing the 5% annual escalation on a compounded basis, instead of a non-compounded basis, for the rates applicable from the second year onwards, including any extension of the Assignment Period. Accordingly, we request the Authority to kindly amend the relevant provision to reflect 5% compounded annual escalation on the rate applicable in the preceding year. Kindly consider.	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1
139	Clause 2.20.4 (a) Pg 48 of RfP	(a) If an Applicant submits a non-responsive Proposal;	We kindly request the deletion of the below section in bold: “(a) If an Applicant submits a non-responsive Proposal;” We understand that the Bid Security is intended to	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
			protect the Authority against the risk that a bidder participates in the procurement process but withdraws during the period of validity of its proposal or refuses to execute the contract if selected. A general non-compliance with the tender requirements should not be a reason for the Authority to forfeit the Bid Security. Kindly consider.	
140	Clause 2.20.4 (g) Pg 49 of RfP	(g) Where the Authority is entitled to reject any Proposal.	We kindly request the authority to delete the following provision, as being contradictory to the general industry practices: “(g) Where the Authority is entitled to reject any Proposal.” If not, we request the Authority to provide clarification regarding the circumstances under which this provision would be applicable. Further, we humbly request the Authority to clarify that rejection of a Proposal, including on grounds of technical non-compliance, shall not by itself result in forfeiture of the Bid Security. Kindly consider.	Tender conditions prevail
141	Clause 2.20.4 (h) Pg 49 of RfP	(h) In case the Selected Applicant appointed as a GC fails to complete the deliverables assigned to it for the first 6(six) months of the Consultancy in accordance with the provisions of the Agreement.	We kindly request the Authority to delete the following provision, as the performance obligations during the Consultancy period are secured through the Performance Guarantee: “(h) In case the Selected Applicant appointed as a GC fails to complete the deliverables assigned to it	Tender conditions prevail

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
			for the first 6(six) months of the Consultancy in accordance with the provisions of the Agreement.” Kindly consider.	
142	Clause 2.14.3 Pg 42 of RfP	2.14.3 Failure to comply with the requirements spelt out in this Clause 2.14 shall make the Proposal liable to be rejected and the Authority shall be entitled to forfeit the Bid Security.	We kindly request the authority for deletion of the below section in bold: “2.14.3 Failure to comply with the requirements spelt out in this Clause 2.14 shall make the Proposal liable to be rejected and the Authority shall be entitled to forfeit the Bid Security.” As the Bid Security is intended to protect the Authority against the risk that a bidder participates in the procurement process but withdraws during the period of validity of its proposal or refuses to execute the contract if selected. A general non-compliance with the tender requirements should not be the reason for the Authority to forfeit the Bid Security. Kindly consider.	Tender conditions prevail
143	Clause 2.25 Substitution of Key Personnel 2.25.2 Pg 52 of RfP	(ii) Substitution of Key Personnel (K1) when he is working with the Lead Member, its Associate or any other Consortium Member, as the case may be, will not be accepted under any circumstances.	We kindly request the authority to delete the clause mentioned or kindly include exemptions related to death, medical incapacity to work or any reason beyond reasonable control of the consultant. Kindly consider.	Tender conditions prevail
144	Clause 2.25 Substitution	(i) The Authority expects all the Key Personnel (K1) to be available during first	We request the Authority to kindly reconsider the deduction percentages applicable for substitution of	Tender conditions prevail

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
	of Key Personnel 2.25.2 Pg 52 of RfP	year of implementation of the Agreement. The Authority will not consider substitution of Key Personnel (K1) except for reasons of any incapacity or due to health. Such substitution shall ordinarily be limited to one Key Personnel (K1) subject to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel being provided to the satisfaction of the Authority. As a condition to such substitution, a sum equal to 10% (ten per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel (K1) for the first three months from the date of such substitution of Key Personnel shall be deducted from the payments due to the General Consultant. In the case of a second substitution hereunder, such deduction shall be 20% (twenty per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel (K1) for the first three months from the date of such substitution. Any further substitution may lead to disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement.	Key Personnel (K1) during the first year of implementation. Considering the long project duration and practical challenges associated with availability of specialized experts, it is requested that the deduction for first substitution may kindly be reduced from 10% to 5% of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel (K1) for the first three months, and for second substitution from 20% to 10%. Kindly Consider.	
145	Clause 2.25 Pg 52 Substitution of Key Personnel & 4.9 Non-deployment of Personnel Pg 143 of RfP	-	We request to kindly cap the provisions of deductions due to substitutions of Key personnels and non-deployment up to a maximum of 5% of the total remuneration payable to GC. Kindly Consider.	Tender conditions prevail
146	Clause 2.25	Substitution of the Team Leader will not	It is requested that the Authority may kindly consider	Tender conditions

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority								
	Substitution of Key Personnel – clause 2.25.3 Pg 53 of RfP	normally be considered and may lead to disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement.	permitting substitution of the Team Leader in exceptional and unavoidable circumstances such as medical reasons, incapacity, death, or other circumstances beyond the control of the GC, subject to prior approval of the authority and deployment of an equivalent or better qualified replacement expert. Also, Substitution of the Team Leader should not be linked to disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement. Kindly Consider.	prevail								
147	Clause 2.30 Performance Guarantee Pg 54 of RfP	The General Consultant shall be required to furnish a performance security of a value equivalent to 3% (three percent) of the Agreement Value. The Authority shall retain by way of performance security, 3% (three percent) of all the amounts due and payable to the General Consultant. The General Consultant may, in lieu of retention of the amounts as referred above, furnish a Bank Guarantee, substantially in the form specified at Annex-7 of this Agreement.	We understand that the required Performance Security of 3% of the Agreement Value may be furnished either by way of a Bank Guarantee or through 3% retention from the payments due to the General Consultant, and that the Performance Security shall not be required through both modes simultaneously. Kindly confirm our understanding.	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1								
148	Clause 3. CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION 3.1 Evaluation of Technical Proposals Pg 55 of RfP	3.1.3 <table> <tr> <th>Item Code</th> <th>Parameter</th> <th>Max. Marks</th> <th>Criteria</th> </tr> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Relevant Experience of the Applicant</td> <td>10</td> <td>30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments</td> </tr> </table>	Item Code	Parameter	Max. Marks	Criteria	1	Relevant Experience of the Applicant	10	30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments	We understand that 30% of the total marks (i.e., 3 marks out of 10) shall be awarded based on the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum of six Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% (i.e., 7 marks) shall be awarded based on: the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and other similar work in the Metro Rail sector. In this regard, we request the Authority to kindly define	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1
Item Code	Parameter	Max. Marks	Criteria									
1	Relevant Experience of the Applicant	10	30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments									

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause				Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
					(maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and (ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector	objectively the criteria for “comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments,” including the parameters to be considered for evaluation and the indicative benchmark/ Number of assignments required to secure maximum marks under this category. Further, we request the Authority to clarify the scope of “other similar work in the Metro Rail sector” and the basis on which such experience will be assessed for scoring purposes. Additionally, we request clarification on whether the same Eligible Assignment may be considered under both the 30% and 70% evaluation criteria for the purpose of scoring. Kindly Consider.	
149	Clause 3. CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION 3.1 Evaluation of Technical Proposals Pg 53 of RfP	3.1.3				We understand that 30% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments). The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments undertaken by the Key Personnel; and other similar work in Metro Rail sectors. In this regard, we request the Authority to kindly define the criteria for “comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments,” including the parameters to be considered for evaluation and the indicative benchmark/number of assignments required to secure maximum marks under this category. Further, we request the Authority to clarify the scope of	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1
		Item Code	Parameter	Max. Marks	Criteria		
		1	Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel	10	30% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments as		

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause				Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
					per the scoring criteria mentioned in the clause) (as specified below) the respective Key Personnel has worked on. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments undertaken by the Key Personnel; and (ii) other similar work in Metro Rail sectors. The criteria for scoring are given below:	“other similar work in the Metro Rail sector” and the basis on which such experience will be assessed for scoring purposes. Alternatively, please consider the following criteria for the evaluation of CVs. Min. education and Total Yrs of Exp.: 30% Relevant Experience (in Yrs) with client / contractor / consultant: 50% Similar Position / One Level Below Position Exp. (in Yrs): 20 % Kindly Consider.	
150	Clause 3. CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION 3.1 Evaluation of Technical Proposals Pg 53 of RfP	3.1.3 Table Point 3(a) to 3(o): Key Personnels criteria				We understand that the criteria specified in the respective Key Personnel evaluation table shall be considered as the applicable and final qualification requirements for assessment of Key Personnel. Further, we understand that the requirements mentioned under Clause 3.1.4 – Eligible Assignments, Page No. 70 of 213, sub-clause (a) Technical Capacity,	Clause is amended. Please refer Annexure – I to Addendum No 1

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
			point (b), pertain to the Applicant's eligibility criteria and not to the qualification requirements of Key Personnel. Additionally, we kindly request the Authority to clarify the criteria for “Eligible Assignments” for Key Personnel for securing maximum marks under the provision wherein 30% of the total marks for each Key Personnel is awarded based on the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum of six Eligible Assignments). Kindly confirm.	
151	Clause 3. CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION 3.1 Evaluation of Technical Proposals Pg 56 of RfP	3.1.3 Table 3(a) to 3(o): Key Personnel criteria 3(a): Shall have at least 3 years of continuous working experience in a single relevant project in the rank of Team Leader or one position below. 3(b): Shall have at least 3 years of continuous working experience in a single relevant project in the rank of Dy Team Leader (System) or one position below. 3(c) to 3(o): Shall have at least 2 years of continuous working experience in a single relevant project experience in this rank or one position below	We kindly request the Authority to amend the criteria as: 3(a): Shall have at least 3 years of working experience in a single relevant project in the rank of Team Leader or one position below. 3(b): Shall have at least 3 years of working experience in a single relevant project in the rank of Dy Team Leader (System) or one position below. 3(c) to 3(o): Shall have at least 2 years of working experience in a single relevant project experience in this rank or one position below Kindly consider.	Tender condition prevail.
152	Clause 4. FRAUD AND CORRUPT PRACTICES 4.1 Pg 64 of RfP	In such an event, the Authority shall, without prejudice to its any other rights or remedies, forfeit and appropriate the Bid Security or Performance Security, as the case may be, as mutually agreed genuine pre-estimated	We kindly request the Authority to delete the below wording as being too broadly formulated: “In such an event, the Authority shall, without prejudice to its any other rights or remedies, forfeit	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
		compensation and damages payable to the Authority for, inter alia, time, cost and effort of the Authority, in regard to the RFP, including consideration and evaluation of such Applicant's Proposal.	and appropriate the Bid Security or Performance Security, as the case may be, as mutually agreed genuine pre-estimated compensation and damages payable to the Authority for, inter alia, time, cost and effort of the Authority, in regard to the RFP, including consideration and evaluation of such Applicant's Proposal.” Kindly consider.	
153	Annexure A BID SECURITY Pg 69 of RfP	1. (...) if the Applicant shall at any time fail to fulfil or comply with all or any of the terms and conditions contained in the said RfP or the Proposal. 2. Any such written demand made by the Authority stating that the Applicant is in default or breach of the due and faithful fulfilment and compliance with the terms and conditions contained in the RfP or the Proposal submitted by it shall be final, conclusive and binding on the Bank.	We kindly request the Authority for the following changes in Annexure A: “(...) if the Applicant shall at any time fail to fulfil or comply with all or any of the terms and conditions contained in the said RfP or the Proposal expressly mentioning the right of the Authority to forfeit the Security Bid. 2. Any such written demand made by the Authority stating that the Applicant is in default or breach of the due and faithful fulfilment and compliance with the terms and conditions contained in the RfP or the Proposal submitted by it expressly mentioning the right of the Authority to forfeit the Security Bid shall be final, conclusive and binding on the Bank.” As the Bid Security is intended to protect the Authority against the risk that a bidder participates in the procurement process but withdraws during the period of validity of its proposal or refuses to execute the contract if selected.	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
			A general non-compliance with the tender requirements should not be the reason for the Authority to forfeit the Bid Security. Kindly consider.	
154	Clause 3.5.1 Site Visits Pg 89 of RfP	1) (...) It should be noted that though the responsibility for correctness, completeness and adequacy of the works constructed by the contractors and for compliance with statutory obligations remain with the contractors, GC will be responsible for any deficiency in the performance of the contractors.	We kindly request the Authority to adjust the provisions as follows: <i>“1) (...) It should be noted that though the responsibility for correctness, completeness and adequacy of the works constructed by the contractors and for compliance with statutory obligations remain with the contractors, and GC will not be responsible for any deficiency in the performance of the contractors.”</i> The grounds for such change are the following: The GC is liable only pursuant to the Agreement for its own scope of work. The GC does not have direct contractual relationships with the contractors. The GC is not a guarantor for the performance of the contractors. Disproportionate risks incumbent on the GCC compared to compensation. Responsibility without decision-making authority. Kindly consider.	Tender conditions prevail.
155	Clause 1.2 Request for Proposal (xx) Pg 4 of RfP	(xx) Any other services that are not explicitly mentioned above but are necessary to carry out the GC assignment.	We kindly request the Authority that, to ensure commercial certainty regarding the scope of work, please delete:	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
			“(xx) Any other services that are not explicitly mentioned above but are necessary to carry out the GC assignment.” As bidders can price the assignment accurately only if all material services and deliverables are clearly defined at bid stage. Kindly consider.	
156	8.Services and Facilities to be provided by HAML to GC Pg 96 of RfP	-	Requesting authority to add clause Provide rent-free furnished air-conditioned office space of 300 Sq. m floor area which will be increased to 800 sqmts progressively, with reasonably adequate electrical switch points. All office furniture and furnishings including chairs, tables etc. as required, and office equipment including Computers, (except telephone instruments), software related items etc., as required have to be arranged by the GC. Power consumption charges and telephone charges are to be borne by the GC. Security and housekeeping will also be provided by the GC. Transport facility to the staff will be provided by the GC at their own cost. The space will be provided in HAML headquarters or any other suitable place available to with HAML. In case of non-availability of such office space with HAML, reasonable rent for similar accommodation as aforesaid (to be engaged by GC) shall be reimbursed by HAML.	Tender conditions prevail.
157	Clause 16.15.2 Deployment of Key	Key Personnel shall be deployed on need basis and the work on the Assignment shall commence within 15 days of the Letter of Award of the Assignment in a progressive	Considering the scale and multidisciplinary nature of the Assignment, including mobilization of specialized Key Personnel, statutory notice periods, travel and onboarding requirements, we request the Authority to	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
	Personnel Pg 111 of RfP	manner.	kindly extend the timeline for commencement of services from 15 days to 60 days from the date of Letter of Award. Kindly consider.	
158	Clause 1.4 Governing law and jurisdiction Pg 121 of RfP 9.4 Arbitration Pg 150 of RfP	-	Please clarify that arbitration pursuant to Clause 9.4 of the Agreement is applicable and not courts pursuant to Clause 1.4 of the Agreement.	Tender conditions prevail.
159	1. GENERAL 1.12 (I) Representations and Warranties Pg 125 of RfP	(I) the Key Personnel with estimated Man Months of 12 (twelve) or more are full-time permanent employees of the General Consultant {or of its Associates or its Consortium Members, as the case may be}.	We respectfully request the Authority to allow hiring/ deployment of experts for the Key Personnel positions having estimated Man-Months of 12 or more, instead of restricting such positions only to full-time permanent employees of the Applicant/Consortium Member. Most experienced permanent Key Personnel are presently engaged on ongoing projects, and it may not be feasible to withdraw them from their current assignments. Further, replacement of already deployed Key Personnel may also not be preferred by the respective clients. Allowing engagement of experts for these positions would broaden the pool of available qualified professionals and enable bidders to propose the most suitable and experienced personnel for the assignment, thereby ensuring effective and timely execution of the project. Kindly consider.	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
160	Clause 2.7.4 Extension of time Pg 128 of RfP	Any period within which a Party shall, pursuant to this Agreement, complete any action or task, shall be extended for a period equal to the time during which such Party was unable to perform such action as a result of Force Majeure.	We kindly request the Authority to consider providing for an Extension of Time (EOT) for delays arising from events or circumstances not attributable to the General Consultant and beyond its reasonable control, including but not limited to delays caused by the Authority or other project-related factors. Further, where such EOT is granted for reasons not attributable to the General Consultant, we request the Authority to consider appropriate adjustment/escalation of the applicable rates and costs for the extended period, as may be mutually agreed between the Parties. We kindly request the Authority to suitably incorporate the above provisions in the SCC. Kindly consider.	Tender conditions prevail.
161	Clause 2.8 Suspension of Agreement 2.8.1 Suspension of Payments Pg 128 of RfP	The Authority may, by written notice of suspension to the General Consultant, suspend all payments to the General Consultant hereunder if the General Consultant shall be in breach of this Agreement or shall fail to perform any of its obligations under this Agreement, including the carrying out of the Services; provided that such notice of suspension (i) shall specify the nature of the breach or failure, and (ii) shall provide an opportunity to the General Consultant to remedy such breach or failure within a period not exceeding thirty (30) days after receipt by the General Consultant of such notice of suspension.	We request that the suspension and termination provisions be made more balanced and procedurally structured. Specifically, we propose that client shall first issue a written notice clearly specifying the nature of the alleged breach, followed by a reasonable cure period of up to 60 days depending on the severity and nature of the issue. Suspension of services and/or payments should only be effected if the Consultant fails to remedy the breach within the agreed cure period. Further, any termination of the Agreement should be subject to a minimum notice period of 90 days to allow for orderly demobilization and mitigation of costs and obligations by the Consultant. Kindly consider.	Tender conditions prevail.
162	Clause 2.9	The Authority may, by not less than thirty	We request that termination rights be exercised only in	Tender conditions

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
	Termination of Agreement 2.9.1 By the Authority Pg 129 of RfP	(30) days’ written notice of termination to the General Consultant, such notice to be given after the occurrence of any of the events specified in this Clause 2.9.1, terminate this Agreement if: (a) the General Consultant fails to remedy any breach hereof or any failure in the performance of its obligations hereunder, as specified in a notice of suspension pursuant to Clause 2.8.1 hereinabove, within thirty (30) days of receipt of such notice of suspension or within such further period as the Authority may have subsequently granted in writing;	case of material and substantiated breach of obligations. The cure period for rectification should be flexible between up to 60 days depending on the nature and complexity of the issue. Further, determination of satisfactory rectification should not rest solely with the Employer but be subject to mutual agreement or independent review where required. Additionally, termination should be subject to a minimum notice period of 90 days to ensure orderly demobilization and continuity of services. Termination should not be effected while the cure process or any dispute resolution mechanism is actively ongoing. Kindly consider.	prevail.
163	Clause 2.8 Suspension of Agreement Pg 128 of RfP		Please add at the end of Art. 2.8, a suspension right in favor of the General Consultant as well, as follows: <i>“2.8.3 Suspension by the General Consultant: The General Consultant may, by written notice of suspension to the Client, suspend any of or all Services hereunder if the Client fails to perform any of its obligations under this Contract, including the payment obligations, provided that such notice of suspension (i) shall specify the nature of the failure, and (ii) shall request the Client to remedy such failure within a period not exceeding thirty (30) Days after receipt by the Client of such notice of suspension. Such suspension may be revoked on proof of remedial action by the Client to the satisfaction of the General Consultant.”</i>	Tender conditions prevail.
164	Clause 2.9 Termination of Agreement	(f) As the result of Force Majeure, the General Consultant is unable to perform a material portion of the Services for a period of not less than sixty (60) days;	We kindly request the Authority to reconsider the provision for termination on account of Force Majeure, as such events are beyond the reasonable control of	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
	2.9.1 By the Authority Pg 129 of RfP		the General Consultant. If deletion of the provision is not considered feasible, we respectfully request the Authority to consider reframing the clause as follows: “2.9.1(f) As the result of Force Majeure, the General Consultant is unable to perform a material portion of the Services for a period of not less than sixty (60) days, subject to Clause 2.7.”	
165	Clause 3.4.3 introductory para. Agreement Pg 136 of RfP	The Parties hereto agree that except in case of negligence or willful misconduct on the part of the General Consultant or on the part of any person or firm acting on behalf of the General Consultant in carrying out the Services, the General Consultant, with respect to damage caused to the Authority's property, shall not be liable to the Authority:	We kindly request the Authority to clarify the intended scope and interpretation of the phrase “with respect to damage caused to the Authority's property” appearing in the above provision. As currently worded, this provision could potentially be interpreted narrowly as applying only to damage caused to the Authority's physical or tangible property. Under such an interpretation, claims arising from the performance or non-performance of the Services, including mis performance of the Services, financial losses or other damages not directly related to physical property, may fall outside the scope of the liability limitation provided under Article 3.4.3. This could consequently result in unlimited liability exposure for such categories of claims. Given the nature, scale and importance of the Services under this RFP, such critical and significant opportunities are required to undergo a detailed review	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
			by our legal departments in our European offices as part of our internal risk assessment. The interpretation of the above provision is therefore particularly important for our assessment, as the current wording and its potentially restrictive interpretation may result in significant unlimited liability exposure and could materially impact our ability to participate in the procurement. In this regard, we kindly request the Authority to consider suitably amending the provision to ensure that the liability limitation is not restricted solely to damage to the Authority’s property and that claims arising from the performance or non-performance of the Services are also clearly subject to the agreed liability cap, except where expressly excluded under the Agreement. For clarity and to avoid any ambiguity, we propose that the relevant portion of the provision be amended along the following lines: “The Parties hereto agree that except in case of negligence or willful misconduct on the part of the General Consultant or on the part of any person or firm acting on behalf of the General Consultant in carrying out the Services, the General Consultant, with respect to any damages and indemnities arising out of or in connection with the Services, shall not be liable to the Authority.”	
166	Clause 3.4.3 (ii) Agreement	(ii) for any direct loss or damage that exceeds the aggregate of (a) the	In line with the industry standards, we request to implement the following deletions in Clause 3.4.3 (ii) of	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
	Pg 137 of RfP	Agreement Value set forth in Clause 6.1.2 of this Agreement, and (b) the proceeds the General Consultant may be entitled to receive from any insurance maintained by the General Consultant to cover such a liability in accordance with Clause 3.5.2.	the Agreement: <i>“(ii) for any direct loss or damage that exceeds the aggregate of (a) the Agreement Value set forth in Clause 6.1.2 of this Agreement, and (b) the proceeds the General Consultant may be entitled to receive from any insurance maintained by the General Consultant to cover such a liability in accordance with Clause 3.5.2”</i>	
167	Clause 3.4.3 last paragraph Agreement Pg 137 of RfP	This limitation of liability shall not affect the General Consultant’s liability, if any, for damage to Third Parties caused by the General Consultant or any person or firm acting on behalf of the General Consultant in carrying out the Services, or on account of any deficiency in the Services.	Please delete the last paragraph of Clause 3.4.3 in order for the bidders to have more predictability and enable them to submit even more competitive proposals: <i>“This limitation of liability shall not affect the General Consultant’s liability, if any, for damage to Third Parties caused by the General Consultant or any person or firm acting on behalf of the General Consultant in carrying out the Services, or on account of any deficiency in the Services.”</i> The suggested modifications would also ensure alignment with Item 2.26 Indemnity INSTRUCTIONS TO APPLICANTS, which is clearer and more predictable in terms of interpretation: <i>“The General Consultant shall, subject to the provisions of the Agreement, indemnify the Authority for an amount not exceeding the value of the Agreement for any direct loss or damage that is caused due to any deficiency in Services.”</i>	Tender conditions prevail.
168	Clause 4.4 Substitution of Key Personnel	There will not be any deduction for the substitutions from second year onwards subject to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel being provided to	We understand that the 10% deduction for the first substitution and 20% deduction for the second substitution shall be applicable only during the first year	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
	Pg 142 of RfP	the satisfaction of the Authority. Such substitution shall however be limited to two in each year. There will not be any deduction for the substitutions from second year onwards.	of the assignment, and no such deduction shall apply from the second year onwards, subject to the stipulated conditions. We further understand that from the second year onwards, substitution of Key Personnel shall be limited to two substitutions per year. Kindly confirm our understanding.	
169	Clause4.4 Substitution of Key Personnel Pg 142 of RfP		New paragraph (iv) suggested to be inserted under Clause 4.4. of the Agreement stating that: <i>“The aggregate deductions set forth under this Clause 4.4 are limited to maximum 5% of the Agreement Value.”</i>	Tender conditions prevail.
170	Clause4.4 Substitution of Key Personnel Pg 143 of RfP	The Authority expects all the Key Personnel to be available during first year of implementation of the Agreement. During the first year of the Agreement, the Authority will not consider substitution of Key Personnel except for reasons of any incapacity or due to health. Such substitution shall ordinarily be limited to one Key Personnel subject to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel being provided to the satisfaction of the Authority. As a condition to such substitution, a sum equal to 10% (ten per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel for the first three months from the date of such substitution of Key Personnel shall be deducted from the payments due	We understand that substitution of Key Personnel during the first year is permitted in cases of incapacity or health-related reasons, subject to the replacement personnel being equally or better qualified and experienced. In such circumstances, we kindly request the Authority to clarify that no deduction or financial penalty shall be applicable for such approved substitutions. Kindly consider.	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
		to the General Consultant. In the case of a second substitution hereunder, such deduction shall be 20% (twenty per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel for the first three months from the date of such substitution.		
171	Clause 7. LIQUIDATED DAMAGES AND PENALTIES 7.1 Performance Security & 7.2 Damages 7.2.1 Damages for error/variation Pg 147, 148 of RfP	4.9 For the avoidance of doubt, the Authority shall have the right to recover such liquidated damages by invoking, and appropriating from, the Performance Security or otherwise. 7.1.1 The Authority shall retain by way of performance security (the “Performance Security”), 3% (three percent) of all the amounts due and payable to the General Consultant, to be appropriated against breach of this Agreement or for recovery of liquidated damages as specified in Clause 7.2 herein. 7.2.1 The Authority shall be entitled to recover the same by appropriation from the Performance Security or otherwise. The foregoing obligation to pay liquidated damages shall be without prejudice to the Authority’s right to terminate the Agreement.	We understand that the Performance Security is intended to secure the due performance of the General Consultant’s obligations under the Agreement. We kindly request the Authority to consider that the Performance Security should not be invoked or appropriated towards recovery of Liquidated Damages (LDs), breach-related claims, or other monetary deductions , and should be limited to cases of non-performance/default as specifically intended under the Agreement. Accordingly, we respectfully request the Authority to delete the relevant provisions under Clauses 4.9, 7.1.1 and 7.2.1 to this effect. Kindly consider.	Tender conditions prevail.
172	Clause 5.3 Change in Applicable Law Pg 145 of RfP	If, after the date of this Agreement, there is any change in the Applicable Laws of India with respect to taxes and duties (other than any taxes and duties which are assessed with reference to income of the General Consultant) which increases or decreases the cost or reimbursable expenses incurred by the General Consultant in performing the Services, by an amount exceeding 2% (two	We kindly request the Authority to consider removing the 2% threshold and allow appropriate adjustment in the remuneration, reimbursable expenses and Agreement Value for any increase or decrease in cost arising due to changes in Applicable Laws relating to taxes and duties. Kindly consider.	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
		per cent) of the Agreement Value specified in Clause 6.1.2, then the remuneration and reimbursable expenses otherwise payable to the General Consultant under this Agreement shall be increased or decreased accordingly by agreement between the Parties hereto, and corresponding adjustments shall be made to the aforesaid Agreement Value.		
173	Clause 6.3 Mode of billing and payment. Pg 146 of RfP	(b) The General Consultant shall be paid for its Services as per the Payment Schedule at Annex-6 of this Agreement, subject to the General Consultant fulfilling the following conditions: (i) No payment shall be due for the next stage till the General Consultant completes to the satisfaction of the Authority the work pertaining to the preceding stage.	We understand that the payment mechanism under Annexure-6 is based on Man Months and the RFP does not specify any distinct stage-wise deliverables or stage-wise payment milestones. In view of the same, we kindly request the Authority to consider payment on a monthly basis against the actual Man Months deployed , subject to submission and acceptance of the required supporting documents. Accordingly, we request the Authority to suitably amend the above provision to reflect the monthly Man Month-based payment mechanism. Kindly consider.	Tender conditions prevail.
174	Clause 7.2.2 Liquidated Damages for delay Pg 148 of RfP	In case of delay in completion of Services (or any individual component thereof), liquidated damages of an amount equal to 0.05% (zero point zero five percent) of the Agreement Value for every week of delay, subject to a maximum of 10% (ten percent) of the Agreement Value will be imposed and the Authority shall be entitled to recover the same by appropriation from the Performance Security or otherwise. However, in case of delay due to reasons beyond the control of the General	We request to kindly reduce the liquidated damages to a total of 5% of the agreement value. Kindly Consider.	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
		Consultant, suitable extension of time shall be granted. The foregoing obligation to pay liquidated damages shall be without prejudice to the Authority's right to terminate the Agreement.		
175	Clause 7.2.3 Encashment and appropriation of Performance Security. Pg 148 of RfP	The Authority shall have the right to invoke and appropriate the proceeds of the Performance Security, in whole or in part, without notice to the General Consultant in the event of breach of this Agreement or for recovery of damages or liquidated damages, as the case may be, as specified in this Clause 7.2.	We request the client to kindly consider giving a notice before Encashment of Performance Security. Kindly Consider.	Tender conditions prevail.
176	Clause 7.3 Penal Action for deficiency in Services. Pg 149 of RfP	Penal Action for deficiency in Services In addition to the damages not amounting to penalty, as specified in Clause 7.2, warning may be issued to the General Consultant for minor deficiencies on its part. In the case of significant deficiencies in Services causing adverse effect on the Project or on the reputation of the Authority, other penal action including debarring for a specified period may also be initiated as per policy of the Authority.	We kindly request the Authority to reconsider this provision, as the term “ deficiency in Services ” is broad and may be subject to varying interpretation in the absence of clearly defined parameters or objective criteria for determining the nature and severity of such deficiencies. We therefore request the Authority to kindly define the applicable criteria and process for determining any deficiency and the corresponding penal action, to ensure clarity and consistency in its application. Kindly Consider.	Tender conditions prevail.
177	APPENDIX-II Form 2 Financial Proposal Pg 210 of RfP	-	We kindly request the Authority to consider including Training, Duty Travel, Software Expenses, and other related project support costs under Item A of the Description, as these are essential for the effective execution of the Services. Kindly Consider.	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
178	APPENDIX-II Form 2 Financial Proposal Pg 211 of RfP	However, no details of expenditures would be sought for III to V of item No. A and Item No. E (overhead expenses), which will be reimbursed in proportion to the total expenses under Item D.	We understand that the overhead expenses under Item E will be paid/ reimbursed in proportion to the total payments made under A+B+C to the General Consultant. Kindly confirm our understanding.	Tender conditions prevail.
179	APPENDIX-II Form 2 Financial Proposal Pg 211 of RfP	E: Overhead Expenses @....% of (D)	We understand that Duty Travel to Site and Training expenses are included under the Overhead Expenses and will be reimbursed to the General Consultant on a monthly basis. We kindly request the Authority to confirm the same. Further, we would appreciate it if the Authority could provide the detailed breakup of expenses covered under Overhead Expenses and clarify the applicable procedure and frequency for release of such payments.	Tender conditions prevail.
180	General Advance Payment to Consultant	-	We kindly request the Authority to consider providing an advance payment of 10% of the Agreement Value to the General Consultant to support the initial expenses and mobilization requirements during the project commencement phase. We respectfully submit that such advance payment is a generally accepted commercial practice, particularly in engagements with esteemed clients, and would facilitate smooth and timely mobilization of the required resources for the project. We further propose that the advance payment may be recovered in equal instalments from the subsequent bills/payments due to the General Consultant, as may be mutually agreed. Kindly consider.	Tender conditions prevail.

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
181	General Regarding Availability of the software	-	We request the Authority to share the list of software required to be procured by the General Consultant for the successful execution of the project. Kindly consider.	Tender conditions prevail.
182	Clause 2.2.2 (D) (I) Pg 19 of RfP	Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Personnel (K1) whose CVs will be evaluated for bidding	We strongly believe Dy Project Director (Civil) position is highly essential for this project. Hence, inclusion of this position in Key Personnel may kindly be considered.	Tender conditions prevail.
183	Clause 2.2.2 (D) (I) Pg 20 of RfP	Chief Contract Expert (K1) – 28; Chief Planning & Scheduling Expert (K1) – 18; Chief Systems Integration Expert (K1) – 21; Chief Structural Engineer (Elevated & UG Structures - K1) – 18; Chief Track Expert (K1) – 21; Overall man-months 3599	We understand that overall man-months of 3599 are grossly inadequate for a project of this scale and magnitude. Hence, we request you to increase them proportionately for all personnel with less than 40 man-months and in line with project requirements i.e., at least 10,000 man-months considering four corridors and their extent across the city.	Tender conditions prevail.
184	Clause 2.2.2 (D) Pg 26 of RfP	14.Chief Alignment and Project Coordination Expert	May we request you to split this position and propose it as two separate positions since it is highly difficult to find an expert with this experience. We recommend separate Chief Alignment Expert and Multi-modal Integration Expert. Kindly consider	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1
185	Clause 2.2.2 (D) Pg 27 of RfP	The Key Personnel (K1) are permitted to share their CVs with not more than 2 (two) Applicants. However, the Team Leader and Dy. Team Leader are not permitted to share their CVs with more than 1 (one) Applicant.	We request you to revise the criterion as below: The Key Personnel (K1) are permitted to share their CVs with more than 1 (one) Applicant. However, the Team Leader and Dy. Team Leader are not permitted to share their CVs with more than 1 (one) Applicant.	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1
186	Clause 3.1.3 Table Pg 55 of RfP	Relevant Experience of the Applicant – Max Marks 10 Criteria:	May we request you to provide break-up of marks under the following two criterion: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1

RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HMR PHASE-II PROJECT FOR COR IV FROM NAGOLE TO RGIA (36.8 KM; 24 STNS); COR V FROM RAIDURG TO KOKAPET NEOPOLIS (11.6 KM; 8 STNS); COR VI FROM MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS); & COR VIII FROM LB NAGAR TO HAYAT NAGAR (7.1 KM; 6 STNS) FOR 63 KM (PACKAGE-I), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Clarifications sought/suggestion/ Query of the Applicant	Response of the Authority
		30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and other similar work in the Metro Rail sector.	Assignments; and (ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector.	
187	Clause 3.1.3 Table Pg 26 of RfP	Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel Criteria: 30% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments as per the scoring criteria mentioned in the clause) (as specified below) the respective Key Personnel has worked on. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for:	We kindly request you to provide break-up of marks under the following two criterion: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments undertaken by the Key Personnel; (ii) other similar work in Metro Rail sectors.	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1
188	Clause 1.8 Pg 6 of RfP	Last date for receiving Proposals (“Proposal Due Date” or PDD): 17.09.2026 at 15.00 Hrs IST	In order to prepare a qualitative and competitive proposal and also to source best experts, we request you to extend bid submission date by at least three (3) weeks from date of issue of pre-bid clarifications.	Clause is amended. Please refer Addendum No 1