

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
1	2.2.2(A) of 2.2 Conditions of Eligibility of Applicants, page no. 17	<p>The Applicant shall have, over the past 10 (ten) years preceding the PDD, undertaken a minimum number of Eligible Assignments Submission/Query/Remarks. The Applicant shall have, over the past 10 (ten) years preceding the PDD, undertaken a minimum number of Eligible Assignments Technical Capacity: The Applicant shall have, over the past 10 (ten) years preceding the PDD ending March 2026, completed eligible assignments as below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• At least one “similar work” of value INR 100 crore or more</li> <li>or</li> <li>• At least two “similar works” each of value INR 60 crore or more</li> <li>or</li> <li>• At least three “similar works” each of value INR 45 crore or more.</li> </ul> <p>In the above-mentioned projects, at least one Similar Work of value INR 45 Crore or more should have been successfully completed by the Applicant in India. "Similar Works" means, “General Consultancy (GC) / Independent Engineer (IE) / Project Management Consultancy (PMC) covering the scope of services similar to those required to be rendered under this RfP and works pertaining to Civil &amp; System works of Metro Rail based urban mass rapid transit system / Railway System (i.e., “rail based urban mass rapid transit system”) involving the work of project management and supervision including contract management, design checking, construction management, inspection, safety and quality, testing and</p>	<p>The Applicant shall have, over the past 10 (ten) years preceding the PDD, undertaken a minimum number of Eligible Assignments Technical Capacity: The Applicant shall have, over the past 10 (ten) years preceding the PDD ending March 2026, completed eligible assignments as below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• At least one “similar work” of value INR 100 crore or more</li> <li>or</li> <li>• At least two “similar works” each of value INR 60 crore or more</li> <li>or</li> <li>• At least three “similar works” each of value INR 45 crore or more.</li> </ul> <p><del>In the above-mentioned projects, at least one Similar Work of value INR 45 Crore or more should have been successfully completed by the Applicant in India.</del></p> <p>"Similar Works" means, “General Consultancy (GC) / Independent Engineer (IE) / Project Management Consultancy (PMC) covering the scope of services similar to those required to be rendered under this RFP and works pertaining to Civil &amp; System works of Metro Rail based urban mass rapid transit system / Railway System (i.e., “rail based urban mass rapid transit system”) involving the work of project management and supervision including contract management, design checking, construction management, inspection, safety and quality, testing and commissioning during last 10 (ten) years ending in March 2026.”</p>	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		commissioning during last 10 (ten) years ending in March 2026.”		
2	2.2.1 of 2.2 Conditions of Eligibility of Applicants, page no. 17	Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel The Key Personnel, with estimated Man Months of 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RFP, to be offered in the Proposal shall be full time permanent employees of the Applicant.	This is a restrictive condition and shall discourage a wider participation from Quality Firms. It is requested to kindly remove the condition to increase competition in bidding.	... In case the Applicant is satisfying the said Conditions of Eligibility along with its Associate(s), it shall furnish a certificate in format prescribed in Form 14 of Appendix-I. <u>The Team Leader and Dy. Team Leader</u> <del>The Key Personnel, with estimated Man Months of 12 or more</del> as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RFP, to be offered in the Proposal shall be full time permanent employees of the Applicant or its Associates. Where the Applicant is a consortium, the Applicant may also offer the <u>Key Personnel Team Leader and Dy. Team Leader</u> of its other consortium members (but not the Associates of such other consortium members) <del>provided such Key Personnel, whose estimated Man Months are 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RFP, are full time permanent employees of such consortium member(s).</del>
3	Cl. 2.14.6 of 2.16 Submission of Proposal Page no. 45&46	<b>Cl.no.2.14.6 of 2.16 Submission of Proposal</b>  <b>2.16.7</b> The rates quoted by the GC in its Financial Proposal shall be firm for the first one year of the Assignment Period. Subsequent to the first year of the Assignment Period and for the remainder of the initial 3 year term of the Assignment Period, the rate paid by the Authority to the GC shall be escalated every year at <b>5% on a non-compounded basis</b> on the rate for the first year of the Assignment Period. In case the Services are required to be provided beyond the contemplated 3 year Assignment Period, the rate to be	As per the Cl.no.2.14.6 of 2.16 Submission of Proposal, a non-compounded 5% escalation on the first-year rates is applicable after the first year of the Assignment Period. In this regard, it is requested that, for the balance 3-year Assignment Period and any extension thereafter, the rates may kindly be escalated at 5% per annum on a compounded basis to account for the inflation.	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority												
		paid to the GC by the Authority shall similarly be escalated at the rate of 5% per annum on non-compounded basis on the rate for the first year of the Assignment Period. The Authority shall in no event be required to pay the GC any sum in excess of the aforesaid amounts.														
4	Cl. 1.4 Issue of RfP Document Page no.5	<p><b>1.4 Issue of RfP Document</b> This RfP document will be issued to Applicants by the Authority from May 06, 2026 on payment of <b>non-refundable processing fee of Rs.2,00,000 (Rupees Two lakh only)</b> in the form of a demand draft or banker’s cheque drawn on any Scheduled Bank in India in favour of Managing Director, Hyderabad Airport Metro Limited, Metro Rail Bhavan, Begumpet, Hyderabad-500003, Telangana, payable at Hyderabad. RfP document can also be downloaded from May 06, 2026 onwards from the e-procurement platform of the Authority and the non-refundable processing fee shall be furnished by the Applicant along with the submission of the Proposal.</p>	It is requested that the non-refundable processing fee of Rs. 2,00,000 (Rupees Two Lakh only) be reduced to a reasonable amount.	No change.												
5	Cl. 2.17: Proposal Due Date  Cl. 1.8 Schedule of Selection Process	<p><b>2.17 Proposal Due Date</b> <b>2.17.1</b> Proposal should be submitted before 1500 hrs IST on the Proposal Due Date specified at Clause 1.8 at the address provided in Clause 1.11 in the manner and form as detailed in this RfP. A receipt thereof should be obtained from the person specified therein.</p> <p><b>1.8 Schedule of Selection Process</b> <b>S. No. 6: Last date for receiving Proposals</b></p>	<p>1.8 Schedule of Selection Process</p> <p>S. No. 6: Last date for receiving Proposals (“Proposal Due Date” or PDD): 05.06.2026 at 15.00 Hrs IST</p> <p>It is requested to kindly provide at least 2 extra days for submission of Proposal hardcopy from the Proposed Due Date (05.06.2026)</p>	<p>1.8 Schedule of Selection Process</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Event Description</th> <th>Estimated Date (uploading / issue of RfP document 06.05.2026)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td>Last date for receiving Proposals (“Proposal Due Date” or PDD)</td> <td><del>49</del><u>25</u>.06.2026 at 15.00 Hrs IST</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td>Opening of Proposa</td> <td>On Proposal Due Date at 15.30 Hrs IST</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td>Physical PPT on Methodology, Work</td> <td><u>22</u><del>9</del>.06.2026 at 11:30 Hrs,</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Event Description	Estimated Date (uploading / issue of RfP document 06.05.2026)	4.	Last date for receiving Proposals (“Proposal Due Date” or PDD)	<del>49</del> <u>25</u> .06.2026 at 15.00 Hrs IST	5.	Opening of Proposa	On Proposal Due Date at 15.30 Hrs IST	6.	Physical PPT on Methodology, Work	<u>22</u> <del>9</del> .06.2026 at 11:30 Hrs,
	Event Description	Estimated Date (uploading / issue of RfP document 06.05.2026)														
4.	Last date for receiving Proposals (“Proposal Due Date” or PDD)	<del>49</del> <u>25</u> .06.2026 at 15.00 Hrs IST														
5.	Opening of Proposa	On Proposal Due Date at 15.30 Hrs IST														
6.	Physical PPT on Methodology, Work	<u>22</u> <del>9</del> .06.2026 at 11:30 Hrs,														

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority						
	Page no.-45&46  Page no.-47  Page no.-6	<b>(“Proposal Due Date” or PDD):</b> 05.06.2026 at 15.00 Hrs IST		<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Plan etc.,</td> <td>Metro Rail Bhavan, Begumpet, Hyderabad.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td>Shortlisting of Applicants and opening of Financial Proposal</td> <td><u>2907.06</u>.2026 @ 15:00 Hrs, Metro Rail Bhavan, Begumpet, Hyderabad.</td> </tr> </table>		Plan etc.,	Metro Rail Bhavan, Begumpet, Hyderabad.	7.	Shortlisting of Applicants and opening of Financial Proposal	<u>2907.06</u> .2026 @ 15:00 Hrs, Metro Rail Bhavan, Begumpet, Hyderabad.
	Plan etc.,	Metro Rail Bhavan, Begumpet, Hyderabad.								
7.	Shortlisting of Applicants and opening of Financial Proposal	<u>2907.06</u> .2026 @ 15:00 Hrs, Metro Rail Bhavan, Begumpet, Hyderabad.								
6	Cl. 2.14.6 of 2.14 Technical Proposal Page no. 42 &43	<p><b>2.14.6</b> The proposed Consultancy Team shall be composed of experts (K1) and specialists (K2) (the “Professional Personnel”) in their respective areas of expertise and managerial/support staff (<b>K3, K4, K5 &amp; K6</b>) (the “Support Personnel”) such that the General Consultant should be able to complete the Consultancy within the specified time schedule. <b>The GC shall be required to provide a minimum of 2,088 Man Months of Support Personnel.</b> The Key Personnel specified in Clause 2.1.4 shall be included in the proposed team of Professional Personnel. Other competent and experienced Professional Personnel in the relevant areas of expertise must be added as required for successful completion of this Consultancy.</p> <p><b>2.2.2</b> <b>(III)</b> Break up of Man Months for K3, K4, K5 &amp; K6 positions : The total Man Months proposed to be deployed for <b>K3, K4, K5 &amp; K6 positions are 1,044 Man Months.</b> The breakup details are : K3 (Senior Project Engineer) : 144 Man Months; K4 (Project Engineer) : 252 Man Months;</p>	<p>As per Clause 2.14.6, the General Consultant (GC) is required to provide a minimum of 2,088 Man-months of Support Personnel. However, as per Clause 2.2.2 (III), the total Man-months for K3, K4, K5 &amp; K6 positions are stated as 1,044 Man-months.</p> <p>In this regard, clarification is requested whether the total Support Personnel requirement is 2,088 Man-months or 1,044 Man-months.</p>	Please see Addendum Num <u>42</u> .						

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		K5 (Engineer) : 432 Man Months; and K6 (Administrative staff) : 216 Man Months.		
7	Cl. 2.25 Substitution of Key Personnel Page 52 &53	<p><b>2.25 Substitution of Key Personnel</b></p> <p>2.25.1 The Authority will not normally consider any request of the Selected Applicant for substitution of Key Personnel (K1) ....., subject to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel being provided to the satisfaction of the Authority.</p> <p>2.25.2 (i) The Authority expects all the Key Personnel (K1) to be available during first year of implementation of the Agreement. .... Any further substitution may lead to disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement.</p> <p>(ii) Substitution of Key Personnel (K1) when he is working with the Lead Member, its Associate or any other Consortium Member, as the case may be, will not be accepted under any circumstances.</p> <p>(iii) Substitution of Key Personnel (K1) can be permitted after first year of Agreement, ....., a sum equal to 20% of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel for the first three months from the date of substitution of such Key Personnel shall be deducted from the payments due to the General Consultant, for every substitution exceeding the limit of two Key Personnel.</p>	<p>May please consider substitution of Key personal at any stage of project under circumstances outside the reasonable control of the General Consultant such as death or medical incapacity of the concerned Key Personnel, without any deduction from the reimbursement payable for the substituted Key Personnel.</p> <p>In the event the Authority permits substitution of more than two Key Personnel in a year, it is respectfully requested that the deduction applicable in such cases be reduced. In this regard, instead of deducting an amount equal to 20% of the remuneration of the original Key Personnel for the first three months from the date of substitution, it is kindly requested that the same be reduced to a reasonable percentage.</p>	No change.
8	Cl. 2.2.2 (D)(I)	<b>Chief Alignment and Coordination Expert:</b>	In the given Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Personnel (K1) table, the required total experiences and	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	Sr. No. 14 Page No. 25	<p><b>Minimum Qualifications in the relevant field-</b> Graduate in Civil Engineering with Post Graduation in Transportation Planning/ Transportation Engineering.</p> <p><b>Overall experience in years-</b> 25 Years</p> <p><b>Minimum years of relevant / specific experience-</b> 10 Years</p> <p><b>Area of Expertise-</b> Shall have total experience of 25 years. Out of which minimum 10 years of experience in preparation of FSRs/DPRs for rail based urban mass transit systems including alignment design, alternative analysis, travel demand modelling, multi modal integration, project structuring, coordination of various project activities. Shall have been Team Leader in preparation of at least three DPRs/FSRs for Metro Rail based urban mass rapid transit systems.</p>	<p>relevant experiences of Chief Experts are 20 years and 8 years respectively; however, it has been given 25 years total experience and 10 years relevant experience for the Chief Alignment and Coordination Expert.</p> <p>It is requested to consider the similar experience criteria (i.e. Total Required experience – 20 Years and Relevant Experience – 7 Years) for Chief Alignment and Coordination Expert as mentioned for other Chief Experts.</p> <p>Moreover, as per the RFP, the said Chief Alignment and Coordination Expert should have Post Graduation in Transportation Planning/ Transportation Engineering and have expertise in travel demand modelling, multi modal integration, project structuring.</p> <p>It is requested to consider the minimum qualification as Graduate in Civil Engineering. For this post, the required area of Expertise in travel demand modelling, multi modal integration, project structuring may be waved off. As the work of Transport Planning is part of DPR/FSRs for Metro Rail based urban multimodal Transport System, it is requested to create a separate position of Transportation Planning Expert with PG in Transportation Planning/ Transportation Engineering for travel demand modelling, multi modal integration, project structuring.</p>	
9	Cl. 2.2.2 (D)(II) Page No. 26	Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of K2 experts whose CVs will not be evaluated for bidding but need to be approved by HAML before mobilization:	As per the given table of referred clause of RFP, Total experiences and Area of the expertise are same for the most of the K2 positions.	Minimum years of relevant/specific experience <del>75</del> years for all K2 experts.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority																												
	to 30	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>SI no</th> <th>Position</th> <th>Minimum Qualifications in the relevant field</th> <th>Overall experience in years</th> <th>Minimum years of relevant / specific experience</th> <th>Area of Expertise</th> <th>Estimated man months</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Senior Contract Expert (K2)</td> <td>Graduate in Civil Engineering</td> <td>15</td> <td>7</td> <td>15+ years in contract management. Out of which minimum 7 years must be in railway or railway related industry.</td> <td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Senior Program Expert (K2)</td> <td>Engineering with expertise in programming</td> <td>15</td> <td>7</td> <td>10+ years in Programme development in PSUs / Govt / Corporation / Railway related industries. Should have worked as a programme expert in atleast one major infrastructure project.</td> <td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Senior Financial Expert (K2)</td> <td>CA/ ICWA /MBA (Finance)</td> <td>15</td> <td>7</td> <td>10+ years in finance in PSUs / Govt/ Corporation or major infra project. Should have handled large infra-project as a senior finance officer.</td> <td>20</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	SI no	Position	Minimum Qualifications in the relevant field	Overall experience in years	Minimum years of relevant / specific experience	Area of Expertise	Estimated man months	1	Senior Contract Expert (K2)	Graduate in Civil Engineering	15	7	15+ years in contract management. Out of which minimum 7 years must be in railway or railway related industry.	20	2	Senior Program Expert (K2)	Engineering with expertise in programming	15	7	10+ years in Programme development in PSUs / Govt / Corporation / Railway related industries. Should have worked as a programme expert in atleast one major infrastructure project.	20	3	Senior Financial Expert (K2)	CA/ ICWA /MBA (Finance)	15	7	10+ years in finance in PSUs / Govt/ Corporation or major infra project. Should have handled large infra-project as a senior finance officer.	20	It is requested to consider the area of expertise as similar to the relevant experience (i.e. 7 Years instead of 15+ years and 10+ years) for all the K2 Positions.	
SI no	Position	Minimum Qualifications in the relevant field	Overall experience in years	Minimum years of relevant / specific experience	Area of Expertise	Estimated man months																										
1	Senior Contract Expert (K2)	Graduate in Civil Engineering	15	7	15+ years in contract management. Out of which minimum 7 years must be in railway or railway related industry.	20																										
2	Senior Program Expert (K2)	Engineering with expertise in programming	15	7	10+ years in Programme development in PSUs / Govt / Corporation / Railway related industries. Should have worked as a programme expert in atleast one major infrastructure project.	20																										
3	Senior Financial Expert (K2)	CA/ ICWA /MBA (Finance)	15	7	10+ years in finance in PSUs / Govt/ Corporation or major infra project. Should have handled large infra-project as a senior finance officer.	20																										
10	Cl. 2.2.2 (D)(III) (NB(i)) Page No. 31 & 32	<p>A broad bifurcation among Project &amp; Systems group for K3, K4, K5 &amp; K6 positions is detailed below:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Staff Category</th> <th>Total Man Months</th> <th>No x Man Months</th> <th>No required for project work</th> <th>No required for system work</th> <th>Education Qualification</th> <th>Experience required.</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>K3#</td> <td>144</td> <td>4 x 36 Man Months</td> <td>3</td> <td>1</td> <td>Engineering Graduate</td> <td>15+ years in rail related / major infrastructure projects with minimum 4 years experience in relevant field in metro.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Staff Category	Total Man Months	No x Man Months	No required for project work	No required for system work	Education Qualification	Experience required.	K3#	144	4 x 36 Man Months	3	1	Engineering Graduate	15+ years in rail related / major infrastructure projects with minimum 4 years experience in relevant field in metro.	<p>As per the RFP; same 15+ years of total experience are required for both K2 &amp; K3 positions staffs.</p> <p>It is requested to consider the lesser experience for K3 position staffs compare to K2 position staff.</p>	... 15 <del>2</del> + years in rail related / major infrastructure....														
Staff Category	Total Man Months	No x Man Months	No required for project work	No required for system work	Education Qualification	Experience required.																										
K3#	144	4 x 36 Man Months	3	1	Engineering Graduate	15+ years in rail related / major infrastructure projects with minimum 4 years experience in relevant field in metro.																										
11	Cl. 3.1.4 (b) Page no. 61	<p>"Similar Works" means, "General Consultancy (GC) / Independent Engineer (IE) / Project Management Consultancy (PMC) covering the scope of services similar to those required to be rendered under this RfP and works pertaining to Civil &amp; System works of Metro Rail based urban mass rapid transit system / Railway System (i.e., "rail based urban mass rapid transit system") involving the work of project management and supervision including contract management, design checking, construction management, inspection, safety and quality, testing and commissioning during last 10 (ten) years ending in March 2026."</p>	<p>We understand that Railway System (i.e., "rail based urban mass rapid transit system") covers experience in MRTS / RRTS / HSR/ Suburban / LRT Projects.</p> <p>Please confirm our understanding.</p>	No change.																												

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
12	Cl. 2.14.2 (k) Page no. 42	<b>2.14.2</b> While submitting the Technical Proposal, the Applicant shall, in particular, ensure that:  <i>k) no Key Personnel should have attained the age of 75 (seventy-five) years at the time of submitting the proposal</i>	In view of mobilization of best suitable and efficient experts; it is requested to consider 65 years maximum age for the Key Personnel.	2.14.2 ..... k) no Key Personnel should have attained the age of <del>75</del> (seventy-five) years at the time of submitting the proposal .....
13	Annex 6- Payment Schedule Cl No. 6.3 (b) Page no. 158 Page no. 147	Annex -6 The payments shall be made to the General Consultant as per the Man-Months rate as the contract for appointment of General Consultant is time based. The actual payments shall be made as per the actual Man-Months utilized on monthly basis. 6.3 (b) The General Consultant shall be paid for its Services as per the Payment Schedule at Annex-6 of this Agreement, subject to the General Consultant fulfilling the following conditions: (i) No payment shall be due for the next stage till the General Consultant completes to the satisfaction of the Authority the work pertaining to the preceding stage. (ii) The Authority shall pay to the General Consultant, only the undisputed amount.	As per Annex-6 (Payment Schedule) 'Payments shall be made to the General Consultant as per the Man-Months rate as the contract is time based. The actual payments shall be made as per actual Man-Months utilized on monthly basis.' However, Clause 6.3(b) states: 'No payment shall be due for the next stage till the General Consultant completes to the satisfaction of the Authority the work pertaining to the preceding stage.' These two provisions appear contradictory.  Please clarify whether the contract is purely time-based (monthly man-month invoicing) or deliverable / stage-based. If it is deliverable based, please provide the delivery and stage wise payment schedule.	No change.
14	Cl. 2.14.6 Page No. 43	In case the Authority provides any alternative technical solutions as per Clause 1.6.4 of MSS as 'proven in service' which is acceptable to GC, then such system where the technology is in use shall be got verified by the representatives of the Authority. The GC shall be required to arrange for technical visits for the	The Financial Proposal requires inclusion of the cost for 12 international technical visits (2 HAML representatives per visit, minimum 175 man-days) to Metro Rail systems in Europe and Asia. Kindly clarify whether the cost of these visits will be reimbursed on an actual basis against supporting documents, or whether the Applicant is required to	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		representatives of the Authority to different Metro Rail systems across the globe for interaction and exposure to latest technology. These visits shall be spread over the total Assignment Period and shall include all the aspects of Metro Rail system from foundation to completion and operation. For the purpose of Financial Proposal, Applicant shall include an estimated 12 (twelve) technical visits of two representatives of the Authority each time for interaction and exposure distributed between Europe and Asia during the entire Assignment Period (minimum 175 man days). The cost of travel, boarding and lodging in this connection will have to be borne by GC.	include a lump-sum estimate in the Financial Proposal. In case the actual cost of the visits exceeds the amount estimated in the Financial Proposal, kindly clarify whether the Authority will reimburse the additional cost, or if the same is to be borne by the General Consultant.	
15	Cl. 2.2.2 (D)(I), NB (ii) Page no. 26	The RFP states that “If the project assignment is limited to a length of 7.5 km, the indicated man months, including the deployment schedule for each Key Personnel, as well as the total man-months allocated for K1 Personnel, shall be adjusted accordingly”.	Kindly clarify whether man month remuneration shall be same irrespective of length of the assignment.	No change.
16	Cl. 2.2.2 (D)(II) – K2 Experts Page no. 26–31	Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of K2 experts whose CVs will not be evaluated for bidding but need to be approved by HAML before mobilization	Kindly clarify whether replacement of K2 experts during execution with equivalent or better experts shall be permitted with prior approval of HAML.	No change.
17	Cl. 1.1.1 & NB under addition al	The Authority may include additional corridors within the scope of this assignment, subject to approval by the Government of India (GoI). In such cases, the payments shall be adjusted accordingly based on the revised man-	Kindly clarify methodology for determining revised man-months and remuneration in case additional corridors are added within the scope of assignment.	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	corridor s Page no. 1	month requirements and the deployment schedule of the required Key and Professional Personnel, as determined by project needs.		
18	Cl. 2.15.2 (i) & (ii) Page no. 44–45	The Financial Proposal shall take into account all expenses and tax liabilities. For the avoidance of doubt, it is clarified that all taxes shall be deemed to be included in the costs shown under different items of the Financial Proposal. Further, all payments shall be subject to deduction of taxes at source as per applicable laws.	Kindly confirm whether GST shall be reimbursed extra at actuals over and above quoted rates.	No change.
19	Annex-6 – Payment Schedule Page no. 158	The payments shall be made to the General Consultant as per the Man-Months rate as the contract for appointment of General Consultant is time based. The actual payments shall be made as per the actual Man-Months utilized on monthly basis	Kindly provide detailed milestone/payment certification timelines for release of invoices submitted by GC.	No change.
20	Cl. 1.8.11 – Period of Assignment Page no. 6	Period of Assignment	Kindly clarify whether continuation beyond 36 months shall be governed by the same terms and man month rates.	No change.
21	Cl. 3.1.4 (a) Page No. 61	<b>Technical Capacity:</b> The Applicant shall have, over the past 10 (ten) years preceding the PDD ending March 2026, completed eligible assignments as below: • At least one “similar work” of value INR 100 crore or more or • At least two “similar works” each of value	As per the mentioned clause, “In the above-mentioned project, at least one Similar Work of value INR 45 Crore or more should have been successfully completed by the Applicant in India”; kindly clarify that whether “at least one Similar Work of value INR 45 Crore or more” is for the Complete GC JV/Consortium fees or fees of the single	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		<p>INR 60 crore or more or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>At least three “similar works” each of value INR 45 crore or more.</li> </ul> <p>In the above-mentioned projects, at least one Similar Work of value INR 45 Crore or more should have been successfully completed by the Applicant in India.</p>	<p>participant of the JV/Consortium in the said project.</p>	
22	Cl. 2.25.2 (i) Page no. 52	<p>The Authority expects all the Key Personnel (K1) to be available during first year of implementation of the Agreement. The Authority will not consider substitution of Key Personnel (K1) except for reasons of any incapacity or due to health. Such substitution shall ordinarily be limited to one Key Personnel (K1) subject to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel being provided to the satisfaction of the Authority. As a condition to such substitution, a sum equal to 10% (ten per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel (K1) for the first three months from the date of such substitution of Key Personnel shall be deducted from the payments due to the General Consultant. In the case of a second substitution hereunder, such deduction shall be 20% (twenty per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel (K1) for the first three months from the date of such substitution. Any further substitution may lead to disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement.</p>	<p>It is requested to forego the penalty in case of retirement of any Key Personnel.</p>	<p>No change.</p>
23	Cl. No. 2.2.2 Page	<p>Table (I), (II) &amp; (III) of Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise &amp; Estimated Man Months of K1, K2 and Other</p>	<p>As the Duration of the GC Tender is 36 months, however according to the Table (I), (II) &amp; (III) of clause no. 2.2.2; estimated</p>	<p>No change.</p>

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	No. 17 to 32 Page No. 32	Staffs.  NB: # HAML reserves the right to (i) operate these positions in a staggered manner as per the requirements of the Project; and (ii) split the total number of Man Months estimated above (i.e. 1,044 Man Months) in any proportion across the three categories of Personnel K3, K4, K5 and K6 depending on the exigencies of work, the abovementioned bifurcation being only an estimate at this stage.	deployment Man months for most of the Positions are less than one year (i.e. 6 Months, 9 Months etc.). It is not clear whether these key positions will be deployed continuously in the project or intermittently. We understand that these positions when mobilised will be continuously deployed for the duration of the assignment as per RFP as it is not possible to hire the staff for small duration & that too intermittently.	
24	Cl. No. 2.25.2 & Cl. No. 2.25.3 Page No. 52 & 53	<b>2.25.2 (i)</b> The Authority expects all the Key Personnel (K1) to be available during first year of implementation of the Agreement..... In the case of a second substitution hereunder, such deduction shall be 20% (twenty per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel (K1) for the first three months from the date of such substitution. Any further substitution may lead to disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement.  <b>2.25.3</b> Substitution of the Team Leader will not normally be considered and may lead to disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement.	It is requested to relax the condition of disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement in the case of more than two replacement and allow the substitution of Team Leader without disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement in case of unavoidable circumstances.	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority								
25	Clause No. 3.1.3 Page No. 55	<p>3.1.3 The scoring criteria to be used for evaluation shall be as follows.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Item Code</th> <th>Parameter</th> <th>Max. Marks</th> <th>Criteria</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>Relevant Experience of the Applicant</td> <td>10</td> <td>30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and (ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Item Code	Parameter	Max. Marks	Criteria	1.	Relevant Experience of the Applicant	10	30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and (ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector.	<p>It is requested to quantify the meaning of Comparative size and Quality of Eligible Assignment in clause no. 3.1.3.</p>	<p>No change.</p> <p>However, the evaluation criteria will be broadly as below:</p> <p>The comparative size will be w.r.t. total aggregate value of all the eligible assignments.</p> <p>The quality of eligible assignments will be related to relevant experience of the Applicant as per the RfP document.</p>
Item Code	Parameter	Max. Marks	Criteria									
1.	Relevant Experience of the Applicant	10	30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and (ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector.									
26	3.CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION Page 61 of RFP	<p>3.1.4 Eligible Assignments</p> <p>For the purposes of determining Conditions of Eligibility and for evaluating the Proposals under this RfP, the following projects shall be deemed as eligible assignments (the “<b>Eligible Assignments</b>”):</p> <p>a) <b>Technical Capacity:</b> The Applicant shall have, over the past 10 (ten) years preceding the PDD ending March 2026, completed eligible assignments as below :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• At least one “similar work” of value <b>INR 100 crore</b> or more</li> <li style="text-align: center;">or</li> <li>• At least two “similar works” each of value <b>INR 60 crore</b> or more</li> <li style="text-align: center;">or</li> <li>• At least three “similar works” each of value <b>INR 45 crore</b> or more.</li> </ul> <p>In the above mentioned projects, at least one Similar Work of value INR 45 Crore or more should have been successfully</p>	<p>Sir, in order to facilitate overwhelming response to this tender and also to bring in competitiveness in the bidding, we request you to consider the experience from substantially completed similar projects also with modifications as below:</p> <p><b>3.1.4 Eligible Assignments</b></p> <p>For the purposes of determining Conditions of Eligibility and for evaluating the Proposals under this RFP, the following projects shall be deemed as eligible assignments (the “<b>Eligible Assignments</b>”):</p> <p>a) <b>Technical Capacity:</b> The Applicant shall have, over the past 10 (ten) years preceding the PDD ending March 2026, completed/*<b>substantially completed</b> eligible assignments as below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• At least one “similar work” of value <b>INR 100 crore</b> or more</li> <li style="text-align: center;">or</li> <li>• At least two “similar works” each of value <b>INR 60 crore</b> or more</li> <li style="text-align: center;">or</li> </ul>	<p><u><a href="#">3.1.4 (c) Substantially completed projects of at least 80% of the project value will also be considered as Eligible Assignments subject to submission of certification by the employer / client.</a></u></p>								

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		<p>completed by the Applicant in India.</p> <p>The above mentioned number of assignments in any respective category are required to be fulfilled by an Applicant to be eligible for evaluation of its Proposal. Any additional assignments meeting the above criteria would count as an Eligible Assignment for the purpose of calculating Technical Score of the Applicant.</p> <p>b) "Similar Works" means, “General Consultancy (GC) / Independent Engineer (IE) / Project Management Consultancy (PMC) covering the scope of services similar to those required to be rendered under this RfP and works pertaining to Civil &amp; System works of Metro Rail based urban mass rapid transit system / Railway System (i.e., “<b>rail based urban mass rapid transit system</b>”) involving the work of project management and supervision including contract management, design checking, construction management, inspection, safety and quality, testing and commissioning during last 10 (ten) years ending in March 2026.”</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• At least three “similar works” each of value <b>INR 45 crore</b> or more.</li> </ul> <p>In the above-mentioned projects, at least one Similar Work of value INR 45 Crore or more should have been successfully completed by the Applicant in India.</p> <p>The above-mentioned number of assignments in any respective category are required to be fulfilled by an Applicant to be eligible for evaluation of its Proposal. Any additional assignments meeting the above criteria would count as an Eligible Assignment for the purpose of calculating Technical Score of the Applicant.</p> <p>b) "<b>Similar Works</b>" means, “General Consultancy (GC) / Independent Engineer (IE) / Project Management Consultancy (PMC) covering the scope of services similar to those required to be rendered under this RfP and works pertaining to Civil &amp; System works of Metro Rail based urban mass rapid transit system / Railway System (i.e., “<b>rail based urban mass rapid transit system</b>”) involving the work of project management and supervision including contract management, design checking, construction management, inspection, safety and quality, testing and commissioning during last 10 (ten) years ending in March 2026.”</p> <p><b><u>*Substantially Completed Projects: (As per RFP – GMRC-GC Phase 2A,2B &amp; 3A).</u></b>  <i>Applicant must have completed at least 70% of the Project value and should be certified by the Employer, to be considered for evaluation.</i></p>	

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority								
			Note: Copy of the relevant clause is attached as <b><u>Annexure-1 (Page 3)</u></b> for ready reference, please.									
27	3.CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION Page 55 of RFP	<p>3.1.3 The scoring criteria to be used for evaluation shall be as follows.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Item Code.</th> <th>Parameter</th> <th>Max. Marks</th> <th>Criteria</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Relevant Experience of the Applicant</td> <td>10</td> <td>30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and (ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Item Code.	Parameter	Max. Marks	Criteria	1	Relevant Experience of the Applicant	10	30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and (ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector	<p>We have observed that the stipulated scoring criteria for “<b>Relevant Experience of the Applicant</b>” is stringent when compared to respective clauses in other metro GC tenders. As such we request you to kindly consider that firms having six (6) eligible projects may kindly be awarded full marks under the “<b>Number of Eligible Assignments</b>” criteria, as such experience is considered adequate to demonstrate the bidder’s capability to successfully execute a project of this nature. Further, the present provision creates ambiguity regarding the exact number of projects required for securing full marks.</p> <p>The tender document does not specify any clear evaluation methodology. This may result in inconsistent interpretations during the technical evaluation process.</p> <p>It is therefore requested that a clear and well-defined evaluation methodology, including specific criteria and corresponding weightages for assessing project size, quality, and similarity of Metro Rail works be indicated in the RFP to maintain fairness and transparency in the evaluation process.</p> <p>The above-suggested clause has been adopted by several Metro Rail Authorities (1. <b>Gurgaon Metro Rail Limited</b>, 2. <b>MMRDA</b> and 3. <b>NCRTC</b>) while appointing General Consultants (GC).</p> <p>Copies of the relevant clauses are attached</p>	For evaluation methodology please see Sl. No. 25 above.
Item Code.	Parameter	Max. Marks	Criteria									
1	Relevant Experience of the Applicant	10	30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and (ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector									

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority																
			as <b>Annexure-2 (Page 7,11 &amp; 15)</b> for ready reference, please.																	
28	3. CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION Page 55 of RFP	<p>3.1.3 The scoring criteria to be used for evaluation shall be as follows.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Item Code.</th> <th>Parameter</th> <th>Max. Marks</th> <th>Criteria</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel</td> <td>70</td> <td>30% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments as per the scoring criteria mentioned in the clause) (as specified below) the respective Key Personnel has worked on. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments undertaken by the Key Personnel; and (ii) other similar work in</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Item Code.	Parameter	Max. Marks	Criteria	3	Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel	70	30% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments as per the scoring criteria mentioned in the clause) (as specified below) the respective Key Personnel has worked on. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments undertaken by the Key Personnel; and (ii) other similar work in	<p>We have observed that the stipulated scoring criteria for “<b>Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel</b>” is stringent when compared to respective clauses in other metro GC tenders. As such we request you to kindly consider modifications as suggested here below:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Item Code.</th> <th>Parameter</th> <th>Max. Marks</th> <th>Criteria</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel</td> <td>70</td> <td>70% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded <del>on meeting the criteria as per Cl. 3.1.3 (Criteria for Evaluation) item Code 3(a) to 3(o).</del>  The remaining 30% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments undertaken by the Key Personnel; and (ii) other similar work in Metro Rail sectors.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Item Code.	Parameter	Max. Marks	Criteria	3	Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel	70	70% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded <del>on meeting the criteria as per Cl. 3.1.3 (Criteria for Evaluation) item Code 3(a) to 3(o).</del>  The remaining 30% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments undertaken by the Key Personnel; and (ii) other similar work in Metro Rail sectors.	<p>No change.</p> <p>However, the evaluation criteria will be broadly as below:</p> <p>For number of eligible assignments for each Key Personnel, the evaluation criteria is clearly mentioned in the RfP.</p> <p>The comparative size will be w.r.t. weighted size of all the eligible assignments.</p> <p>The quality of eligible assignments will be related to relevant experience of the relevant Key Personnel as per the RfP document.</p>
Item Code.	Parameter	Max. Marks	Criteria																	
3	Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel	70	30% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments as per the scoring criteria mentioned in the clause) (as specified below) the respective Key Personnel has worked on. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments undertaken by the Key Personnel; and (ii) other similar work in																	
Item Code.	Parameter	Max. Marks	Criteria																	
3	Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel	70	70% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded <del>on meeting the criteria as per Cl. 3.1.3 (Criteria for Evaluation) item Code 3(a) to 3(o).</del>  The remaining 30% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments undertaken by the Key Personnel; and (ii) other similar work in Metro Rail sectors.																	

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority				
		<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Metro Rail sectors. The criteria for scoring are given below:</td> </tr> </table>				Metro Rail sectors. The criteria for scoring are given below:	<p>It is also requested that a clear and well-defined evaluation methodology, including specific criteria and corresponding weightages for assessing project size, quality, and similarity of eligible projects to maintain fairness and transparency in the evaluation process.</p> <p>Additionally, it is understood that experience gained under Contractors’/Clients’ assignments will also be considered for evaluation, as the same has been referred to under the “Area of Expertise / Relevant Project Experience” for all experts (Page 18 of the tender document).</p> <p>Please clarify.</p>	
			Metro Rail sectors. The criteria for scoring are given below:					
29	Section 2 Clause 2.2 Conditions of Eligibility of Applicants Page 17 of RFP	<p>2.2.1 Applicants must carefully read the minimum conditions of eligibility (the “Conditions of Eligibility”) provided herein.</p> <p>2.2 Proposals of only those Applicants who satisfy the Conditions of Eligibility will be considered for evaluation. The Technical Capacity and Financial Capacity mentioned hereunder, should be satisfied either by the Applicant itself or it may satisfy the same along with its Associate(s), only. In case the Applicant is satisfying the said Conditions of Eligibility along with its Associate(s), it shall furnish a certificate in format prescribed in Form 14 of Appendix- I.</p> <p>The Key Personnel, with estimated Man Months of 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RfP, to be offered in the Proposal shall be full time permanent employees of the Applicant or its Associates. Where the Applicant is a consortium, the Applicant may also offer the Key Personnel of its</p>	<p>As you would appreciate, several Metro Rail projects are currently under implementation across various cities in the country. Consequently, the availability of experienced Metro Rail experts is limited. Further, Metro Key Experts are highly qualified and specialized professionals with significantly high remuneration expectations.</p> <p>Under such circumstances, Key Experts may not prefer to remain idle in anticipation of a new project. Similarly, it is also not feasible for a General Consultant (GC) firm to retain all prospective experts on its permanent rolls prior to award of the assignment. Accordingly, <b><i>the prevailing industry practice is to propose well qualified and well experienced professionals from outside of their organisation; along with an undertaking to recruit such external experts onto the company’s rolls as permanent employees upon successful</i></b></p>	No change.				

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		<p>other consortium members (but not the Associates of such other consortium members) provided such Key Personnel, whose estimated Man Months are 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RfP, are full time permanent employees of such consortium member(s).</p>	<p><b>award of the contract.</b> This is a well-accepted practise in the Metro GC projects.</p> <p>Further, with the advent of technology and social media, Linked-in especially, almost all the eminent experts are placing their detailed CVs in the Linked-in for better employability and professional enrichment. Some bidders are taking advantage of this situation and proposing such eminent experts as Key Experts without Expert’s knowledge or consent. Hence, situations like appearance of one Key Expert in more than one proposal is being seen and leading to confusion and controversy at the time of evaluation. If authority decides to cancel all proposals, wherein a common Key is Expert is found, leads to confusion and controversy since, it would be a gross injustice to the genuine bidder/proposal to whom the Expert has given his written consent genuinely. At the same time, authorities also don’t want loose such eminent experts to work on their projects.</p> <p>Considering all above, in the interest of the project and to ensure availability of the best-qualified experts, several Metro Rail authorities (1.Gujarat Metro Rail Corporation Limited (GMRCL), 2.Gurgaon Metro Rail Limited (GMRL) and 3. National Capital Region Transport Corporation (NCRTC)) permit Key Experts to give consent to submit their CV to more than one bidder, except for the positions of Team Leader and Deputy Team Leader.</p> <p>Copies of the relevant clauses are attached as <b><u>Annexure-3 (Page 17, 19 &amp; 21)</u></b> for ready</p>	

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
			<p>reference, please.</p> <p>In view of the above, we request the Authority to kindly incorporate a new clause as below in lieu of the referred clause.</p> <p><b>The new clause to be added:</b>  <i>“There is no limitation for a person to participate as Key Experts in more than one bid except for the position of Project Director and Deputy Project Director.”</i></p>	
30	4.4. Substitution of Key Personnel 4.4.1 – Page 142 of RFP	<p>(i)The Authority expects all the Key Personnel to be available during first year of implementation of the Agreement. During the first year of the Agreement, the Authority will not consider substitution of Key Personnel except for reasons of any incapacity or due to health. Such substitution shall ordinarily be limited to one Key Personnel subject to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel being provided to the satisfaction of the Authority. As a condition to such substitution, a sum equal to 10% (ten per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel for the first three months from the date of such substitution of Key Personnel shall be deducted from the payments due to the General Consultant. In the case of a second substitution hereunder, such deduction shall be 20% (twenty per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel for the first three months from the date of such substitution.</p> <p>(ii) Substitution of Key Personnel when he is working with the General Consultant or its consortium member will not be accepted</p>	<p>In our opinion the referred clause is stringent regarding substitution of Key Personnel, including restrictions during the first year, limits on permissible substitutions, and deductions from remuneration for every substitution.</p> <p>In this regard, we request the Authority to kindly consider aligning the provision with the industry practice being followed in other Metro GC project (<b>Gujarat Metro Rail Project</b>) wherein Key Personnel replacement is permitted without remuneration deduction, subject to prior approval of the Authority and deployment of equivalent or better qualified experts.</p> <p>In this context, we request you to please consider modifying the referred clause on the lines of other metro GC RFPs for substitution/ Replacement of Key Experts as follows:</p> <p><u>“Maximum permissible limit for change of GEC STAFF (Key) IS 10% WITHOUT ANY REDUCTION IN MONTHLY REMUNERATION, on the basis of CV approved by GMRC and deployed by GEC for first time. This 10% limit covers replacement of GEC staff on medical</u></p>	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		<p>under any circumstances.</p> <p>(iii) Substitution of Key Personnel can be permitted after first year of the Agreement, if the Key Personnel is not available for reasons of any incapacity or due to health or due to resigning from the employment of the General Consultant or its consortium member, subject to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel being provided to the satisfaction of the Authority. Such substitution shall however be limited to two in each year. There will not be any deduction for the substitutions from second year onwards where such substitution is limited to two in each year. In the event the Authority permits substitution of more than two Key Personnel in a year, a sum equal to 20% of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel for the first three months from the date of substitution of such Key Personnel shall be deducted from the payments due to the General Consultant, for every substitution exceeding the limit of two Key Personnel.</p>	<p><del>ground, long absentee or quitting the organization or demobilized by Employer. Once 10% replacement limit is crossed a penalty in the form of 0.1% Of Contract value is applicable and after every 10 % limit this penalty of 0.1% will be applicable. However, if experts are replaced after giving written notice of 3 months, with equal or more experience/qualification (than the qualification/experience, etc. specified for the position), then there shall be no penalty.”</del></p> <p>Copies of the relevant clauses are attached as <b>Annexure-4 (Page 23)</b> for ready reference, please.</p>	
31	3.CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION Page 61 of RFP	<p>3.1.4 Eligible Assignments</p> <p>For the purposes of determining Conditions of Eligibility and for evaluating the Proposals under this RfP, the following projects shall be deemed as eligible assignments (the “<b>Eligible Assignments</b>”):</p> <p>a) <b>Technical Capacity:</b> The Applicant shall have, over the past 10 (ten) years preceding the PDD ending March 2026, completed eligible assignments as below :</p>	<p>We understand that, as per Clause 3.1.4, the members of a consortium are <b>permitted to claim credentials of eligible assignments undertaken by them as substantial partners in the completed assignments, irrespective of their percentage share of participation in such assignments as long as they were substantial partners in such projects</b>, for the purpose of meeting the minimum eligibility criteria of the tender and award of marks.</p> <p>However, <b>it was mentioned in the pre-bid</b></p>	<p>2.1.1 ...The members having less than 26% participation will be termed as non-substantial member and will not be considered for technical and financial evaluation. <u>In the Eligible Assignments, the member shall have a minimum of 26% stake in the project taken up and completed.</u> All members of the Consortium shall have working experience in GC / IE / PMC of Metro Rail / Railway system projects. A non-Indian bidder registered in India for last 5 years is permitted to tender as a sole entity</p>

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• At least one “similar work” of value <b>INR 100 crore</b> or more</li> <li style="text-align: center;">or</li> <li>• At least two “similar works” each of value <b>INR 60 crore</b> or more</li> <li style="text-align: center;">or</li> <li>• At least three “similar works” each of value <b>INR 45 crore</b> or more.</li> </ul> <p>In the above mentioned projects, at least one Similar Work of value INR 45 Crore or more should have been successfully completed by the Applicant in India.</p> <p>The above mentioned number of assignments in any respective category are required to be fulfilled by an Applicant to be eligible for evaluation of its Proposal. Any additional assignments meeting the above criteria would count as an Eligible Assignment for the purpose of calculating Technical Score of the Applicant.</p> <p>b) "Similar Works" means, “General Consultancy (GC) / Independent Engineer (IE) / Project Management Consultancy (PMC) covering the scope of services similar to those required to be rendered under this RfP and works pertaining to Civil &amp; System works of Metro Rail based urban mass rapid transit system / Railway System (i.e., “<b>rail based urban mass rapid transit system</b>”) involving the work of project management and supervision including contract management, design checking, construction management, inspection, safety and quality, testing and commissioning during last 10 (ten) years</p>	<p><b>meeting that an Applicant/ member of a consortium shall have 26% participation in their earlier completed projects to claim their experience in the current tender. But we wish to submit that no such condition is stipulated in this current RFP document.</b> On the other hand, <b>in all Metro GC projects the members of a Consortium are permitted to claim credentials of eligible assignments (to the extent of their % share) undertaken by them as substantial partners in completed assignments, irrespective of their percentage share of participation in such assignments as long as they were substantial partners in such projects.</b></p> <p><i>In this context, Copies of the relevant clauses are attached as <b><u>Annexure-5 (Page 25,27 &amp; 29)</u></b> for ready reference, please.</i></p> <p>Sir, kindly note that in the earlier bid invited by the Authority for GC services for the Raidurg Metro Station to Rajiv Gandhi International Airport corridor, experience from projects where the applicant’s participation share was less than 26% was also considered and evaluated.</p> <p>Hence, we kindly request the Authority to consider our request as detailed above.</p>	<p>or a joint venture or consortium arrangement with Indian Consultant or their wholly owned Indian subsidiary registered in India under Companies Act. The term applicant (the “<b>Applicant</b>”) means the Sole Firm or the Consortium, as the case may be. The manner in which the Proposal is required to be submitted, evaluated and accepted is explained in this RfP.</p>

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		ending in March 2026.”		
32	2.Instructions to Applicants Page 9 of RFP	<b>2.1.4 Key Personnel</b>	The positions of Chief Architectural Expert and Chief Testing & Commissioning Expert appear to be missing in the proposed team composition. We request the Client to kindly incorporate these positions in the Key Personnel requirement.	No change.
33	(D) Conditions of Eligibility for Key Personnel: Each of the Key Personnel must fulfill the Conditions of Eligibility specified below: Page 20 of RFP	<p><b>(I) Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Personnel (K1) whose CVs will be evaluated for bidding:</b></p> <p><b>SI.No.5. Position: Chief Systems Integration Expert (K1)</b></p> <p><b>Area of Expertise:</b> Shall have at least 2 years of continuous working experience in a single relevant project.</p>	<p>As you would appreciate, this assignment that this position is generally deployed during System Integration phase which is around 12-18 Months. In current bid also person-months specified are 12 months against this expert however for qualification purpose 2 years continuous working experience in single relevant project is specified.</p> <p>In lieu of the above we would request to modify the above as</p> <p><u>“Shall have at least 1 year of continuous working experience in a single relevant project”</u></p> <p>This may be considered please.</p>	<p align="center">Area of Expertise (for K1 positions from Sl. No. 3 to Sl. No. 13 and Sl. No. 15)</p> <p>...Shall have at least 2 years of <del>continuous</del> working experience in a single relevant project...</p>

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
34	(D) Condi tions of Eligibili ty for Key Person nel: Each of the Key Person nel must fulfill the Condi tions of Eligibili ty specifie d below: Page 21 of RFP	<b>(I) Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Personnel (K1) whose CVs will be evaluated for bidding:</b>  <b>SI.No.7. Position: Chief Structural Engineer (Elevated &amp; UG Structures- K1)</b>  <b>Area of Expertise:</b> Shall have at least 2 years of continuous working experience in a single relevant project.  Relevant project experience means working experience on GC / PMC / IE for detailed designing/ proof checking/ reviewing of structural drawings for elevated viaduct / underground tunnel / stations for Metro Rail / Railway System projects.	This expert will be purely responsible for Design Review /Proof Checking work of structural works. Many experts in this field work in multiple projects such as PMC/GC/DDC.  Hence experience in PMC /GC/DDC for metro rail projects, urban rail system projects such as LRT, Monorail and also in designing of major structures such as railway flyovers, railway bridges, road flyovers, etc. This may please be considered.	No change.  Please see SI. No. 33 above.
35	2.Instru ctions to Applica nts Page 24 of RFP	<b>2.1.4 Key Personnel</b>  (I)Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Personnel (K1) whose CVs will be evaluated for bidding:  SI.No.13 Position: <b>Chief Rolling Stock Expert (K1)</b> <b>Minimum Qualifications in the relevant field:</b> Graduate in Electrical/ Electronics engineering	Rolling Stock systems involve mechanical engineering components such as car body design, bogies, braking systems, propulsion integration, HVAC, coupling systems, and maintenance planning, wherein Mechanical Engineers possess significant domain expertise and relevant project experience.  We request you to kindly consider including Graduation in Mechanical Engineering also as the qualifying experience for “Chief Rolling Stock Expert (K1)” and clause may be amended as below:	2.2.2 (D) (I)  SI. No. 13 Chief Rolling Stock Expert (K1)  Graduate in Electrical / Electronics / <u>Mechanical (with relevant Metro Rail Rolling Stock experience)</u> engineering

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
			<b>Minimum Qualifications in the relevant field:</b> Graduate in Electrical/ Electronics/ <u>Mechanical engineering</u>	
36	<b>2.2 Conditions of Eligibility of Applicants</b> Page 23 of RFP	(I) Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Experts (K1) whose CV’s will be evaluated for bidding: <b><u>Sl.No.11: Chief Safety Expert (HSE) (K1)</u></b>  <b>Minimum Qualifications in the relevant field:</b> Graduate in Civil / Electrical Engineering	Graduation in <u>any branch of engineering</u> may please be considered as qualifying experience for “Chief Safety Expert (HSE) (K1).”	No change.
37	2.2 Conditions of Eligibility of Applicants Page 18 of RFP	(I) Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Experts (K1) whose CV’s will be evaluated for bidding: <b><u>Sl.No.2: Dy. Team Leader (Systems - K1)</u></b> Shall have a minimum total experience of 20 years. Out of which minimum 08 years of experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least 03 years of experience in the rank of Dy Team Leader (System) or one position below  Shall have at least 3 years of continuous working experience in a single relevant project.  Relevant project experience means working experience with / as client / Contractor while implementation of a Metro Rail / Railway System project or with / as Consultant providing GC / PMC / IE services while implementation of a Metro Rail / Railway	Considering the availability and rich experience of the experts, relevant experience in Indian Railways may also be considered for “Dy. Team Leader (Systems - K1)”and thee referred clause may please be amended as below:  “Shall have a minimum total experience of 20 years. Out of which minimum 08 years of experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least 03 years of experience in the rank of Dy Team Leader (System) or one position below <u>or equivalent.</u>  Shall have at least 3 years of continuous working experience in a single relevant project.  Relevant project experience means working experience with / as client / Contractor while implementation of a Metro Rail / Railway System project/ <u>Indian Railways</u> or with / as Consultant providing GC / PMC / IE services	No change.  Please see Sl. No. 33 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		System projects.	while implementation of a Metro Rail / Railway System / <del>Indian Railways projects.”</del>	
38	2.2 Conditions of Eligibility of Applicants Page 22 of RFP	<p>(I) Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Experts (K1) whose CV’s will be evaluated for bidding:</p> <p><b><u>Sl.No.9: Chief Traction &amp; Power Supply Expert (K1)</u></b> Shall have a minimum total experience of 20 years. Out of which minimum 07 years of experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least 03 years of experience in the rank of proposed position or one position below.</p> <p>Shall have at least 2 years of continuous working experience in a single relevant project.</p> <p>Relevant project experience means working experience with Client / Contractor while implementation of a Metro Rail / Railway System projects or with/as Consultant providing GC / PMC / IE services while implementation of a Metro Rail / Railway System projects.</p>	<p>Considering the availability and rich experience of the experts, Relevant experience in Indian Railways may also be considered for “Chief Traction &amp; Power Supply Expert (K1)” and the referred clause may please be amended as below:</p> <p>“Shall have a minimum total experience of 20 years. Out of which minimum 07 years of experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least 03 years of experience in the rank of proposed position or one position below <del>or equivalent.</del></p> <p>Shall have at least 2 years of continuous working experience in a single relevant project.</p> <p>Relevant project experience means working experience with Client / Contractor while implementation of a Metro Rail / Railway System / <del>Indian Railways</del> projects or with/as Consultant providing GC / PMC / IE services while implementation of a Metro Rail / Railway System/ <del>Indian Railways projects.”</del></p>	<p>No change.</p> <p>Please see Sl. No. 33 above.</p>
39	2.2 Conditions of Eligibility of Applicants Page 21 of RFP	<p>(I) Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Experts (K1) whose CV’s will be evaluated for bidding</p> <p><b><u>Sl.No.8: Chief Track Expert (K1):</u></b> Shall have a minimum total experience of 20 years. Out of which minimum 07 years of experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least 03 years of experience in the rank of proposed position or one position</p>	<p>Considering the availability and rich experience of the experts, Relevant experience in Indian Railways may also be considered for “Chief Track Expert (K1)” and the referred clause may please be amended as below:</p> <p>“Shall have a minimum total experience of 20 years. Out of which minimum 07 years of experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least</p>	<p>No change.</p> <p>Please see Sl. No. 33 above.</p>

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority															
		below.  Shall have at least 2 years of continuous working experience in a single relevant project  Relevant project experience means working experience with / as client / Contractor while implementation of a Metro Rail / Railway System project or with / as Consultant providing GC / PMC / IE services while implementation of a Metro Rail / Railway System projects.	03 years of experience in the rank of proposed position or one position below <del>or equivalent</del> .  Shall have at least 2 years of continuous working experience in a single relevant project  Relevant project experience means working experience with / as client / Contractor while implementation of a Metro Rail / Railway System/ <b>Indian Railways</b> projects or with / as Consultant providing GC / PMC / IE services while implementation of a Metro Rail / Railway System/ <b>Indian Railways</b> projects.																
40	Item 3 of Form 2: Financial Proposal Page 212 of RFP	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Item No.</th> <th>Description</th> <th>Amount (Rs.)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>D</td> <td>SUBTOTAL OF A+B+C</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>E</td> <td>OVERHEAD EXPENSES @----- % of (E)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>F</td> <td>GST</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>G</td> <td>TOTAL (including taxes) (D+E+F) (in Rs.) In Indian Rupees in figures in words _____</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Item No.	Description	Amount (Rs.)	D	SUBTOTAL OF A+B+C		E	OVERHEAD EXPENSES @----- % of (E)		F	GST		G	TOTAL (including taxes) (D+E+F) (in Rs.) In Indian Rupees in figures in words _____		<p>We understand that there may be a typographical error in the item description stated as:</p> <p>“E. OVERHEAD EXPENSES @ ----- % of (E)” It is presumed that the intended description may be: “E. OVERHEAD EXPENSES @ ----- % of (D)”</p> <p>We request the Authority to kindly confirm the same.</p>	Please see Addendum Num <del>4</del> 2.
Item No.	Description	Amount (Rs.)																	
D	SUBTOTAL OF A+B+C																		
E	OVERHEAD EXPENSES @----- % of (E)																		
F	GST																		
G	TOTAL (including taxes) (D+E+F) (in Rs.) In Indian Rupees in figures in words _____																		
41	Note 3 of Form 2: Financial Proposal Page 212 of RFP	3. All costs shall be reimbursed on production of a Statement of Expenses, duly certified by the Authorised Representative. However, no details of expenditures would be sought for III to V of item No. A and Item No. F (overhead expenses), which will be reimbursed in proportion to the total expenses under Item E.	<p>We understand that the Item No. references mentioned in the clause appear to be mismatched due to a typographical error. The clause may kindly be read as follows: “All costs shall be reimbursed on production of a Statement of Expenses, duly certified by the Authorised Representative. However, no details of expenditures would be sought for Item Nos. III to V under Item A and <b>Item No. E</b> (Overhead Expenses), which shall be reimbursed in proportion to the total expenses <b>under Item D.</b>”</p>	Please see Sl. No. 40 above.															
42	Item 3 of Form	2.15.1 Applicants shall submit the financial proposal in the formats at	We understand that Item “H” is not appearing in Form-2 of Appendix-II.	2.15.1 Applicants shall submit the financial proposal in the formats at Appendix-II (the															

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	2: Financial Proposal Page 212 of RFP	Appendix-II (the “Financial Proposal”) clearly indicating the total cost of the Consultancy (Item [H] of Form-2 of Appendix II) in both figures and words, in Indian Rupees, and signed by the Applicant’s Authorised Representative. In the event of any difference between figures and words, the amount indicated in words shall be taken into account. In the event of a difference between the arithmetic total and the total shown in the Financial Proposal, the lower of the two shall prevail.	In this regard, we request the Authority to kindly clarify whether Item “G” may be considered as the Total Cost of Consultancy.	“ <b>Financial Proposal</b> ”) clearly indicating the total cost of the Consultancy (Item [HG] of Form-2 of Appendix II)...
43	Cl. No. 2.20.1 (page 47) and Page 54	<p>The Applicant shall furnish as part of its Proposal, a Bid Security of Rs.50,00,000 (Rupees Fifty Lakh only) in the form of a Demand Draft /bank guarantee issued by one of the Nationalised/ Scheduled Banks in India (in the form prescribed in Annexure A) or in the form of ‘Insurance Surety Bond’ as per IRDAI norms in favour of the Managing Director, Hyderabad Airport Metro Limited, Metro Rail Bhavan, Begumpet, Hyderabad-500003, Telangana</p> <p>And</p> <p><b>2.30 Performance Guarantee</b> The General Consultant shall be required to furnish a performance security of a value equivalent to 3% (three percent) of the Agreement Value. The Authority shall retain by way of performance security, 3% (three percent) of all the amounts due and payable to the General Consultant. The General Consultant may, in lieu of retention of the amounts as referred above, furnish a Bank Guarantee,</p>	<p>The RFP currently permits Insurance Surety Bonds (ISB) as an acceptable form of Bid Security, while no such provision has been included for the Performance Guarantee.</p> <p>Considering that the subject project involves a substantial bid security requirement of 3% of the contract value, Insurance Surety Bonds provide a more efficient and cost-effective alternative compared to traditional cash deposits or bank guarantees.</p> <p>In view of the above, we kindly request HAML to permit the submission of Insurance Surety Bonds (ISB) as an acceptable form of Performance Guarantee.</p> <p>For reference, an Office Memorandum issued by the Ministry of Finance, Department of Financial Services, endorsing the use of Insurance Surety Bonds, is</p>	<p><b>2.30 Performance Guarantee</b></p> <p>The General Consultant shall be required to furnish a performance security of a value equivalent to 3% (three percent) of the Agreement Value. The Authority shall retain by way of performance security, 3% (three percent) of all the amounts due and payable to the General Consultant. The General Consultant may, in lieu of retention of the amounts as referred above, furnish a Bank Guarantee/<u>Insurance Surety Bond</u>, substantially in the form specified at Annex-7 of this Agreement.</p>

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		substantially in the form specified at Annex-7 of this Agreement.	enclosed herewith as <b>Annexure–6 (Page 30)</b>	
44	2.Instructions to Applicants Page 32 of RFP And Page 30 of RFP	<p><b>Staff Category: K3#</b> Experience Required: 15+ years in rail related / major infrastructure projects with minimum 4 years’ experience in relevant field in metro. And (II) Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of K2 experts whose CVs will not be evaluated for bidding but need to be approved by HAML before mobilization:  Sl.No.1 to 28: Overall experience in years: 15 years</p>	<p>We have noted that the overall experience requirement for both K2 and K3 category experts is same. Therefore, we request the Authority to consider relaxing the experience requirement for K3 experts as below:  Staff Category: K3# Experience Required: <b>12+</b> years in rail related / major infrastructure projects with minimum <b>3</b> years’ experience in relevant field in metro.  Kindly Consider.</p>	<p>(Experience required for K3 category)  <b>15</b>+ years in rail related / major infrastructure projects with minimum 4 years experience in relevant field in metro.</p>
45	2.16. Submission of Proposal Page 46 of RFP	2.16.7 The rates quoted by the GC in its Financial Proposal shall be firm for the first one year of the Assignment Period. Subsequent to the first year of the Assignment Period and for the remainder of the initial 3 year term of the Assignment Period, the rate paid by the Authority to the GC shall be escalated every year at 5% on a non-compounded basis on the rate for the first year of the Assignment Period. In case the Services are required to be provided beyond the contemplated 3 year Assignment Period, the rate to be paid to the GC by the Authority shall similarly be escalated at the rate of 5% per annum on non-compounded basis on the rate for the first year of the Assignment Period. The Authority shall in no event be required to pay the GC any sum in excess of the aforesaid amounts.	<p>The present assignment is of long duration and requires continuous deployment of highly specialized Metro Rail and infrastructure professionals. In the prevailing market conditions, remuneration levels for such experts are witnessing substantial year-on-year increases due to inflationary trends, industry demand and the growing challenge of retaining experienced personnel for long-term assignments.  Considering the nature and duration of the project, a simple/non-compounded escalation may not adequately address the actual increase in manpower and operational costs over the contract period. Since salary revisions and associated costs are generally cumulative in nature, adoption of a compounded escalation mechanism would more accurately reflect the prevailing market practices and the realistic cost impact during the project lifecycle.</p>	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
			Accordingly, it is requested that the Authority may kindly consider providing an annual escalation of <b>10% on a compounded basis</b> , instead of 5% on a non-compounded basis. This would enable the Consultant to ensure continuity in deployment of qualified experts and achieve efficient project delivery throughout the contract period.	
46	4 General Consultant’s Personnel and Sub-Consultants Page 143 of RFP	<b>4.5 Working hours, overtime, leave, etc.</b> The Personnel shall not be entitled to be paid for overtime nor to take paid sick leave or vacation leave except as specified in the Agreement, and the General Consultant’s remuneration shall be deemed to cover these items. All leave to be allowed to the Personnel is excluded from the Man Days of service set forth in Annex-2. Taking of leave by any Personnel for a period exceeding 7 days shall be subject to the prior approval of the Authority, and the General Consultant shall ensure that any absence on leave will not delay the progress and quality of the Services.	The proposed key personnel and support staff are highly experienced professionals who are expected to remain engaged on the assignment for an extended duration. In line with standard industry practices and statutory employment requirements, provision of limited paid leave, including casual leave, sick leave, and national holidays, is essential to ensure employee welfare, sustained productivity, and continuity in quality of services. Accordingly, we request the Authority to consider allowing paid leave provisions without deduction from the approved Man Days.	No change.
47	2.14 Technical Proposal Page 41 of RFP	2.14.2 While submitting the Technical Proposal, the Applicant shall, in particular, ensure that:  (d) CVs of all Professional Personnel have been submitted;	As per the referred clause, CVs of all Professional Personnel are required to be submitted. However, we understand that only 15 CVs are to be submitted at the bidding stage.  Please clarify.	No change.
48	6. PAYMENT TO THE GENERAL	<b>6.1 Cost estimates and Agreement Value</b>	As you would appreciate, Mobilisation Advance is essential to meet initial cash flow requirements related to team mobilisation and setup of necessary resources, such as office establishment, vehicle hiring, procurement of computer hardware and software, etc.	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	CONSULTANT Page 146 of RFP		Therefore, we kindly request you to consider providing a mobilisation advance of 10% of the contract value against Bank Guarantee in line with standard industry practice and as being adopted in other metro GC projects	
49	3.4 Combined and Final Evaluation Page 63 of RFP	<p>3.4.1 Proposals will finally be ranked according to their combined technical (ST) and financial (SF) scores as follows:</p> $S = ST \times T_w + SF \times F_w$ <p>Where S is the combined score, and <math>T_w</math> and <math>F_w</math> are weights assigned to Technical Proposal and Financial Proposal that shall be 0.70 and 0.30 respectively</p>	We request the Authority to consider revising the weightage of Technical and Financial Proposals from the present 70:30 ratio to 80:20 to encourage participation of technically competent and experienced firms.	<p>3.4.1 Proposals will finally be ranked according to their combined technical (<math>S_T</math>) and financial (<math>S_F</math>) scores as follows:</p> $S = S_T \times T_w + S_F \times F_w$ <p>Where S is the combined score, and <math>T_w</math> and <math>F_w</math> are weights assigned to Technical Proposal and Financial Proposal that shall be <u>0.780</u> and <u>0.320</u> respectively.</p> <p>1.6 ...Quality cum Cost Based Selection (QCBS) will be the criteria where technical and financial scores shall be assigned respective weights of <u>780:320</u>. The entire procedure for selection of the successful Applicant under this RfP, hereinafter referred to as the “<b>Selection Process</b>”.</p>
50	2.14 Technical Proposal Page 42 of RFP.	<p>2.14.1 Applicants shall submit the technical proposal in the formats at Appendix-I (the “<b>Technical Proposal</b>”).</p> <p>2.14.3 Failure to comply with the requirements spelt out in this Clause 2.14 shall make the Proposal liable to be rejected and the Authority shall be entitled to forfeit the Bid Security.</p>	<p>It is brought to your notice that non-compliance with technical proposal submission requirements may arise due to inadvertent clerical errors, formatting issues, or minor deviations. Therefore, forfeiture of Bid Security for such procedural or documentation-related deficiencies appears to be excessively stringent in nature.</p> <p>It is requested that, in case of any non-compliance under Clause 2.14, the Proposal may be treated as non-responsive and rejected, if required; however, the provision related to forfeiture of Bid Security may kindly be deleted or restricted only to cases involving withdrawal of bid, misrepresentation, or other material</p>	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
			breaches of the bidding process.	
51	3. CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION Page 55 of RFP	3.1.3 The scoring criteria to be used for evaluation shall be as follows.  Item Code 3. Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel  Max. Marks: 70	It is our understanding that submission of the same expert’s CV by more than one bidder shall not lead to any reduction or disparities in the technical evaluation scoring, provided the expert fulfills the qualification and experience requirements prescribed for the respective position under the bid conditions.  Please Confirm.	No change.
52	1.8 Schedule of Selection Process Page 6 of RFP	4. Last date for receiving Proposals (“Proposal Due Date” or PDD): <b>05.06.2026 at 15.00 Hrs IST</b>	In view of the detailed proposal requirements under the RFP, it is requested to provide a minimum duration of four (4) weeks from the date of issuance of pre-bid clarifications.	Please see Sl. No. 5 above.
53	2. INSTRUCTIONS TO APPLICANTS Page 8 of RFP	<b>A. GENERAL</b>  <b>2.1 Scope of Proposal,</b> The members having less than 26% participation will be termed as non-substantial member and will not be considered for technical and financial evaluation. All members of the Consortium shall have working experience in GC / IE / PMC of Metro Rail / Railway system projects. A non-Indian bidder registered in India for last 5 years is permitted to tender as a sole entity or a joint venture or consortium arrangement with Indian Consultant or their wholly owned Indian subsidiary registered in India under Companies Act. The term applicant (the “Applicant”) means the Sole	Sir, we wish to submit that, in the ongoing process of appointing General Consultants (GC) for various Metro Rail Projects the percentage participation of a substantial partner generally ranges from 10% to 20% only. This provision is primarily intended to encourage the participation of local companies and thereby facilitate capacity building by enabling them to acquire metro rail experience and expertise for execution of future metro rail projects indigenously. Therefore, we request you to consider minimum participation of a substantial partner be kept as 10% and consider for their technical and financial credentials during evaluation of a consortium.  You may please the RFP conditions of other	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		<p>Firm or the Consortium, as the case may be. The manner in which the Proposal is required to be submitted, evaluated and accepted is explained in this RfP.</p>	<p>metros (1. GMRL, 2. GMRCL and 3. MMRDA) in Annexure-xxx <b><u>Annexure-5 (Page 25,27 and 29)</u></b></p> <p>Further, it is noticed that few subsidiary companies of international companies registered in India are bidding for metro related projects, including GC, claiming the credentials of their parent company, without having done a single project in India and without the participation of their Parent company in the JV/Consortium. This working arrangement often leading to issues in project execution.</p> <p>In view of the above, as discussed and agreed in the pre-bid meeting, we request you to please make it mandatory the participation of a parent company in the JV/Consortium along with their Indian subsidiary company and sign Joint Bidding Agreement clearly accepting the responsibility “Jointly &amp; Severally” for performance of the contract w.r.t Scope of Work/ Terms of Reference.</p> <p>In view of the above and the magnitude, multidisciplinary nature, and critical technical deliverables involved in the assignment, it is considered essential that all consortium partners demonstrate independent capability and relevant project experience of comparable nature. In this regard, the qualification requirements may kindly be modified as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Lead Consortium Member:</b> Must have completed at least one similar consultancy assignment in India having a minimum contract value of INR 60 Crore.</li> <li>• <b>Other Consortium Members:</b></li> </ul>	

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
			Each member should independently have completed at least one similar consultancy assignment in India with a minimum contract value of INR 45 Crore.  Please Consider.	
54	2. INSTR UCTIONS TO APPLICANTS Page 8 of RFP	<b>2.1 Scope of Proposal</b> The members having less than 26% participation will be termed as non-substantial member and will not be considered for technical and financial evaluation. All members of the Consortium shall have working experience in GC / IE / PMC of Metro Rail / Railway system projects. A non-Indian bidder registered in India for last 5 years is permitted to tender as a sole entity or a joint venture or consortium arrangement with Indian Consultant or their wholly owned Indian subsidiary registered in India under Companies Act. The term applicant (the “Applicant”) means the Sole Firm or the Consortium, as the case may be. The manner in which the Proposal is required to be submitted, evaluated and accepted is explained in this RfP.	Sir, we wish to submit that, in the ongoing process of appointing General Consultants (GC) for various Metro Rail Projects (1. GMRL, 2. GMRCL and 3. MMRDA), the percentage participation of a substantial partner generally ranges from 10% to 20% only. This provision is primarily intended to encourage the participation of local companies and thereby facilitate capacity building by enabling them to acquire metro rail experience and expertise for execution of future metro rail projects indigenously. In view of the above, we request you to kindly consider limiting the minimum percentage participation of a substantial partner as 10% and above.  <i>In this context, Copies of the relevant clauses are attached as <b>Annexure-5 (Page 25,27 and 29)</b> for ready reference, please.</i>	No change.
55	3. CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION Page 58 of RFP	3.1.3 The scoring criteria to be used for evaluation shall be as follows. Item Code 3(j): Chief Quality Assurance & Quality Control Expert (K1)  Relevant project experience means working experience with contractor while implementation of a Metro Rail / Railway System projects / flyovers / expressways in urban areas or with/as consultant providing GC/PMC/IE services while implementation of a Metro Rail / Railway System projects/ flyovers / expressways in	Quality Assurance and Quality Control functions are equally critical from the Client/Employer side, where the expert is directly involved in monitoring compliance with quality standards.  We kindly request the Authority to also consider experience gained while working with the Client/Employer organization during the implementation of Metro Rail / Railway System projects / flyovers / expressways in urban areas as eligible relevant experience.	3(j) criteria  ...Relevant project experience means working experience with contractor/ <u>client</u> while implementation of a Metro Rail / Railway System projects / flyovers / expressways in urban areas...

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		urban areas		
56	2.Instructions to Applicants Page 25 of RFP	<p><b>2.1.4 Key Personnel</b></p> <p>(I)Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Personnel (K1) whose CVs will be evaluated for bidding:</p> <p>Sl.No.15 Position: <b>Chief Operations and Maintenance Expert (K1)</b></p> <p><b>Minimum Qualifications in the relevant field:</b> Graduate in Electrical Engineering</p>	<p>Operations and Maintenance activities in Metro Rail Systems involve significant mechanical components, including rolling stock, depot machinery, HVAC systems, ventilation systems, lifting equipment, and other allied mechanical infrastructure. Mechanical Engineers possess relevant expertise and substantial experience in handling O&amp;M activities associated with such systems.</p> <p>We request the Authority to also consider “<b>Graduate in Mechanical Engineering</b>” as an eligible qualification for the position of Chief Operations and Maintenance Expert (K1).</p>	No change.
57	3.1.4 Eligible Assignments at Page No. 61 of RFP	<p>For the purposes of determining Conditions of Eligibility and for evaluating the Proposals under this RFP, the following projects shall be deemed as eligible assignments (the “Eligible Assignments”): Technical Capacity: The Applicant shall have, over the past 10 (ten) years preceding the PDD ending March 2026, completed eligible assignments as below: • At least one “similar work” of value INR 100 crore or more or • At least two “similar works” each of value INR 60 crore or more or • At least three “similar works” each of value INR 45 crore or more.</p>	<p>As per standard industry practice, in addition to completed projects, substantially completed projects are also considered under the minimum eligibility and evaluation criteria. This approach ensures equitable consideration for both categories and encourages wider participation, as projects of such large value are often not fully completed due to various practical reasons but have achieved substantial completion. Therefore, we request the client to amend the clause as mentioned below: 3.1.4 Eligible Assignments For the purposes of determining Conditions of Eligibility and for evaluating the Proposals under this RFP, the following projects shall be deemed as eligible assignments (the “Eligible Assignments”): Technical Capacity: The Applicant shall have, over the past 10 (ten) years preceding the PDD ending March 2026, completed/</p>	Please see Sl. No. 26 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
			substantially completed eligible assignments as below: • At least one “similar work” of value INR 100 crore or more or • At least two “similar works” each of value INR 60 crore or more or • At least three “similar works” each of value INR 45 crore or more. Note: The project shall be considered “Substantially completed”, if the applicant has received 80% of the original contract value. The same is to be applicable in clause 3.1.3 (scoring criteria), item code 1 (Relevant Experience of the Applicant). For your kind consideration please.	
58	SN B at clause 2.2.2 at Page 17 of RFP	Financial Capacity: Minimum average annual turnover of the Applicant shall be INR 200 crore or more for consecutive 5 (five) financial years ending in March 2026. Minimum average net worth consecutive 5 (five) financial years shall be more than Rs 25 crore. Each member of a Joint Venture / Consortium must have minimum average annual turnover of Rs 55 crore for consecutive 5(five) financial years ending in March 2026.	As per the tender conditions, the financial capacity requirements stipulate assessment based on last 5 (five) consecutive financial years ending in March 2026. Since FY 2025–26 has only recently concluded, audited financial statements for this period may not yet be available for many applicants due to the ongoing statutory audit and approval process. In this regard, we request the authority to kindly relax the criteria and allow provisional / unaudited financial statements certified by the Statutory Auditor/ Chartered Accountant for FY 2025–26 will be considered during evaluation. This clarification will enable wider participation and ensure a fair opportunity for all eligible bidders.  For your kind consideration please.	No change.
59	Clause 2.2.1 at Page 17 of RFP	2.2 Conditions of Eligibility of Applicants The Key Personnel, with estimated Man Months of 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RFP, to be offered in the Proposal shall be full time permanent employees of the Applicant or its	In this regard, we request the Authority to consider modifying the requirement of “full-time permanent employees” and permit engagement of Key Personnel through long-term contractual association subject to submission of valid proof of association (eg.	Please see Sl. No. 2 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		Associates.	submission of their consent and availability undertaking) and commitment for the project duration. The proposed modification would enable participation of highly experienced domain experts and senior professionals who may not necessarily be on permanent payroll, thereby enhancing competition and ensuring availability of the best-suited expertise for successful execution of the assignment. For your kind consideration please.	
60	Clause 2.14.6 at page no. 42 of RFP & Clause (III) at page no. 31 of RFP	Clause 2.14.6: The proposed Consultancy Team shall be composed of experts (K1) and specialists (K2) (the “Professional Personnel”) in their respective areas of expertise and managerial/support staff (K3, K4, K5 & K6) (the “Support Personnel”) such that the General Consultant should be able to complete the Consultancy within the specified time schedule. The GC shall be required to provide a minimum of 2,088 Man Months of Support Personnel. Clause (III) at page no. 31 of RFP - Break up of Man Months for K3, K4, K5 & K6 positions: The total Man Months proposed to be deployed for K3, K4, K5 & K6 positions are 1,044 Man Months.	<p>We observe that the RFP contains differing provisions regarding the required Man Months for Support Personnel (K3, K4, K5 &amp; K6):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clause 2.14.6 at page no. 42 of RFP states that “The GC shall be required to provide a minimum of 2,088 Man Months of Support Personnel.”</li> <li>• However, under Clause (III) at page no. 31 of RFP – Break-up of Man Months for K3, K4, K5 &amp; K6 positions, it is mentioned that “The total Man Months proposed to be deployed for K3, K4, K5 &amp; K6 positions are 1,044 Man Months.”</li> </ul> <p>In view of the above apparent discrepancy, we request the Authority to kindly clarify the correct minimum Man-Month requirement applicable for K3 to K6 positions for preparation of the Technical and Financial Proposal. For your kind clarification please.</p>	Please see Sl. No. 6 above.
61	Item B under financial form 2 at Page	B. EXPATRIATE PERSONNEL I Remuneration for Expatriate Personnel (inclusive of all personal allowances) at page 211 of RFP	We observe that the Financial Proposal format includes remuneration provisions for Expatriate Personnel under Section “B. Expatriate Personnel”; however, the RFP does not contain any specific eligibility	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	211 of RFP		criteria, deployment conditions, scope, approval mechanism, taxation provisions or other contractual terms related to engagement of expatriate experts/personnel. In this regard, we request the Authority to kindly clarify the applicability and intended use of Expatriate Personnel under the assignment and provide the relevant terms & conditions governing their engagement, deployment, remuneration, and approvals for proper preparation of the Proposal.	
62	SN (xi) under Clause 1.2 at Page 3 of RFP	“GC will plan and arrange for training of personnel of HAML deployed for operation and maintenance of various equipment’s and plants at the suppliers’ premises. GC will also plan and arrange training of the personnel in operation and maintenance of the metro system, as a whole. There will be no separate payment for training. The price for the same is deemed to be included in the Applicant’s offer.”	Kindly specify the estimated duration/period of training and the tentative number/category of HAML personnel proposed to be trained under the scope of work. For your kind consideration please.	No change.
63	Clause 1.6 at Page 53 of RFP	Quality cum Cost Based Selection (QCBS) will be the criteria where technical and financial scores shall be assigned respective weights of 70:30.	Considering the complexity and technical nature of the subject project, which involves implementation of innovative practices, cyber security systems, renewable energy integration, and other specialized engineering components, it is requested that the QCBS evaluation criteria may kindly be revised from 70:30 to 80:20 (Technical : Financial). In similar General Engineering Consultancy assignments floated by esteemed organizations such as NCRTC, MMRDA, KRIDE, and BMRCL, the 80:20 QCBS methodology has been adopted to ensure selection of technically competent and experienced consultants for successful project execution. Accordingly, it is requested to consider the following revised evaluation	<p>3.4.1 Proposals will finally be ranked according to their combined technical (<math>S_T</math>) and financial (<math>S_F</math>) scores as follows:  <math>S = S_T \times T_w + S_F \times F_w</math>            Where S is the combined score, and <math>T_w</math> and <math>F_w</math> are weights assigned to Technical Proposal and Financial Proposal that shall be <u>0.78</u>0 and <u>0.32</u>0 respectively.</p> <p>1.6 ...Quality cum Cost Based Selection (QCBS) will be the criteria where technical and financial scores shall be assigned respective weights of <u>78</u>0:<u>32</u>0. The entire procedure for selection of the successful Applicant under this RfP, hereinafter referred to as the “<b>Selection Process</b>”.</p>

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
			criteria: Technical Weightage: 80% Financial Weightage: 20% For your kind consideration please.	
64	Clause 2.2.2 D (II) at Page 30 of RFP	“Asset Information and BMS Manager (K2) – 7+ years’ experience in the relevant field (asset information and BMS) and should have carried out similar software programming for a major infrastructure project.”	It is requested to kindly clarify the nature and scope of the “similar software programming” experience required for this position, including the type of software/platforms, project requirements, and expected role/responsibility of the proposed expert in such assignments. For your kind consideration please.	No change.  Asset Information and BMS Manager (K2)  ... <u>7</u> 5+ years experience in the relevant field (asset information and BMS) and should have carried out similar software programming for a railway or major infrastructure project.
65	Clause 2.2.2 at Page 32 (Table for K3,K4 & K5)	Experience requirements for K3, K4 & K5: • K3: 15+ years in rail related/major infrastructure projects with minimum 4 years’ experience in relevant field in metro • K4: 10+ years in rail related/major infrastructure projects with minimum 3 years’ experience in relevant field in metro • K5: 5+ years in rail related/major infrastructure projects	It is observed that the experience requirements for K3, K4, and K5 positions appear to be on the higher side compared to similar assignments of comparable nature. In several comparable consultancy assignments, the typical requirements are generally as follows:  • K3: 10+ years in rail related/major infrastructure projects with minimum 4 years’ experience in relevant field in metro • K4: 07+ years in rail related/major infrastructure projects with minimum 3 years’ experience in relevant field in metro • K5: 3+ years in rail related/major infrastructure projects  Therefore, it is requested that the above-mentioned experience requirements may kindly be reviewed and suitably relaxed to align with industry practice and ensure wider participation of qualified consultants. Further, it is also observed that the experience requirements for K2 and K3 appear to be similar in nature, which may lead to overlap in qualification levels while having different	Please see Sl. No. 44 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
			remuneration structures. It is requested that the Authority may kindly review this aspect to ensure consistency and clarity in role differentiation and corresponding remuneration alignment. For your kind consideration please.	
66	Clause 2.25.3 at Page 53 of RFP	“Substitution of the Team Leader will not normally be considered and may lead to disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement.”	We request the Authority to kindly remove or suitably relax this clause, as substitution of key experts may become necessary due to unavoidable circumstances such as resignation, medical reasons, retirement, or other unforeseen situations beyond the Consultant’s control. It is requested that replacement of the Team Leader may be permitted with an expert of equivalent or better qualification and experience, subject to approval of the Authority, without attracting disqualification or termination provisions. For your kind consideration please.	No change.
67	Clause 2.20.1 at Page 48 of RFP	The Selected Applicant’s Bid Security shall be returned, upon the Applicant signing the Agreement and completing the deliverables assigned to it for the first 6(six) months of the Consultancy in accordance with the provisions thereof.	We respectfully request the Authority to kindly release/return the Bid Security (EMD Bank Guarantee) immediately upon signing of the Agreement, or alternatively adjust the same against the Performance Bank Guarantee (PBG). Since a separate Performance Security/PBG is already stipulated in the RFP to ensure satisfactory performance and achievement of deliverables, retention of the Bid Security for an additional six months may result in duplication of financial securities on the Consultant. For your kind consideration please.	<p align="center"><b>2.20 Bid Security</b></p> <p>... The Selected Applicant’s Bid Security shall be returned, upon the Applicant <u>submitting the required Performance Security and</u> signing the Agreement <del>and completing the deliverables assigned to it for the first 6(six) months of the Consultancy in accordance with the provisions thereof.</del> For avoidance of doubt, it is clarified that the <del>Selected Applicant shall be required to furnish and maintain valid the Bid Security after the signing of the Agreement and shall ensure that the validity of the Bid Security furnished by them is extended to be valid until seven months from the date of the Agreement.</del> If required by the Authority, the second ranked Applicant shall ensure that the validity of the Bid Security...</p>

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>RfP Cl. No.</b>	<b>RfP Clause</b>	<b>Applicant’s Query</b>	<b>Response of the Authority</b>
68	Clause 16.15.2 at Page 111 of RFP	“Deployment of Key Personnel: (a) Key Personnel shall be deployed on need basis and the work on the Assignment shall commence within 15 days of the Letter of Award of the Assignment in a progressive manner.”	We request the Authority to kindly consider extending the mobilization period from 15 days to 60 days from the date of Letter of Award. This is requested considering that senior-level experts generally have a minimum notice period of approximately 90 days, and adequate time is required for their release, onboarding, and mobilization to the project. The proposed extension will ensure deployment of suitably experienced personnel without compromising quality of the Assignment. For your kind consideration please.	No change.
69	SN 9 under Clause 1.8 – Schedule of Selection Process at Page 6 of RFP	“Signing of Agreement – Within 7 days of LOA”	We request the Authority to kindly consider extending the timeline for signing of the Agreement from 7 days to 28 days from the date of issuance of Letter of Award (LOA). After issuance of the LOA, the successful consultant is required to arrange and submit the Performance Bank Guarantee and complete other contractual formalities, which generally require at least 2–3 weeks for processing and issuance by banks. Accordingly, a period of 28 days would be reasonable and practical for compliance with all contractual requirements prior to signing of the Agreement. For your kind consideration please.	No change.
70	Clause 7.2.3 at page 149 of RFP	Encashment and appropriation of Performance Security The Authority shall have the right to invoke and appropriate the proceeds of the Performance Security, in whole or in part, without notice to the General Consultant in the event of breach of this Agreement or for recovery of liquidated damages specified in this Clause 7.2.	We request the Authority to kindly do not link liquidated damages with the performance BG.	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
71	Item Code 1 under Clause 3.1.3 at page 55 of RFP	Relevant Experience of the Applicant: 30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and (ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector.	As per the stated clause 70% marks will be based on the relative marking. In view of this, we request the Authority to consider simplifying the evaluation methodology by omitting the relative marking scheme and instead specifying a fixed number of Eligible Projects/Assignments required to achieve full marks. This would help ensure greater clarity, transparency, and uniform understanding among all bidders. For your kind consideration please.	Please see Sl. No. 25 above.
72	Item Code 3 under Clause 3.1.3 at page 55 of RFP	Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel: 30% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments as per the scoring criteria mentioned in the clause) (as specified below) the respective Key Personnel has worked on. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments undertaken by the Key Personnel; and (ii) other similar work in Metro Rail sectors.	We request the Authority to kindly provide clarification on the evaluation methodology as detailed below: 1. Comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments: Kindly clarify the basis/parameters on which the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments will be evaluated. 2. Other similar work in Metro Rail sectors: We understand that “similar work” in Metro Rail sectors may also include relevant experience in Railway systems and allied urban rail/transit infrastructure projects. Kindly confirm whether such Railway system experience will also be considered as part of the evaluation criteria under this clause. 3. Maximum number of Eligible Assignments: Considering the limited number of ongoing and completed Metro Rail projects in India and to ensure fair competition and wider participation, we request the Authority to kindly reduce the maximum number of Eligible Assignments considered for scoring from 6 (six) to 3 (three). For your kind consideration please.	Please see Sl. No. 28 above.
73	Clause 2.16.7	Clause 2.16.7: The rates quoted by the GC in its Financial Proposal shall be firm	There appears to be an inconsistency between Clauses 2.16.7 and 6.1.4, which	Appendix-II Form 2

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	at Page 46 of RFP & Clause 6.1.4 at Page 146 of RFP & Note (8) under financial Bid Form 2 at page 212 of RFP	for the first one year of the Assignment Period. Subsequent to the first year of the Assignment Period and for the remainder of the initial 3-year term of the Assignment Period, the rate paid by the Authority to the GC shall be escalated every year at 5% on a non-compounded basis on the rate for the first year of the Assignment Period. In case the Services are required to be provided beyond the contemplated 3 year Assignment Period, the rate to be paid to the GC by the Authority shall similarly be escalated at the rate of 5% per annum on non-compounded basis on the rate for the first year of the Assignment Period. The Authority shall in no event be required to pay the GC any sum in excess of the aforesaid amounts. Clause 6.1.4: The Man Day Rate quoted by the General Consultant as part of its Financial Proposal in response to the RfP shall be firm for the first one year of the Assignment Period. Subsequent to the first year of the Assignment Period and for the remainder of the initial 3 (three) year term of the Assignment Period, the rate paid by the Authority to the GC shall be escalated every year at 5% on a non-compounded basis on the rate for the first year of the Assignment Period. In case the Services are required to be provided beyond the contemplated 3 (three) year Assignment Period, the rate to be paid to the GC by the Authority shall similarly be escalated at the rate of 5% per annum on non-compounded basis on the rate for the first year of the Assignment Period. The Authority shall in no event be required to	provide for escalation @ 5% per annum on a non-compounded basis, and Note (8) under Financial Bid Form 2, which states that no escalation shall be payable. We understand that escalation shall be applicable strictly in accordance with Clauses 2.16.7 and 6.1.4 of the RFP. Accordingly, we request the Authority to kindly delete Note (8) to remove ambiguity and ensure consistency in the RFP provisions. Further, we also request that escalation may kindly be provided on a compounded basis instead of a non-compounded basis, in line with standard industry practice for long-term consultancy assignments, to adequately account for inflationary and cost escalation impacts over the project duration.	<p align="center">Financial Proposal</p> <p>...5. Savings of upto 20% (twenty percent) under any head of expenditure specified in the summary of Financial Proposal may be reappropriated by the General Consultant and added to any other head of expenditure, subject to a ceiling of 10% (ten percent) in respect of the recipient head of expenditure. Upon Notification of such reappropriation to the Authority, the Financial Proposal shall be deemed to be amended, and payment shall be made accordingly.</p> <p>6. Not used.</p> <p>7. GC to have office accommodation at its own cost.</p> <p>8. No escalation on any account will be payable on the above <u>note 5</u> amounts....</p>

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		pay the GC any sum in excess of the aforesaid amounts. Note (8): No escalation on any account will be payable on the above amounts.		
74	Clause 3.1.3 The scoring criteria to be used for evaluation at page 61 of RFP	While awarding marks for the number of Eligible Assignments, the Applicant or Key Personnel, as the case may be, that has undertaken the highest number of Eligible Assignments shall be entitled to the maximum score for the respective category and all other competing Applicants or respective Key Personnel, as the case may be, shall be entitled to a proportionate score. No score will be awarded to an Applicant/ Key Personnel for fulfilling the eligibility criteria of a minimum number of Eligible Assignments and only assignments exceeding the eligibility criteria shall qualify for scoring.	We request the Authority to kindly review and consider omitting the relative/proportionate scoring methodology for the number of Eligible Assignments for both bidder as well as for the Key personnels. Such relative marking may lead to disproportionate advantage based solely on quantity of assignments rather than balanced evaluation of technical competence, quality, and relevance of experience. Alternatively, it is suggested that a fixed scoring bracket/threshold-based system may be adopted, which would ensure a more objective and equitable evaluation of all eligible Applicants and Key Personnel. For your kind consideration please.	Please see Sl. No. 25 & Sl. No. 28 above.
75	SI No.: 06 at Page 20 & 21 of RFP	Chief Systems Integration Expert (K1); Shall have at least 2 years of continuous working experience in a single relevant project.	As the Chief Systems Integration Expert is typically deployed during the system integration phase of approximately 18–20 months, and considering that the person months indicated for this position is 18 months, the requirement of 2 years continuous experience in a single relevant project appears comparatively stringent. In view of the above, we request the Authority to kindly review and relax the requirement to: “Shall have at least 1 year of continuous working experience in a single relevant project.” This relaxation would enable broader participation of suitably qualified experts while still ensuring adequate technical competence for the assignment.	No change.  Please see Sl. No. 33 above.
76	Clause 2.2.2 D	“Team Leader (Civil - K1) shall have at least 3 years of continuous working	With reference to Clause 2.2.2 D (I) we would like to submit that the said requirement	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	(I) at Page 18 of RFP	experience in a single relevant project.”	appears to be very stringent and may limit wider participation of qualified professionals. Therefore, we request the Client to kindly consider revising the eligibility criteria and relax the requirement to “Team Leader (Civil - K1) shall have at least 1 year of continuous working experience in a single relevant project.” This relaxation will help ensure broader competition and availability of suitably experienced professionals for the assignment.	Area of Expertise (for K1 positions for SI. No. 1 and SI. No. 2)  ...Shall have at least 3 years of <del>continuous</del> working experience in a single relevant project...
77	SI No.: 07 at Page 21 of RFP	<b>Chief Structural Engineer (Elevated &amp; UG Structures- K1)</b>  Shall have at least 2 years of continuous working experience in a single relevant project. Relevant project experience means working experience on GC / PMC / IE for detailed designing/ Proof Checking/ Reviewing of structural drawings for elevated Viaduct / Underground Tunnel / Stations for Metro Rail / Railway System projects.	The proposed role is primarily responsible for design review and proof checking of structural works. In practice, experienced professionals in this domain often gain relevant expertise across multiple assignments under PMC/GC/DDC/DVE roles rather than a single project. Accordingly, we request the Authority to kindly broaden the definition of relevant experience to include experience in PMC/GC/DDC/DVE assignments for Metro Rail and other urban rail systems such as LRT and Monorail. Further, experience in design and proof checking of major infrastructure structures such as railway flyovers, railway bridges, and road flyovers may also be considered as relevant, in addition to metro rail viaducts and underground structures. This relaxation will enable inclusion of a wider pool of highly experienced structural experts while ensuring adequate technical competence for the assignment.	No change.  Please see SI. No. 33 above.
78	SI No.: 13 at Page 24 of RFP	Chief Rolling Stock Expert (K1): Graduate in Electrical / Electronic Engineering	We request the Authority to kindly consider inclusion of Graduate in Mechanical Engineering as an eligible qualification for the position of Chief Rolling Stock Expert (K1). Rolling stock systems are inherently	Please see SI. No. 35 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority															
			multidisciplinary in nature, and Mechanical Engineering professionals with relevant experience in rolling stock design, manufacturing, testing, commissioning, and maintenance also possess the requisite technical competence for this role. Accordingly, inclusion of Mechanical Engineering qualification will broaden the pool of eligible experts without compromising the technical requirements of the assignment.																
79	Financial Bid Form 2 at page 212 of RFP	Regarding Typo Error  <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Item No.</th> <th>Description</th> <th>Amount (Rs.)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>D</td> <td>SUBTOTAL OF A+B+C</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>E</td> <td>OVERHEAD EXPENSES @----- % of (E)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>F</td> <td>GST</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>G</td> <td>TOTAL (including taxes) (D+E+F) (in Rs.) In Indian Rupees in figures in words_____</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Item No.	Description	Amount (Rs.)	D	SUBTOTAL OF A+B+C		E	OVERHEAD EXPENSES @----- % of (E)		F	GST		G	TOTAL (including taxes) (D+E+F) (in Rs.) In Indian Rupees in figures in words_____		We understand that the description of Item no. E to be read as: <b>OVERHEAD EXPENSES @----- % of (D)</b>	Please see Sl. No. 40 above.
Item No.	Description	Amount (Rs.)																	
D	SUBTOTAL OF A+B+C																		
E	OVERHEAD EXPENSES @----- % of (E)																		
F	GST																		
G	TOTAL (including taxes) (D+E+F) (in Rs.) In Indian Rupees in figures in words_____																		
80	Financial Bid Form 2 at page 212 of RFP	Regarding Typo Error Note: 3 All costs shall be reimbursed on production of a Statement of Expenses, duly certified by the Authorised Representative. However, no details of expenditures would be sought for III to V of item No. A and Item No. F (overhead expenses), which will be reimbursed in proportion to the total expenses under Item E.	We understand that the Note 3 to be read as: All costs shall be reimbursed on production of a Statement of Expenses, duly certified by the Authorised Representative. However, no details of expenditures would be sought for III to V of item No. A and Item No. E (overhead expenses), which will be reimbursed in proportion to the total expenses under Item D.	Please see Sl. No. 40 above.															
81	General	As per e-procurement notice: Bid submission end date: 05.06.2025 upto 3.00 pm	We request the Client to extend the bid submission deadline by at least four weeks from the date of uploading the pre-bid query responses. This extension will allow the Consultants sufficient time to incorporate the clarifications and prepare a comprehensive,	Please see Sl. No. 5 above.															

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
			qualitative, and competitive proposal with an appropriately qualified team of Key Experts.	
82	General	Availability of Software	We understand that the EPC (DB) Contractor shall provide all project specific/required software necessary for execution of the assignment such as AutoCAD, STAAD Pro, Primavera, MS Project. Revit, BIM, Project Management Software, OpenRail and Document Control Software etc. For your kind confirmation please.	No change.
83	General	Availability of Equipment for GC Services	We understand that all equipment required for the execution of GC services, including server, printer, plotter, UPS, projector and screen, network-related software, computers, laptops, printers, and other necessary office equipment for smooth functioning of the GC team, shall be provided by the EPC (DB) Contractor at site/office as per project requirements. For your kind confirmation please.	No change.
84	General	Regarding the issuance of KMZ file	We request the client to kindly share the KMZ file of the alignment which will help us during the site visit and better understanding of the project.	Please visit HAML office.
85	General	Provision of Mobilization Advance	Provision of mobilization advance is a standard practice in large consultancy assignments and would assist the Consultant in mobilization of key personnel, establishment of project offices, deployment of systems/software, and other initial project expenditures. The same would facilitate smooth commencement of services without any additional financial implication to the Employer, as the advance remains fully secured through a Bank Guarantee.  Therefore, we request the client to kindly allow interest-free mobilization advance	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
			payment up to 10% of the Contract Value against submission of an unconditional Bank Guarantee/e-Bank Guarantee of an equivalent amount.	
86	Cl. No. 1.1.4, Funding Pattern, Page 1	Government of Telangana have proposed to take up this Project as a 50:50 JV of GoTG and Gol. The funding pattern shall be 20% as GoTG contribution; 20% as Gol contribution; and the balance 60% as debt from external aided agencies.	Is external funding agency finalized? please share name	No change.
87	Cl. No. 1.2, Page 2	GC has overall responsibility for overseeing, monitoring and steering all implementation, construction, T&C of the Project. All technical, financial and contract management powers shall be exercised by HAML.	Since all financial and contract management powers rest with HAML, please clarify the turnaround time for HAML approvals on GC submissions (design reviews, payment certificates, contract recommendations) to avoid delays in project implementation.	No change.
88	Cl. No. 1.2 (xi), Page 3	GC will plan and arrange for training of personnel of HAML deployed for operation and maintenance of various equipment and plants at the suppliers’ premises. GC will also plan and arrange training of the personnel in operation and maintenance of the metro system, as a whole. There will be no separate payment for training. The price for the same is deemed to be included in the Applicant’s offer.	Please provide the estimated training period and number of HAML personnel required to be trained in Hyderabad for O&M of the Systems.  We would also request to put this item in non-competitive component so that all bidders should be on same level in financial proposal evaluation.	No change.
89	Cl. No. 1.2(xvii), Page 4	GC will make sure that all the drawings that are received from the contractors are in 3D form and along with that the contractor supplies a sheet on time lines and associated costs in a form which is mutually acceptable and the GC would then analyse / validate the inputs received from the contractors, certify the same and then authorize the contractors to upload the same into the Digital project Management platform i.e. 5D-BIM.	Please clarify: (a) Whether Contractor / DDC will provide the 5D-BIM platform / software licenses What BIM standard / LOD (Level of Development) is required?	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
90	Cl. No. 1.2, Request for Proposal, Page 4	The role and function of the General Consultant would broadly include: (xx) GC shall provide complete assistance for Rolling Stock (60 cars / 10 train sets for Phase-I of Hyderabad Metro Rail Project) procurement and commissioning.	Please confirm, is it Phase 1 or Phase 2 current corridor where GC shall provide complete assistance for Rolling Stock.	No change.
91	Cl. No. 1.2(xx), Page 4	GC shall provide complete assistance for Rolling Stock (60 cars / 10 train sets for Phase-I of Hyderabad Metro Rail Project) procurement and commissioning.	The RFP refers to Rolling Stock assistance for Phase-I (60 cars / 10 train sets). Is this scope for Phase-I assets separate from the Corridor VI (Phase-II) scope? Please clarify whether this is an ongoing Phase-I support role or a new procurement for Phase-II, and please confirm the implications on manpower deployment and cost.	No change.
92	Cl. No. 1.6 Brief description of the Selection Process, Page 5	Quality cum Cost Based Selection (QCBS) will be the criteria where technical and financial scores shall be assigned respective weights of 70:30. The entire procedure for selection of the successful Applicant under this RFP, hereinafter referred to as the “Selection Process”.	Considering the nature of the assignment and the importance of technical quality for successful delivery, we request that the QCBS evaluation ratio be revised from 70:30 to 80:20. An 80:20 weighting would place greater emphasis on technical competence, methodology, and relevant experience, which are critical to achieving the desired project outcomes. This approach will help ensure that the selection is based not only on cost competitiveness, but also on the quality and capability required for effective implementation.	3.4.1 Proposals will finally be ranked according to their combined technical ( $S_T$ ) and financial ( $S_F$ ) scores as follows: $S = S_T \times T_w + S_F \times F_w$ Where S is the combined score, and $T_w$ and $F_w$ are weights assigned to Technical Proposal and Financial Proposal that shall be <u>0.780</u> and <u>0.320</u> respectively.  1.6 ...Quality cum Cost Based Selection (QCBS) will be the criteria where technical and financial scores shall be assigned respective weights of <u>780:320</u> . The entire procedure for selection of the successful Applicant under this RfP, hereinafter referred to as the “ <b>Selection Process</b> ”.
93	Cl. No. 2.1, Scope of Proposal,	The members having less than 26% participation will be termed as non-substantial member and will not be considered for technical and financial evaluation. All members of the Consortium	We request to allow wholly owned Indian subsidiary of Foreign Parent company to utilize the Technical and financial credentials for minimum qualification and marking.	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	Page 8	shall have working experience in GC / IE / PMC of Metro Rail / Railway system projects. A non-Indian bidder registered in India for last 5 years is permitted to tender as a sole entity or a joint venture or consortium arrangement with Indian Consultant or their wholly owned Indian subsidiary registered in India under Companies Act. The term applicant (the “Applicant”) means the Sole Firm or the Consortium, as the case may be. The manner in which the Proposal is required to be submitted, evaluated and accepted is explained in this RFP.		
94	Cl. No. 2.2.1, Page 17	The Key Personnel, with estimated Man Months of 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RFP, to be offered in the Proposal shall be full time permanent employees of the Applicant or its Associates.	<p>We understand this requirement is only for K1 positions which are to be evaluated in technical proposal. Please confirm</p> <p>We also request to dilute this requirement of full-time experts as giving so many internal experts won't be feasible for consultant. We propose to have at least 30% inhouse expert in K1 as done by various esteemed client like MMRDA in similar GC proposals.</p>	Please see Sl. No. 2 above.
95	Cl. No. 2.2.2 D (1) Page 18	<b>Team Leader (Civil K-1)</b>  Shall have a minimum total experience of 25 years. Out of which minimum 08 years of experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least 5 years of experience in the rank of Team Leader or one position below.	Please consider minimum total experience of <b>25 years</b> . Out of which minimum <b>05 years of experience</b> shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have <b>at least 3 years of experience in the rank of Team Leader or one position below for full marks</b> .	<p>No change.</p> <p>Please see Sl. No. 76 above.</p>

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant's Query	Response of the Authority
		Shall have at least 3 years of continuous working experience in a single relevant project.	Please consider <b>1 year</b> of continuous working experience in a single relevant project.	
96	Cl. No. 2.2.2 D (1) Page 18	<p><b>Dy. Team Leader (Systems – K1)</b></p> <p>Shall have a minimum total experience of 20 years. Out of which minimum 08 years of experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least 5 years of experience in the rank of Dy. Team Leader (Systems) or one position below.</p> <p>Shall have at least 3 years of continuous working experience in a single relevant project.</p> <p>Graduate in Electronics / Electrical Engineering</p>	<p>Please consider minimum total experience of <b>20 years</b>. Out of which <b>minimum 05 years of experience</b> shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have <b>at least 2 years</b> of experience in the rank of Dy. Team Leader (Systems) or one position below for full marks.</p> <p>Please consider <b>1 year</b> of continuous working experience in a single relevant project for full marks.</p> <p>Please consider Graduate in Electronics / Electrical Engineering or relevant engineering degree.</p>	Please see Sl. No. 95 above.
97	Cl. No. 2.2.2 D (1) Page 19	<p><b>Chief Contract Expert (K1)</b></p> <p>Shall have minimum total experience of 20 years. Out of which minimum 07 years of experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least 3 years of experience in the rank of proposed position or one position below.</p> <p>Shall have at least 2 year of continuous working experience in a single relevant project.</p>	<p>Please consider minimum total experience of <b>20 years</b>. Out of which <b>minimum 05 years of experience</b> shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have <b>at least 2 years</b> of experience proposed position or one position below for full marks.</p> <p>Please consider <b>1 year</b> of continuous working experience in a single relevant project for full marks.</p>	<p>No change.</p> <p>Please see Sl. No. 33 above.</p>
98	Cl. No. 2.2.2	<b>Chief Planning &amp; Scheduling Expert (K1)</b>		<p>No change.</p> <p>Please see Sl. No. 33 above.</p>

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE "AUTHORITY") TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant's Query	Response of the Authority
	D (1) Page 19	Shall have minimum total experience of 20 years. Out of which minimum 07 years of experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least 3 years of experience in the rank of proposed position or one position below.  Shall have at least 2 year of continuous working experience in a single relevant project.  Graduate in Civil Engineering	Please consider minimum total experience of <b>20 years</b> . Out of which <b>minimum 05 years of experience</b> shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have <b>at least 2 years</b> of experience proposed position or one position below for full marks. Please consider <b>1 year</b> of continuous working experience in a single relevant project for full marks.  Graduate in Civil Engineering or other relevant engineering degree	
99	Cl. No. 2.2.2 D (1) Page 20	<b>Chief Project Manager (Civil K1)</b>  Shall have minimum total experience of 20 years. Out of which minimum 07 years of experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least 3 years of experience in the rank of proposed position or one position below.  Shall have at least 2 year of continuous working experience in a single relevant project.	Please consider minimum total experience of <b>20 years</b> . Out of which <b>minimum 05 years of experience</b> shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have <b>at least 2 years</b> of experience proposed position or one position below for full marks.  Please consider <b>1 year</b> of continuous working experience in a single relevant project for full marks.	No change.  Please see Sl. No. 33 above.
100	Cl. No. 2.2.2 D (1) Page 20	<b>Chief Systems Integration Expert (K1)</b> Shall have minimum total experience of 20 years. Out of which minimum 07 years of experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least 3 years of experience in the rank of proposed position or one position below.	Please consider minimum total experience of <b>20 years</b> . Out of which <b>minimum 05 years of experience</b> shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have <b>at least 2 years</b> of experience proposed position or one position below for full marks.  Please consider <b>1 year</b> of continuous	No change.  Please see Sl. No. 33 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		Shall have at least 2 year of continuous working experience in a single relevant project.  Graduate in Electronics / Electrical Engineering	working experience in a single relevant project for full marks.  Graduate in Electronics / Electrical Engineering or relevant engineering degree	
101	Cl. No. 2.2.2 D (1) Page 21	<b>Chief Structural Engineer (Elevated &amp; UG Structures - K1)</b>  Shall have minimum total experience of 20 years. Out of which minimum 07 years of experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least 3 years of experience in the rank of proposed position or one position below.  Shall have at least 2 year of continuous working experience in a single relevant project.  <b>Graduate in Civil preferably Post - Graduation in Structures</b>	Please consider minimum total experience of <b>15 years</b> . Out of which <b>minimum 05 years of experience</b> shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have <b>at least 2 years</b> of experience proposed position or one position below for full marks.  Please consider <b>1 year</b> of continuous working experience in a single relevant project for full marks.  <b>Please consider Graduate degree for full marks</b>	No change.  Please see Sl. No. 33 above.
102	Cl. No. 2.2.2 D (1) Page 21	<b>Chief Track Expert (K1)</b>  Shall have minimum total experience of 20 years. Out of which minimum 07 years of experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least 3 years of experience in the rank of proposed position or one position below.  Shall have at least 2 year of continuous	Please consider minimum total experience of <b>20 years</b> . Out of which <b>minimum 05 years of experience</b> shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have <b>at least 2 years</b> of experience proposed position or one position below for full marks.  Please consider <b>1 year</b> of continuous working experience in a single relevant project for full marks.	No change.  Please see Sl. No. 33 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		working experience in a single relevant project.		
103	Cl. No. 2.2.2 D (1) Page 22	<p><b>Chief Traction &amp; Power Supply Expert (K1)</b></p> <p>Shall have minimum total experience of 20 years. Out of which minimum 07 years of experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least 3 years of experience in the rank of proposed position or one position below.</p> <p>Shall have at least 2 year of continuous working experience in a single relevant project.</p>	<p>Please consider minimum total experience of <b>20 years</b>. Out of which <b>minimum 05 years of experience</b> shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have <b>at least 2 years</b> of experience proposed position or one position below for full marks.</p> <p>Please consider <b>1 year</b> of continuous working experience in a single relevant project for full marks.</p>	<p>No change.</p> <p>Please see Sl. No. 33 above.</p>
104	Cl. No. 2.2.2 D (1) Page 23	<p><b>Chief Quality Assurance &amp; Quality Control Expert (K1)</b></p> <p>Shall have minimum total experience of 20 years. Out of which minimum 07 years of experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least 3 years of experience in the rank of proposed position or one position below.</p> <p>Shall have at least 2 year of continuous working experience in a single relevant project.</p>	<p>Please consider minimum total experience of <b>20 years</b>. Out of which <b>minimum 05 years of experience</b> shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have <b>at least 2 years</b> of experience proposed position or one position below for full marks.</p> <p>Please consider <b>1 year</b> of continuous working experience in a single relevant project for full marks.</p>	<p>No change.</p> <p>Please see Sl. No. 33 above.</p>
105	Cl. No. 2.2.2 D (1)	<p><b>Chief Safety Expert (HSE) (K1)</b></p> <p>Shall have minimum total experience of 20 years. Out of which minimum 07 years of</p>	<p>Please consider minimum total experience of <b>20 years</b>. Out of which <b>minimum 05 years</b></p>	<p>No change.</p> <p>Please see Sl. No. 33 above.</p>

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	Page 23	experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least 3 years of experience in the rank of proposed position or one position below.  Shall have at least 2 year of continuous working experience in a single relevant project.	<b>of experience</b> shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have <b>at least 2 years</b> of experience proposed position or one position below for full marks.  Please consider <b>1 year</b> of continuous working experience in a single relevant project for full marks.	
106	Cl. No. 2.2.2 D (1) Page 24	<b>Chief Signalling &amp; Telecom Expert (K1)</b>  Shall have minimum total experience of 20 years. Out of which minimum 07 years of experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least 3 years of experience in the rank of proposed position or one position below.  Shall have at least 2 year of continuous working experience in a single relevant project.	Please consider minimum total experience of <b>20 years</b> . Out of which <b>minimum 05 years of experience</b> shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have <b>at least 2 years</b> of experience proposed position or one position below for full marks.  Please consider <b>1 year</b> of continuous working experience in a single relevant project for full marks.	No change.  Please see Sl. No. 33 above.
107	Cl. No. 2.2.2 D (1) Page 24	<b>Chief Rolling Stock Expert (K1)</b>  Shall have minimum total experience of 20 years. Out of which minimum 07 years of experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least 3 years of experience in the rank of proposed position or one position below.	Please consider minimum total experience of <b>20 years</b> . Out of which <b>minimum 05 years of experience</b> shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have <b>at least years</b> of experience proposed position or one position below for full marks.  Please consider <b>1 year</b> of continuous working experience in a single relevant project for full	Please see Sl. No. 33 and Sl. No. 35 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		Shall have at least 2 year of continuous working experience in a single relevant project.  Graduate in Electronics /Electrical Engineering	marks.  Graduate in Electronics /Electrical Engineering / Mechanical Engineering	
108	Cl. No. 2.2.2 D (1) Page 25	<b>Chief Alignment and Project Coordination Expert</b> Graduate in Civil Engineering with Post Graduation in Transportation Planning/ Transportation Engineering. Shall have minimum total experience of 25 years. Out of which minimum 10 years of experience in preparation of FSRs/DPRs for rail based urban mass transit systems including alignment design, alternative analysis, travel demand modelling, multi modal integration, project structuring, coordination of various project activities.  Shall have been Team Leader in preparation of at least three DPRs/FSRs for Metro Rail based urban mass rapid transit systems.	Please consider <b>Graduate in Civil Engineering / Transport Planning</b> for full marks. Please consider minimum total experience of <b>20 years</b> . Out of which minimum <b>5 years</b> of experience in preparation of FSRs/DPRs for rail based urban mass transit systems including alignment design, alternative analysis, travel demand modelling, multi modal integration, project structuring, coordination of various project activities.  <b>Shall have been Team Leader or one level below</b> in preparation of at least three DPRs/FSRs for Metro Rail based urban mass rapid transit systems	(Area of Expertise)  ...Shall have been Team Leader <u>or one position below</u> in preparation of at least three DPRs/FSRs for Metro Rail based urban mass rapid transit systems.
109	Cl. No. 2.2.2 D (1) Page No.25	<b>Chief Operations and Maintenance Expert (K1)</b> Shall have minimum total experience of 20 years. Out of which minimum 07 years of experience shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have at least 3 years of experience in the rank of proposed position or one position	Please consider minimum total experience of <b>20 years</b> . Out of which <b>minimum 05 years of experience</b> shall be relevant to the present assignment. Out of which shall have <b>at least 2 years</b> of experience proposed position or one position below for full marks.	No change.  Please see Sl. No. 33 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant's Query	Response of the Authority
		below.  Shall have at least 2 year of continuous working experience in a single relevant project.  Graduate in Electronics /Electrical Engineering	Please consider <b>1 year</b> of continuous working experience in a single relevant project for full marks.  Graduate in Electronics / Electrical Engineering / Mechanical Engineering	
110	Clause 2.2.2 D (I), Page 26	Qualification, overall experience, and area of expertise of Key Personnel (K1):	We feel that below experts have less estimated man months than required in such quantum of project: Chief Contracts expert - 9 months Chief planning & scheduling expert -6 months Chief Structural Engineer – 6 months Chief Track Expert – 9 months Chief Safety expert – 9 months Chief Quality Assurance & Quality Control Expert - 9 months  The man month of the proposed position is considered to be very less as the deployment of the proposed positions are required for complete duration of 36 months.	No change.
111	Cl. No. 2.2.2. D (II). S. No. 10, Page 27	Senior Integration Expert. (K2): 10+ years in railway industry. Shall have experience of design of system integration in at least one metro railway project.	This position doesn't need experience of design of metro railway project. This expert should have experience of Systems integration in at least one metro railway project. Request to amend accordingly.	No change.
112	Cl. No. 2.2.2. (D) Conditions of Eligibility of	(II) Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of K2 experts whose CVs will not be evaluated for bidding but need to be approved by HAML before Mobilization	The overall experience of K2 is +15 years and the same is for K3 positions also. We request to consider lower experience for K3 positions.	Please see Sl. No. 44 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	Key Personnel, Page 32			
113	Cl. No. 2.3.3, Page 34	Conflict of interest GC and DPR GC to review and supplement the DPR available with HAML	We understand that no conflict of interest should arise if the same consultant who prepared the DPR is appointed as the GC, particularly since the GC’s role includes reviewing and supplementing the DPR already available with HAML. In such a case, the consultant’s prior familiarity with the DPR may support continuity and efficient project execution.	No change.
114	Cl. No. 2.14.2 Technical Proposal, Page 41	d) CVs of all Professional Personnel have been submitted;	We understand that only 15 K1 key cv need to be submitted with bid, please confirm.	No change.
115	Cl. No. 2.14.6, Page 42	The proposed Consultancy Team shall be composed of experts (K1) and specialists (K2) (the “Professional Personnel”) in their respective areas of expertise and managerial/support staff (K3, K4, K5 & K6) (the “Support Personnel”) such that the General Consultant should be able to complete the Consultancy within the specified time schedule. The GC shall be required to provide a minimum of 2,088 Man Months of Support Personnel.	On page 32, the total MM for support staff (K3, K4, K5 & K6) given is 1044 instead of 2088 as mentioned in this clause. Please clarify.	Please see Sl. No. 6 above.
116	Cl. No. 2.14.6,	For the purpose of Financial Proposal, Applicant shall include an estimated 12	We respectfully request that the cost of travel, boarding, and lodging for the	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	Page 43	(twelve) technical visits of two representatives of the Authority each time for interaction and exposure distributed between Europe and Asia during the entire Assignment Period ( <b>minimum 175 man days</b> ). The cost of travel, boarding and lodging in this connection will have to be borne by GC.	Authority’s representatives during the technical visits be borne by the Employer. The GC may instead be made responsible for arranging the required training content, coordinating the visit schedule, and facilitating meetings and appointments with relevant authorities and agencies as necessary for the purpose of training and exposure. This approach would allow the GC to effectively support the technical objectives of the visits while keeping reimbursable travel-related expenses under the Employer’s scope.	
117	Cl. No. 2.16.7, Page 46	The rates quoted by the GC in its Financial Proposal shall be firm for the first one year of the Assignment Period. Subsequent to the first year of the Assignment Period and for the remainder of the initial 3 year term of the Assignment Period, the rate paid by the Authority to the GC shall be escalated every year at 5% on a non-compounded basis on the rate for the first year of the Assignment Period. In case the Services are required to be provided beyond the contemplated 3 year Assignment Period, the rate to be paid to the GC by the Authority shall similarly be escalated at the rate of 5% per annum on non-compounded basis on the rate for the first year of the Assignment Period. The Authority shall in no event be required to pay the GC any sum in excess of the aforesaid amounts.	With reference to Clause 2.16.7 on page 46, we respectfully request that the annual escalation in rates, instead of being fixed at 5% on a non-compounded basis, be linked to the Consumer Price Index (CPI) or another appropriate published inflation index. This would ensure that the rate adjustment more accurately reflects actual market conditions, inflationary trends, and increases in the cost of manpower and services during the Assignment Period. A CPI-linked escalation mechanism would provide a fair and balanced basis for price adjustment over the contract term, particularly in the event of an extended assignment period.	No change.
118	Cl. No. 2.25, Substitution of Key	(i) As a condition to such substitution, a sum equal to 10% (ten per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel (K1) for the first three months from the date of such substitution	Both conditions are contradicting; point (i) states that there is penalty on first and second substitution. While point (ii) states that any substitution of K1 is not acceptable. Please clarify.	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	Person nel, Page 52	of Key Personnel shall be deducted from the payments due to the General Consultant. In the case of a second substitution hereunder, such deduction shall be 20% (twenty per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel (K1) for the <b>first three months</b> from the date of such substitution. Any further substitution may lead to disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement. And (ii) Substitution of Key Personnel (K1) when he is working with the Lead Member, its Associate or any other Consortium Member, as the case may be, will not be accepted under any circumstances.	Secondly, kindly clarify whether the payment deducted by HAML for the replacement personnel is for 3 months.	
119	Cl. No. 2. 25. 3, Page 53	Substitution of the Team Leader will not normally be considered and may lead to disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement.	We, request to kindly remove this clause	No change.
120	Cl. No. 2.30, Page 54	<b>Performance Guarantee</b> The General Consultant shall be required to furnish a performance security of a value equivalent to 3% (three percent) of the Agreement Value. The Authority shall retain by way of performance security, 3% (three percent) of all the amounts due and payable to the General Consultant. The General Consultant may, in lieu of retention of the amounts as referred above, furnish a Bank Guarantee, substantially in the form specified at Annex-7 of this Agreement.	We understand that 3% Performance Guarantee required to be submitted by consultant could be through a bank guarantee or through % retention in each bill payment to consultant, not both ways.  Please confirm.	Please see Sl. No. 43 above.
121	Clause	Relevant experience of the Applicant	We request the Authority to provide a more	Please see Sl. No. 25 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	3.1.3, scoring criteria. Page 55	30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and (ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector.	detailed evaluation methodology, particularly clarifying how marks will be awarded where a firm has fewer than the maximum six assignments. Clarification is also requested on how scoring will be determined in cases where only some of the assignments match the required size and complexity, while the others do not.	
122	Cl. No. 3.1.3, Item code 2, Page 55	Proposed Methodology and Work Plan in terms of the ToR- 20 marks	Request to share detailed marking breakup of this section. Also request to increase marking of this section to 30. We request to accept below: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approach and Methodology- 10 marks</li> <li>• Work plan – 5 marks</li> <li>• Org and staffing – 5 marks</li> <li>• PMC tools and innovation – 10 marks</li> </ul> Total 30 marks	No change.
123	Cl. No. 3.1.3, Scoring Criteria, Page 55	Relevant experience of the Key Personnel 30% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments as per the scoring criteria mentioned in the clause) (as specified below) the respective Key Personnel has worked on.	We request the Authority to provide a more detailed evaluation methodology, particularly clarifying how marks will be awarded where Key Experts have fewer assignments, and how scoring will be determined in cases where only some assignments are of comparable size and quality.	Please see Sl. No. 28 above.
124	Clause 3.1.3, scoring criteria, Page 55	Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel	We would request to provide detailed breakup of marking i.e <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Min Educational Qualification</li> <li>• Total Experience</li> <li>• Relevant Experience</li> <li>• Similar Position Experience</li> </ul> This will enable applicant to evaluate CV	Please see Sl. No. 28 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
			properly and help client to mark CV judicially.	
125	Page 61	While awarding marks for the number of Eligible Assignments, the Applicant or Key Personnel, as the case may be, that has undertaken the highest number of Eligible Assignments shall be entitled to the maximum score for the respective category and all other competing Applicants or respective Key Personnel, as the case may be, shall be entitled to a proportionate score. No score will be awarded to an Applicant/ Key Personnel for fulfilling the eligibility criteria of a minimum number of Eligible Assignments and only assignments exceeding the eligibility criteria shall qualify for scoring.	We request that the evaluation criteria for the Applicant / Firm and Key Personnel be kept separate and not linked, as both assess different aspects of qualification. Further, for Key Personnel, <b>the scoring criteria should clearly define the number of eligible assignments required for obtaining marks</b> , rather than linking marks to the highest number of assignments submitted by any bidder and awarding others proportionately. An explicit scoring framework for Key Experts would ensure transparency, consistency, and a level playing field in the evaluation of CVs.	Please see Sl. No. 25 and Sl. No.28 above.
126	Cl. No. 3.1.4, Page 61	<p>a) Technical Capacity: The Applicant shall have, over the past 10 (ten) years preceding the PDD ending March 2026, completed eligible assignments as below :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• At least one “similar work” of value INR 100 crore or more</li> <li>or</li> <li>• At least two “similar works” each of value INR 60 crore or more</li> <li>or</li> <li>• At least three “similar works” each of value INR 45 crore or more.</li> </ul> <p>In the above mentioned projects, at least one Similar Work of value INR 45 Crore or more should have been successfully completed by the Applicant in India.</p> <p>The above mentioned number of</p>	<p>Request to clarify/ accept below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• We request that both the Lead Partner and the other consortium members possess relevant similar work experience. The Lead Partner should have <b>completed or substantially completed</b> at least one similar work of value <b>INR 100 crore or more</b> with an operational stretch, while the other consortium partners should have completed, or substantially completed, at least one similar work of value INR 60 crore or more, with an operational stretch. This will help ensure the selection of a competent consortium for the timely and efficient execution of the work.</li> <li>• With respect to the marking of additional assignments, we understand that for the purpose of calculating the Applicant’s Technical Score, one assignment of INR 100 crore, or two assignments of INR 60</li> </ul>	<p>No change.</p> <p>Please see Sl. No. 26 above.</p>

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		assignments in any respective category are required to be fulfilled by an Applicant to be eligible for evaluation of its Proposal. Any additional assignments meeting the above criteria would count as an Eligible Assignment for the purpose of calculating Technical Score of the Applicant.	crore each, or three assignments of INR 45 crore each, would be considered equivalent to one Eligible Assignment. We request clarification on whether this interpretation is correct.	
127	Annexure – A, Bid Security, Page 69	Bid Security	We request to consider the Bank Guarantee for Bid Security from the Lead Partner of the Consortium on behalf Consortium.	No change.
128	Cl. No. 1.4 of Terms of Reference, Page 78	Arranging training of O&M staff of HAML during the construction stage, inclusive of the testing and commissioning stage to be the responsibility of the GC.	Kindly clarify the duration of training and no. of HAML personnel required.  Also update, whether GC will plan for domestic or international training for personnel of HAML.	No change.
129	Cl. No. 16.15.2, Page 111	Deployment of Key Personnel a) Key Personnel shall be deployed on need basis and the work on the Assignment shall commence within 15 days of the Letter of Award of the Assignment in a progressive manner.	Request to consider 60 days time for mobilization.	No change.
130	Cl. No. 2.7.4, Page 128	Extension of time Any period within which a Party shall, pursuant to this Agreement, complete any action or task, shall be extended for a period equal to the time during which such Party was unable to perform such action as a result of Force Majeure.	We request the authority to incorporate the below language in SCC: <i>“An extension of time shall be granted for events arising from reasons not attributable to the Consultant and that are beyond the Consultant’s control”</i> as Consultant should have EOT right on reasons not attributable to it.  Also, we request you to have a mutual agreed price escalation in case of EOT due	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
			to reasons not attributable to the Consultant.	
131	Cl. No. 2.8.2, Page 128	Suspension of Services (a) In case the Authority suspends the entire work on the Project for a continuous period of more than 3 months, the Authority may by notice in writing require the GC to wind-up all operations temporarily in respect of the Services. The GC shall be given a maximum of 3 months for temporarily winding up all the activities and placing the Services obligations under suspension.	We request deletion of this clause as this clause exposes the Consultant to significant risk to cash flow, resource and schedule risks, as it does not provide for reimbursement of demobilization, remobilization, staff retention, overhead, and other suspension-related costs, nor does it provide for an automatic extension of time.	No change.
132	Cl. No. 2.8.2, Page 129	(c) During the aforesaid six month period commencing from the date of suspension of the entire works on the Project, the GC shall not deploy any of the Professional Personnel for the performance of Services except those required for completing the formalities of reporting and records, and payment during such 6 month period shall be made only for such essential Professional Personnel.	Kindly define the criteria for “essential” personnel. We further request clarification as to whether the Authority shall bear the overhead and administrative costs, including office rent and utilities, necessary to support such essential personnel during the period of suspension.	No change.
133	Cl. No. 2.9.1(f), Page 130	As the result of Force Majeure, the General Consultant is unable to perform a material portion of the Services for a period of not less than sixty (60) days	Termination because of force Majeure is unjustifiable, as Force Majeure is beyond consultant’s control. We request considering the deletion of this clause.  If deletion is not possible, we request to kindly reframe the clause as below: <i>“2.9.1(f) As the result of Force Majeure, the General Consultant is unable to perform a material portion of the Services for a period of not less than sixty (60) days, subject to clause 2.7”</i>	No change.
134	Cl. No. 2.9.1 (g), Cl.	(g) occurrence of any event for which the Authority is entitled to terminate the Agreement/ appointment of the General	The sub-clause (g) is open-ended and indicates ‘discretion’ same as the sub-clause (h) which is terminating at the sole discretion	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant's Query	Response of the Authority
	No. 2.9.1 (h), Page 130	Consultant; or (h) the Authority, in its sole discretion and for any reason whatsoever, decides to terminate this Agreement.	and for any reason whatsoever. It will lead to idling of manpower, significant financial burden on the consultant and therefore it will have an adverse financial impact on the project. Therefore, we request the removal of these "termination at convenience" provisions.	
135	Cl. No. 3.5.1 (a), Page 137	The General Consultant shall take out and maintain, and shall cause its other consortium members and any Sub-Consultant to take out and maintain, at its (or the other consortium members or Sub-Consultant's, as the case may be) own cost but on terms and conditions approved by the Authority, insurance against the risks, and for the coverages, as shall be specified in the Agreement and in addition shall maintain all insurances required to be maintained in accordance with good industry practice.	We can share the insurance certificate from our global insurance coverage which shall be covering all the risks in the scope mentioned in the RFP. This certificate will be shared with the client for their perusal.  Kindly confirm this.	No change.
136	Cl. No. 4.4, Substitution of Key Personnel, Page 142	There will not be any deduction for the substitutions from second year onwards subject to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel being provided to the satisfaction of the Authority. Such substitution shall however be limited to two in each year. There will not be any deduction for the substitutions from second year onwards.	We understand that deduction of 10% on first substitution and 20% on next substitution is only applicable for 1 <sup>st</sup> year of assignment. From second year this deduction will not be applicable on substitution. Please confirm  We understand that after first year, substitutions are limited to 2 in each year.	No change.
137	Cl. No. 4.4, Page 142	Substitution of Key Personnel (i) The Authority expects all the Key Personnel to be available during first year of implementation of the Agreement. During the first year of the Agreement, the Authority will not consider substitution of Key Personnel except for reasons of any incapacity or due to health. Such	It appears from the clause that the Authority may still impose a deduction even where the substitution is permitted under the stated exceptions of incapacity or health conditions, thereby rendering the exception itself redundant. Therefore, we request to clearly state the	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		substitution shall ordinarily be limited to one Key Personnel subject to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel being provided to the satisfaction of the Authority. As a condition to such substitution, a sum equal to 10% (ten per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel for the first three months from the date of such substitution of Key Personnel shall be deducted from the payments due to the General Consultant. In the case of a second substitution hereunder, such deduction shall be 20% (twenty per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel for the first three months from the date of such substitution.	below language in the clause: <i>“Any substitution approved on such grounds shall not attract any penalty or deduction, provided that equally or better qualified and experienced personnel are provided to the satisfaction of the Authority.”</i>	
138	Cl. No. 4.9, Page 144 & Cl. No. 7.1.1, Page 148 & Cl. No. 7.2.1, Page 148	<p><b>4.9</b> For the avoidance of doubt, the Authority shall have the right to recover such liquidated damages by invoking, and appropriating from, the Performance Security or otherwise.</p> <p><b>7.1.1</b> The Authority shall retain by way of performance security (the “Performance Security”), 3% (three percent) of all the amounts due and payable to the General Consultant, to be appropriated against breach of this Agreement or for recovery of liquidated damages as specified in Clause 7.2 herein.</p> <p><b>7.2.1</b> The Authority shall be entitled to recover the same by appropriation from the Performance Security or otherwise. The foregoing obligation to pay liquidated damages shall be without prejudice to the</p>	Performance security only covers any non-performance related issues in the contract and not for any other reasons like LDs, breach etc. Therefore, we request deletion of the captioned clauses mentioned.	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		Authority’s right to terminate the Agreement.		
139	Cl. No. 6.3, Page 147	(b) The General Consultant shall be paid for its Services as per the Payment Schedule at Annex-6 of this Agreement, subject to the General Consultant fulfilling the following conditions: (i) No payment shall be due for the next stage till the General Consultant completes to the satisfaction of the Authority the work pertaining to the preceding stage.	Since the payment is man month based as per Annexure 6 and also, no stage bifurcation is mentioned in RFP, request remove this clause.	No change.
140	Cl. No. 7.3, Page 149	Penal Action for deficiency in Services In addition to the damages not amounting to penalty, as specified in Clause 7.2, warning may be issued to the General Consultant for minor deficiencies on its part. In the case of significant deficiencies in Services causing adverse effect on the Project or on the reputation of the Authority, other penal action including debarring for a specified period may also be initiated as per policy of the Authority.	We request deletion of this clause, as the term “ <i>deficiency</i> ” is open-ended and no objective criteria have been specified for quantifying such deficiencies as a basis for imposing a penalty.	No change.
141	Annex ure 6, Page 158	Mode of Billing and Payment	We understand that remuneration would be paid on actual man days deployment and other expenses like consumables, reports, furniture, equipment’s etc would be paid on actual cost basis.	No change.
142	Form 2 Financi al Proposal, Page 211	However, no details of expenditures would be sought for III to VI of item No. A and F (overhead expenses), which will be reimbursed in proportion to the total expenses under Item D.	We understand that item No. F (overhead expenses) would be paid / reimbursed in proportion to total payments under A+B+C made to applicant. Please confirm.	No change.
143	General , Page No. 211	APPENDIX-II Form 2 Financial Proposal	Please add items like Training, duty travel, software expenses in Item A of description.	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
144	Form 2, Note 8, Page 212	No escalation on any account will be payable on the above amounts.	We understand that there will be escalation in remuneration and reimbursable after every 12 months’ time.	No change.
145	General	Advance Payment to Consultant	We request to provide 10% advance payment to General Consultant to support initial expenses in the project mobilization phase. This is generally accepted conditions with esteemed clients. Also, the advance payment can be recovered in equal instalment from consultant bills.	No change.
146	General	Availability of software	Kindly share the list of software’s required for purchase by General Consultant.	No change.
147	Clause 1.2 Request for Proposal, Item (x) – Page 3; and Clause 1.3 – Page 77	Role and function of the General Consultant	Please confirm if there is going to be any provision of engaging a “ <b>Shadow Operator</b> ” until the <b>actual O&amp;M entity</b> will be onboarded?  A Shadow Operator engaged in early phase of the project (say during T&C stage); can assist in Seamless Transition from Construction to Operations and Early Identification of Operational Issues prior to Commercial Operation Date.	No change.
148	Clause 1.2 Request for Proposal, Item (xii), (xiii) – Page 3	Assist Commissioner of Metro Rail Safety (CMRS) for obtaining approvals	Please confirm if any provision of engaging an Employer ISA (Independent Safety Assessor) at project level will be considered.	No change.
149	Clause 1.2 Request	Cyber security audit	To fulfil the Cyber security audit and RAMS there is no positions assigned in the RFP. It is understood that GC should load the cost in	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	t for Proposal, Item (xvi) – Page 4; and Clause 3 Scope and obligation of services for the General Consultant (GC)- Item xxxvi)- – Page 82		their Bid for the respective services.  Kindly confirm.	
	Clause 1.5.3– Page 78; and Clause 16.10.3, i), j)– Page 107	Reliability, Availability, Maintainability and Safety (RAMS)		No change.
150	Cl. 1.2 (xxi), Pg. 4	Any other services that are not explicitly mentioned above but are necessary to carry out the GC assignment.	We believe that any such services that are not explicitly mentioned in the RFP/TOR shall be considered as a change in scope and accordingly be executed through contract amendment/modification on mutual agreement basis. Please Confirm.	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
151	Cl. No. 1.6, Pg. No. 5	<b>Brief description of the Selection Process</b> Quality cum Cost Based Selection (QCBS) 70:30.	<p>We request the authority to consider revising the QCBS ratio to 80:20 in line with sound industry practices and the evaluation methodology commonly adopted in similar Metro Rail projects across India, so as to ensure a balanced assessment of both technical capability and financial competitiveness.</p> <p>For Example, recent RFP pages of other Metro Projects enclosed (<b>Appendix-I</b>).</p> <p><i>Pune Metro, Thane Metro, Vijayawada Metro, Visakhapatnam Metro.</i></p>	<p>3.4.1 Proposals will finally be ranked according to their combined technical (S<sub>T</sub>) and financial (S<sub>F</sub>) scores as follows:  <math>S = S_T \times T_w + S_F \times F_w</math>            Where S is the combined score, and T<sub>w</sub> and F<sub>w</sub> are weights assigned to Technical Proposal and Financial Proposal that shall be <del>0.780</del> and <del>0.320</del> respectively.</p> <p>1.6 ...Quality cum Cost Based Selection (QCBS) will be the criteria where technical and financial scores shall be assigned respective weights of <del>780:320</del>. The entire procedure for selection of the successful Applicant under this RfP, hereinafter referred to as the “<b>Selection Process</b>”.</p>
152	Cl. No. 2.14.4, Pg. 42	If an individual Key Personnel makes a false averment regarding his qualification, experience or other particulars, or his commitment regarding availability for the Project is not fulfilled at any stage after signing of the Agreement, he shall be liable to be debarred for any future assignment of the Authority for a period of 5 (five) years from the date of notice to that effect from the Authority. The award of this Consultancy to the Applicant may also be liable to cancellation in such an event.	<p>It is requested to kindly reconsider and remove the provision relating to cancellation of the consultancy contract on account of false averments/commitments made by any individual expert, as such matters may not always be within the consultant’s direct control or attributable to the consultant. Suitable provisions for replacement or corrective measures may instead be considered.</p> <p>The recent RFPs of Metro Rail projects do not have this clause. Hence we request you to go by industry practice.</p>	No change.
153	Cl. No. 2.14.3, Pg. No. 42	2.14.3: Failure to comply with the requirements spelt out in this Clause 2.14 shall make the Proposal liable to be rejected and the Authority shall be entitled to forfeit the Bid Security.	<p>This clause appears to be onerous and carries a significant risk of Bid Security forfeiture. Non-compliance with technical proposal submission requirements may inadvertently occur due to clerical mistakes, formatting inconsistencies, or minor deviations.</p> <p>It is noted that such a clause is absent in other Metro GC RFPs/Bids. In view of this,</p>	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
			we respectfully request deletion or relaxation of the clause, in line with the practice adopted in other GC Bids/RFPs.	
154	Cl. 2.14.6, Pg. 43	<p>All the Key Personnel proposed by the Applicant in its Proposal, with estimated Man Months of 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RfP, shall be full time permanent employees of the Applicant, its Associate or the other consortium member(s), as the case may be.</p> <p>In case any Key Personnel proposed by the Applicant in its Proposal is not an employee of the Applicant or its Associate or the consortium member, as the case may be, then the Applicant shall furnish an Undertaking – 2 in relation to such Key Personnel.</p>	<p>The requirement of mandating permanent staff status for all key personnel, with corresponding reduction of marks for non-permanent staff, does not appear to align with established industry practices.</p> <p>Accordingly, we request the Client to consider limiting the permanent staff requirement to a maximum of two to three critical key positions only. The remaining key experts may be allowed to be mobilized from the consultant's pool of qualified and experienced professionals best suited for the project requirements.</p> <p>This approach would ensure access to the most appropriate expertise while maintaining fair competition and industry-aligned evaluation practices.</p> <p><i>For example, in the recent RFPs the marks are being awarded for the total No. staffs on-rolls with the firm rather insisting each Key Experts on-rolls.</i></p> <p><i>For Example, Pune Metro RFP is attached as <u>(Appendix – II)</u>.</i></p>	Please see Sl. No. 2 above.
155	Cl. No. 2.14.6, Pg. No. 43	For the purpose of Financial Proposal, Applicant shall include an estimated 12 (twelve) technical visits of two representatives of the Authority each time for interaction and exposure distributed between Europe and Asia during the entire Assignment Period (minimum 175 man days). The cost of travel, boarding	As the item is of a reimbursable nature, we kindly request that it be classified under the non-competitive head to maintain uniformity. Accordingly, we request HAML to provide a lump-sum amount.	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		and lodging in this connection will have to be borne by GC.		
156	Cl. 2.16.7, Pg. 46 read with Form of Contract Cl. 6.1.4, Pg. 146	Subsequent to the first year of the Assignment Period and for the remainder of the initial 3 year term of the Assignment Period, the rate paid by the Authority to the GC shall be escalated every year at 5% on a non-compounded basis on the rate for the first year of the Assignment Period. In case the Services are required to be provided beyond the contemplated 3 year Assignment Period, the rate to be paid to the GC by the Authority shall similarly be escalated at the rate of 5% per annum on non-compounded basis on the rate for the first year of the Assignment Period. The Authority shall in no event be required to pay the GC any sum in excess of the aforesaid amounts.	Currently, the RFP provisions only a simple/non-compounded escalation, which differs from the practice adopted in other General Consultancy tenders. We respectfully request consideration of an annual escalation mechanism aligned with price adjustments using relevant indices. Most recent RFPs for Metro Rail projects have incorporated such Price Adjustment provisions, reflecting prevailing industry practice. We therefore request HAML to kindly consider adopting this approach.  Please confirm.	No change.
157	Cl. 2.20.1, Pg. 47	The Selected Applicant’s Bid Security shall be returned, upon the Applicant signing the Agreement and completing the deliverables assigned to it for the first 6(six) months of the Consultancy in accordance with the provisions thereof	We understand that where the Consultant opts to furnish the Performance Security in the form of a Bank Guarantee in accordance with Clause 2.30 (Page 54), the Bid Security shall be released at the time of execution of the Consultancy Agreement upon submission of the Performance Bank Guarantee. Kindly confirm.	Please see Sl. No. 67 above.
158	Cl. 2.25.2, Pg. 52-53, read with Form of Agreement Cl. 4.4, Pg. 142	Requested to please refer the RFP-Penalty for substitution of Key personnel	The existing penalty provisions appear to be more stringent compared to prevailing sound industry practices for projects of this nature. Hence, we request that the percentage of penalties be reviewed and suitably reduced in line with established industry standards as per the recent RFPs of Metro Rail projects.	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
159	Cl. No. 3.1.3, Pg. No. 56.	<p><b>Relevant Experience of the Applicant – 10 Marks</b></p> <p>30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for:</p> <p>(i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and</p> <p>(ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector.</p>	<p>The existing provision appears to be open-ended and may not be fully aligned with prevailing industry practices.</p> <p>To ensure clarity, consistency, and alignment with established industry standards for comparative project size, we request that the criteria be revised as follows:</p> <p><b><u>Option-I: Eligible Assignments Full 10 Marks:-</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• INR 200 Crores Consultancy Fee received in One Single Project.</li> <li>• INR 110 Crores Consultancy Fee received in Two Projects.</li> <li>• INR 70 Crores Consultancy Fee received in Three Projects.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Option-II: Eligible Assignments Full 10 Marks:-</u></b></p> <p><b>a) Eligible Assignments – 30% Weightage:</b> Experience in Similar Works amounting to at least three (3) times the estimated project cost (i.e., INR 2,886 Crore × 3), executed through either a single project or multiple projects, subject to a maximum of Three (3) projects (As a JV/Consortium).</p> <p><b>b) Eligible Assignments – 70% Weightage:</b> Experience in Similar Works amounting to at least five (5) times the estimated project cost (i.e., INR 2,886 Crore × 5), executed through either a single project or multiple projects, subject to a maximum of Four (4) projects. (As a JV/Consortium).</p> <p>The above revision would eliminate ambiguity, establish a clear evaluation framework, and</p>	Please see Sl. No. 25 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
			<p>ensure a fair and unbiased assessment in line with sound industry practices.</p> <p>For example, recent RFP of other Metro Projects are using straight forward evaluation method not as 30% &amp; 70% method.</p>	
160	Cl. No. 3.1.3, Pg. No. 56.	<p><b>Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel – 70 Marks</b></p> <p>30% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments as per the scoring criteria mentioned in the clause) (as specified below) the respective Key Personnel has worked on. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments undertaken by the Key Personnel; and (ii) other similar work in Metro Rail sectors.</p>	<p>The existing provision appears to be open-ended and is not aligned with the sound industry practice.</p> <p>To ensure clarity, consistency, and alignment with prevailing industry practice towards comparative size, we request that the criteria be revised as follows:</p> <p>This is not aligned with prevailing industry practice. Typically, for civil positions, experience across Two similar assignments with a minimum of three years of continuous involvement in a single project is considered ideal. For systems positions, a minimum of two years of continuous involvement in a single project is generally considered appropriate. Furthermore, Consultants fee is not usually adopted as a qualifying criterion for key experts.</p> <p>Accordingly, to avoid ambiguity and ensure uniform evaluation without bias, we request that the following criteria be considered:</p> <p><b>For all Civil Positions:</b></p> <p>Experience in at least two metro/rail system projects will be mandatory.</p> <p>A minimum of three years of continuous service on a single project shall be mandatory.</p> <p><b>For all Systems Positions:</b></p> <p>Experience in at least two metro/rail system projects will be mandatory.</p>	Please see Sl. No. 28 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
			<p>A minimum of two years of continuous service on a single project shall be mandatory.</p> <p>For example most of the recent RFPs of Metro Projects are evaluating Key Experts based on their experience rather than comparable size of consultancy fee, etc.</p> <p>The recent RFP pages of other Metro Projects enclosed (<b>Appendix-III</b>).</p> <p><i>Pune Metro, Thane Metro, Vijayawada Metro, Visakhapatnam Metro.</i></p> <p>Hence request HAML to consider the sound industry practice as per other RFPs.</p>	
161	3.1.3 The scoring criteria to be used for evaluation shall be as follows Notes Page 61 of RFP	While awarding marks for the number of Eligible Assignments, the Applicant or Key Personnel, as the case may be, that has undertaken the highest number of Eligible Assignments shall be entitled to the maximum score for the respective category and all other competing Applicants or <b>respective Key Personnel shall be entitled to a proportionate score</b> . No score will be awarded to an Applicant/ Key Personnel for fulfilling the eligibility criteria of a minimum number of Eligible Assignments and only projects exceeding the eligibility criteria shall qualify for scoring	<p>This clause appears to be open-ended, as candidates submitting a higher number of eligible assignments may receive disproportionately higher marks. This may create ambiguity and unintentionally favour candidates with frequent project changes over those with substantial and quality experience gained through a limited number of projects.</p> <p>To ensure fairness and maintain a balanced evaluation approach for all experts, a suitable cap on the number of eligible assignments is essential.</p> <p>Therefore, we request the authority to limit the consideration of eligible assignments for key personnel to a maximum of Two (2) or Three (3) projects for evaluation purposes otherwise request to implement as per the RFPs of other Metro Projects.</p>	Please see Sl. No. 25 & Sl. No. 28 above.
162	Cl. No. 3.1.4. Pg. No 61	Eligible Assignments a) Technical Capacity ..... In the above-mentioned projects, at least one similar work of value INR	To ensure fairness and wider participation among consultant firms, we request modification of the eligibility criteria as follows: <b>Option 1:</b>	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		45 Cr or more should have been successfully completed by the Applicant in India	<p>At least one similar work with a value of INR 45 Cr or above should have been successfully completed collectively by the JV/Consortium members, proportionate to their share of participation in the present bid, within India.</p> <p align="center"><b>OR</b></p> <p><b>Option 2:</b> The applicant should have either successfully completed at least one similar work of value INR 20 Cr in India or achieved financial progress of INR 45 Cr in an ongoing similar project within India.</p> <p>This modification will facilitate equitable participation while maintaining the required project experience standards. Otherwise request to implement as per the RFPs of other Metro Projects.</p>	
163	Cl. No. 3.2.3 Preparation of tender documents Pg 85	It is to be ensured that the designs and specifications will meet the Project Requirement at reasonable cost, without imposing any limitations in regard to competitive bidding. HAML intends to go completely green and would be harnessing solar energy at stations, depots and viaducts. Design approach should take this into account.	The project requirements such as headway, speed, etc. are not specified in the RFP. Since this corridor is an extension of the <b>Jubilee Bus Stand – MGBS corridor</b> , it is presumed that the <b>MGBS – Chandrayangutta corridor</b> will follow the same parameters as the <b>JBS – MGBS corridor</b> , which is already under operation. Kindly confirm whether this understanding is correct.	No change.
164	Cl. No. 3.8 Training of Personnel of HAML Pg 91	GC will plan and design the training modules for personnel of HAML in operation, maintenance and repairs of various equipments and plants supplied by various suppliers, at OEM’s premises. GC will also plan and design training modules for these personnel in operation, maintenance and repairs of the metro system as a whole and will provide	We understand that the General Consultant shall be responsible solely for coordination of trainings to be provided by the respective Suppliers/OEMs/Contractors, and that the associated costs will fall within the scope of the Contractors/Suppliers.  Kindly confirm whether our understanding is correct.	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		training to them in these areas. The training will have to be arranged before commencement of the trials and will continue up to commissioning of the metro system.		
165	Cl. No. 16.10.3 (h) Witness test and pre commissioning trials Pg 106	The GC shall: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Witness all tests carried out on equipment &amp; systems by the Project contractor(s) and suppliers.</li> <li>➤ Review and comment on the programme of Integrated System Testing (IST).</li> <li>➤ Witness the IST and review IST test reports.</li> <li>➤ Review and comment upon the trail running programme with particular emphasis on scenario exercises for emergency situations.</li> <li>➤ Witness trial running and review related test reports.</li> </ul>	For SIL 4 certification of System, ISA (Independent safety Assessor) shall be appointed by HAML.  Please confirm.	No change.
166	TOR 1.3, Pg. 77, read with TOR 3.5.2 (3), Pg. 89	TOR 1.3: As regards the operation and maintenance of Phase-II for Cor VI MGBS to Chandryangutta (7.5 km; 6 stns), Hyderabad, Telangana, the Authority will decide on an appropriate arrangement in due course. The scope of work under this current terms of reference thus excludes O&M services in relation to post construction operation and maintenance of the Phase-II for Cor VI MGBS to Chandryangutta (7.5 km; 6 stns), Hyderabad, Telangana.  TOR 3.5.2 (3): During the maintenance period after the completion, the GC shall provide adequate	There appears to be an inconsistency in the provisions of the TOR regarding the scope of services during the O&M stage. While <b>Clause 1.3</b> of the TOR explicitly excludes consultancy services during the O&M stage, <b>Clause 3.5.2(3)</b> sets out certain responsibilities of the Consultant during the same stage.  We kindly request you to clarify this discrepancy and confirm the applicable provisions in this regard.	<b>3.5.2 Inspection, Testing and Commissioning</b>  ...3. <del>During the maintenance period a</del> After the completion, the GC shall provide adequate and relevant staff to undertake necessary follow-up and monitoring and reporting to HAML on completeness of all works, proper execution of works of all parties and any components of works to be checked up and maintained according to construction/supply contracts.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		and relevant staff to undertake necessary follow-up and monitoring and reporting to HAML on completeness of all works, proper execution of works of all parties and any components of works to be checked up and maintained according to construction/supply contracts.		
167	Clause 16.15.2 – Page 111	Deployment of Key Personnel	Please clarify if a remote/offsite deployment is permitted for certain experts whose role might be needed on intermittent basis and not full time?	No change.
168	Form of Agreement, Cl. 1.12 (I), Pg. 125	the Key Personnel with estimated Man Months of 12 (twelve) or more are full time permanent employees of the General Consultant {or of its Associates or its Consortium Members, as the case may be}.	We understand that the consultant is allowed to propose list of key personnel having Man Months of 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) and who are not the full time permanent employees of the Applicant or its Associate or the consortium member on the date of proposal subject to submission of Undertaking-2, in terms of Cl. 2.14.6, Pg. 42.  Please confirm.	Please see Sl. No. 2 above.
169	Form of Agreement, Cl. 2.8, Pg. 128	Provisions for Suspension of Agreement by the Consultant not given in the RFP	It is requested to kindly include the provisions related to suspension by the Consultant also, so that the agreement comprehensively covers both parties’ rights and obligations in such circumstances.	No change.
170	Form of Agreement, Cl. 3.4.3, Pg. 136	the General Consultant, with respect to damage caused to the Authority’s property, shall not be liable to the Authority: (ii) for any indirect or consequential loss or damage; and (ii) for any direct loss or damage that exceeds the <b>aggregate of</b> (a) the Agreement Value set forth in Clause 6.1.2 of this Agreement, <b>and</b> (b) the proceeds the General Consultant may be entitled to receive from any insurance maintained by the General Consultant to cover such a	We request the client to kindly revise the given provisions for Limitation of Consultant’s liability in line with the other SRFPs for similar projects as under:  the General Consultant, with respect to damage caused to the Authority’s property, shall not be liable to the Authority: (ii) for any indirect or consequential loss or damage; and (ii) for any direct loss or damage <b>that exceeds</b> (a) the Agreement Value set forth in Clause	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		liability in accordance with Clause 3.5.2.	6.1.2 of this Agreement, <del>or</del> (b) the proceeds the General Consultant may be entitled to receive from any insurance maintained by the General Consultant to cover such a liability in accordance with Clause 3.5.2 <b>whichever is higher.</b>  Further, in absence of the provisions related to the liability period applicable to the consultant, we understand that the same shall be up to the date of completion of the consultant’s assignments under the project. Please confirm.	
171	Form of Agreement, Cl. 3.5.2 (a), Pg. 138	Higher of Third Party liability insurance as required under Applicable Laws and the Third Party liability insurance with a minimum coverage of Rs. 1 cr. (Rs. One crore) for the period of this Agreement	It is requested to kindly replace the provisions given under sub clause 3.5.2 (a) with the following provisions: “Third Party liability insurance as required under Applicable Laws”.	No change.
172	Form of Agreement, Cl. 4.9, Pg. 144	Requested to kindly refer the RFP	We understand that the provisions related to the Liquidated Damages (LD) as given under Cl. 4.9 shall not be applicable in case the delay/non deployment are due to exceptional circumstances.	No change.
173	Form of Agreement, Cl. 6.3 (b), Pg. 147	The General Consultant shall be paid for its Services as per the Payment Schedule at Annex-6 of this Agreement, subject to the General Consultant fulfilling the following conditions: (ii) No payment shall be due for the next stage till the General Consultant completes to the satisfaction of the Authority the work pertaining to the preceding stage. (ii) The Authority shall pay to the General Consultant, only the undisputed amount.	The contract being a time-based contract and not the deliverables based and further, the payment conditions being based on man-months allocation, provisions prescribed under sub clause 6.3(b)(i) seems irrelevant and hence it is requested to kindly remove the same from Clause 6.3.	No change.
174	Form of Agreement	The Authority shall have the right to invoke and appropriate the proceeds of the	Please modify the sentence in a way that a notice shall be served to the Consultant	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	ent, Cl. 7.2.3, Pg. 149	Performance Security, in whole or in part, without notice to the General Consultant in the event of breach of this Agreement or for recovery of damages or liquidated damages, as the case may be, as specified in this Clause 7.2.	before invoking and appropriating the proceeds of performance security.	
175	Cl. No. 1.8 (4), Pg. No. 6	Last date for receiving Proposals (“Proposal Due Date” or PDD) 05.06.2026 at 15.00 Hrs IST	Considering the extensive documentation requirements associated with a bid submission of this nature, we respectfully request HAML to grant an extension of time by at least two weeks from the present due date.	Please see Sl. No. 5 above.
176	RFP Conditions 2. INSTR UCTIONS TO APPLICANTS 2.2.2 To be eligible for evaluation of its Proposal, the Applicant shall fulfil the following: (B) Financial	Minimum average annual turnover of the Applicant shall be INR 200 crore or more for consecutive 5 (five) financial years ending in March 2026. <b>Minimum average networth consecutive 5 (five) financial years shall be more than Rs 25 crore.</b> Each member of a Joint Venture / Consortium must have minimum average annual turnover of Rs 55 crore for consecutive 5(five) financial years ending in March 2026.	We kindly request client to modify / insert an additional note under <b>(B) Financial Capacity:</b>  <b><i>“Note: Not applicable (NA) for the bidder (participating as a single entity or as a member of JV/Consortium) which is a wholly owned entity (Corporation / Statutory Body / Authority) of the respective Government. The company shall submit its Ownership details which shall be substantiated by the bidder with supporting documents”.</i></b>	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	Capacity: Ref: 1. INTRODUCTION Page 17			
177	Notice No.244 4/GM (P)/HAML/Phase-II <u>Minimum Eligibility Criteria Financial Capabilities</u> Ref: Page 4	3 <sup>rd</sup> Point <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Minimum average networth for last 5 (five) financial years &gt;INR 25Cr.</b></li> </ul>	We kindly request client to modify / insert an additional note under <b><u>Financial Capabilities</u></b>  <i>“Note: Not applicable (NA) for the bidder (participating as a single entity or as a member of JV/Consortium) which is a wholly owned entity (Corporation / Statutory Body / Authority) of the respective Government. The company shall submit its Ownership details which shall be substantiated by the bidder with supporting documents”.</i>	No change.
178	RFP Conditions 2. INSTRUCTIONS TO APPLICANTS 2.2.2 To be eligible for	Minimum average annual turnover of the Applicant shall be INR 200 crore or more for consecutive 5 (five) financial years ending in March 2026. Minimum average networth consecutive 5 (five) financial years shall be more than Rs 25 crore. <b>Each member of a Joint Venture / Consortium must have minimum average annual turnover of Rs 55 crore for consecutive 5(five) financial years ending in March 2026.</b>	We respectfully request you to kindly consider to relax the turnover criterion adding an additional note under <b>(B) Financial Capacity:</b>  <i>“The turnover of the Each member of the Joint Venture / Consortium should be more than Rs 55 crore in any one of the last preceding five financial years.”</i>  This relaxation may allow wider participation while still ensuring that only financially capable bidders are considered for the project.	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	evaluation of its Proposal, the Applicant shall fulfil the following: (B) Financial Capacity: Ref: 1. INTRODUCTION Page 17			
179	Notice No.244 4/GM (P)/HAML/Phase-II <u>Minimum Eligibility Criteria</u>  <u>Financial Capabilities</u> Ref: Page 4	4 <sup>th</sup> Point  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Each member (substantial and non-substantial) of a Joint Venture (JV) / Consortium must have minimum average annual turnover of INR 55 crore for the last 5 (five) financial years ending in March 2026.</li> </ul>	We respectfully request you to kindly consider to relax the turnover criterion adding an additional note under <b><u>Financial Capabilities</u></b>  <i>“The turnover of the Each member of the Joint Venture / Consortium should be more than Rs 55 crore in any one of the last preceding five financial years.”</i>  This relaxation may allow wider participation while still ensuring that only financially capable bidders are considered for the project.	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE "AUTHORITY") TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant's Query	Response of the Authority
180	Notice No.244 4/GM (P)/HAML/Phase-II <u>Minimum Eligibility Criteria</u>  <u>Applications:</u> Ref: Page 4 & APPENDIX-I Form 2 Particulars of the Applicant 1.6 For the Applicant, (in case of a consortium, for each Member), state the following	4 <sup>th</sup> Point  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>A non-Indian bidder having a Branch Office registered in India for last 5 years is permitted to tender in a joint venture or consortium arrangement with Indian Consultant or their wholly owned Indian subsidiary registered in India under Companies Act.</b></li> </ul> <p align="center"><b>&amp;</b></p> <p><b>(i) In case of non Indian company, does the company have business presence in India?</b></p> <p align="center"><b>Yes/No</b></p> <p><b>If so, provide the office address(es) in India.</b></p>	We respectfully request to client kindly clarify <b>that in case of a Non-Indian Bidder/Company being a Foreign Entity have business presence in India</b> for last 5 years and duly Registered Office in India as Foreign Company under Companies Act 2013, having all statutory documents such as FCRN, PAN, TAN, GST.  Is such bidder Eligible to participate in the bid, please clarify?	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	g informa tion:			
181	General -	Last date for receiving Proposals (“Proposal Due Date” or PDD): <b>05.06.2026 at 15.00 Hrs IST</b>	<p>We respectfully request a <b>four (4) week extension</b> to the bid submission deadline from the date of issuance of the pre-bid replies, as the clarifications provided have a significant impact on the technical and commercial aspects of our proposal.</p> <p>Additional time is required to assess these changes and ensure that our submission is fully compliant, accurate, and aligned with the project requirements.</p> <p>We kindly request your consideration to grant this extension to enable a high-quality and well-prepared bid.</p> <p>Kindly consider.</p>	Please see Sl. No. 5 above.
182	Page no 9 of 213 & Page no 34,39 of 213 1. INTRO DUCTI ON cv 1.1 Backgr ound & 2.2 Condit	1.1.1 The Hyderabad Airport Metro Limited (“HAML” or he “Authority”) has proposed to take up 5 metro corridors for a length of 76.4 km costing Rs 24,269 cr under Phase-II as 50:50 JV of GoTG and GoI. In this regard, as an initial proposal, it was resolved by the Authority to provide an elevated metro for one of the corridors of Phase-II Cor VI MGBS to Chandrayangutta on EPC (design and build) (the “EPC(DB)”) basis in Hyderabad, the capital city of Telangana, India, consisting of the following Corridor: Corridor VI: MGBS to Chandrayangutta, Hyderabad, Length: 7.5 km; 6 stns NB: The Authority may include additional corridors within the scope of this assignment, subject to approval by the	<p>We understand that the current scope of work of the subject RFP relates to the execution of <b>“Corridor VI: MGBS to Chandrayangutta, Hyderabad (Length: 7.5 km; 6 Stations)”</b> and therefore, the provision of the manpower provided in the RFP is for the entire 7.5km section.</p> <p>However, the subject note seems to be contradicting.</p> <p>Kindly clarify/ confirm our understanding.</p> <p>Alternatively, if the subject note is correct, then we request the client to kindly indicate/ provide the manpower required to undertake the 7.5km section from the detailed list of provisioned man-power.</p>	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	ons of Eligibility of Applicants (I)	<p>Government of India (GoI). In such cases, the payments shall be adjusted accordingly based on the revised man-month requirements and the deployment schedule of the required Key and Professional Personnel, as determined by project needs.</p> <p>&amp;</p> <p>NB: (ii) If the project assignment is limited to a length of 7.5 km, the indicated man-months, including the deployment schedule for each Key personnel, as well as the total man-months allocated for K1 Personnel, shall be adjusted accordingly.</p> <p>&amp;</p> <p>NB: (i) If the project assignment is limited to a length of 7.5 km, the indicated man-months, including the deployment schedule for each Key Personnel, as well as the total man-months allocated for K2 Personnel, shall be revised accordingly.</p>		
183	Page no. 12 of 233 & Page no. 32 of 233 1.2 Request for Proposal (xx)	GC shall provide complete assistance for Rolling Stock (60 cars / 10 train sets for Phase-I of Hyderabad Metro Rail Project) procurement and commissioning.	Clause 1.2 (xx) mandates comprehensive assistance for Phase-I Rolling Stock procurement and commissioning. Since this is a critical activity that typically commences from the initial stages of the project and continues throughout the project lifecycle, the allocation of only 12 Man-Months for the Chief Rolling Stock Expert (K1) against the overall 36-month Assignment Period appears inadequate to effectively cover the complete scope, including procurement support, design review, manufacturing supervision/monitoring, testing, commissioning, and related coordination	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	Clause 2.2.2 (D) Sl. 13 – Chief Rolling Stock Expert (K1)		<p>activities.</p> <p>In this regard, the Authority is kindly requested to clarify the apparent mismatch between the envisaged scope of services and the proposed Man-Month allocation.</p> <p>The Authority may also consider revising the deployment requirement of the Chief Rolling Stock Expert suitably to align with the continuous and critical nature of the Rolling Stock activities.</p>	
184	Page no. 13 of 233 1.6 Brief description of the Selection Process	All the Technical Proposals so received shall be opened and pursuant to the evaluation thereof, the Authority shall shortlist 3 (three) Applicants, on the basis of their technical scores. The Financial Proposals in respect of these Applicants shall be opened and the order of priority as among these Applicants shall be determined on the basis of a weighted evaluation. Quality cum Cost Based Selection (QCBS) will be the criteria where technical and financial scores shall be assigned respective weights of <b>70:30</b> .	<p>Considering the complexity of the project and the stringent timelines for its completion, it is important that bidders are evaluated with greater emphasis on their technical capabilities, in line with the rigorous criteria stipulated in the RFP.</p> <p>In this regard, we respectfully request the Authority to consider revising the <b><u>Quality-Cost Based Selection (QCBS) ratio from the current 70:30 (Technical: Financial) to 80:20</u></b>.</p> <p>Such an approach is widely adopted across major Rail based transit projects in India, wherein higher weightage is accorded to the Technical proposal to ensure selection of the most qualified and competent consultant for successful project execution.</p> <p>Some recent examples of similar consultancy tenders adopting QCBS 80:20 include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• General Consultant for Gurugram Metro (Millenium city centre and Cyber city to Sector 101, Dwarka).</li> <li>• General Consultant (GC) for Elevated Sections of Extension Projects of Aqua Line</li> </ul>	<p>3.4.1 Proposals will finally be ranked according to their combined technical (<math>S_T</math>) and financial (<math>S_F</math>) scores as follows:  <math>S = S_T \times T_w + S_F \times F_w</math>            Where S is the combined score, and <math>T_w</math> and <math>F_w</math> are weights assigned to Technical Proposal and Financial Proposal that shall be <b>0.780</b> and <b>0.320</b> respectively.</p> <p>1.6 ...Quality cum Cost Based Selection (QCBS) will be the criteria where technical and financial scores shall be assigned respective weights of <b>780:320</b>. The entire procedure for selection of the successful Applicant under this RfP, hereinafter referred to as the “<b>Selection Process</b>”.</p>

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
			<p>from Depot Station to Boraki (2.6 km) &amp; Noida Sec-142 to Botanical Garden (12.513 km) including augmentation of existing depot and RSS works Ahmedabad.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Engagement of General Consultant for Pune Metro Rail Project for Extension of Phase I and Phase –II Projects.</li> <li>• Engagement of General Consultant for Thane Integral Ring Metro Project.</li> <li>• Project Management Consultancy Services for Works of Signalling &amp; Telecommunication System, SCADA System, and Ticketing System of Mumbai – Ahmedabad High Speed Rail Project.</li> <li>• Engagement of General Consultant for Delhi- Panipat-Karnal Namo Bharat Corridor.</li> </ul> <p>In view of the above, we kindly request the Authority to consider revision of the QCBS ratio to 80:20 for the subject assignment.</p>	
185	Page no. 14 of 233 1.8 Schedule of Selection Process	Signing of Agreement: Within 7 days of LoA	<p>It is understood that upon issuance of the Letter of Award (LoA), the successful consultant is required to furnish the Performance Bank Guarantee prior to signing of the Agreement. Considering that issuance of the Performance Bank Guarantee and completion of internal banking formalities generally require two to three weeks’ time, we request the Authority to kindly allow execution/signing of the Agreement within <b>30 days</b> from the date of LoA however consultant shall endeavor to sign the contract as early as possible.</p> <p>Kindly consider.</p>	No change.
186	Page no. 14	For the purposes of evaluation of Technical Proposal of the Applicants, US	We request the Authority to kindly clarify and freeze the applicable reference date/period for	1.7.1 For the purposes of evaluation of Technical Proposal of the Applicants, US \$

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	of 233 1.7 Currency conversion rate and payment	\$ conversion rate shall be as per the forex rate of Reserve Bank of India (RBI) on / in the applicable date / period. In case of any other currency, the same shall first be converted to US\$ as per the forex rate of RBI as on / in the applicable date / period, and the amount so derived in US\$ shall be converted into Rupees at the aforesaid rate.	currency conversion from foreign currency to US\$ and thereafter to INR. For uniformity and consistency in bid evaluation, it is requested that <b>RBI forex exchange rates prevailing 28 days prior to the Proposal Submission Date may kindly be considered.</b>  Kindly consider.	conversion rate shall be as per the forex rate of Reserve Bank of India (RBI) <del>on / in the applicable date / period</del> <u>prevailing 28 days prior to the PDD</u> . In case of any other currency, the same shall first be converted to US\$ as per the forex rate of RBI as <del>on / in the applicable date / period</del> , <u>prevailing 28 days prior to the PDD</u> and the amount so derived in US\$ shall be converted into Rupees at the aforesaid rate.
187	Page no. 16 of 233 & NIT (Page no 4/5) 2. Instructions To Applicants Minimum Eligibility Criteria	<b>A non-Indian bidder registered in India for last 5 years is permitted to tender as a sole entity or a joint venture or consortium arrangement</b> with Indian Consultant or their wholly owned Indian subsidiary registered in India under Companies Act. The term applicant (the “Applicant”) means the Sole Firm or the Consortium, as the case may be. The manner in which the Proposal is required to be submitted, evaluated and accepted is explained in this RfP.  <b>A non-Indian bidder having a Branch Office registered in India for last 5 years is permitted to tender in a joint venture or consortium arrangement</b> with Indian Consultant or their wholly owned Indian subsidiary registered in India under Companies Act.	It is observed that there is a discrepancy between the NIT and RFP provisions with respect to eligibility of non-Indian bidders. We understand from the RFP provisions that a non-Indian bidder having a branch office/registration in India for the last 5 years is eligible to participate <b>as a sole entity in addition to JV/Consortium arrangements.</b>  Kindly confirm our understanding.	No change.
188	Page no. 25 & 51 of 233 2.2 Conditions of	2.2.1 The Key Personnel, with estimated Man Months of 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RfP, to be offered in the Proposal shall be full time permanent employees of the Applicant or its Associates. Where the Applicant is a consortium, the Applicant may also offer	We respectfully request the Authority to allow <b>hiring/ deployment of experts</b> for the Key Personnel positions having estimated Man-Months of 12 or more, instead of restricting such positions only to full-time permanent employees of the Applicant/Consortium Member.	Please see Sl. No. 2 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	Eligibility of Applicants & 2.14 Technical Proposal 2.14.6	the Key Personnel of its other consortium members (but not the Associates of such other consortium members) provided such Key Personnel, whose estimated Man Months are 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RfP, are full time permanent employees of such consortium member(s).	<p>Most experienced permanent Key Personnel are presently engaged on ongoing projects, and it may not be feasible to withdraw them from their current assignments. Further, replacement of already deployed Key Personnel may also not be preferred by the respective clients.</p> <p>Allowing engagement of experts for these positions would broaden the pool of available qualified professionals and enable bidders to propose the most suitable and experienced personnel for the assignment, thereby ensuring effective and timely execution of the project.</p> <p>Kindly consider.</p>	
189	Page no. 25 of 233 AND Page no. 194 of 233 2.2 Conditions of Eligibility of Applicants. APPENDIX-I Form 5	<b>Financial Capacity:</b> Minimum average annual turnover of the Applicant shall be INR 200 crore or more for consecutive 5 (five) financial years ending in March 2026. Minimum average net worth consecutive 5 (five) financial years shall be more than Rs 25 crore. Each member of a Joint Venture / Consortium must have minimum average annual turnover of Rs 55 crore for consecutive 5(five) financial years ending in March 2026.	<p>In this regard, it may kindly be noted that foreign applicant registered as branch offices in India follow the January–December financial reporting cycle in accordance with their global statutory accounting practices. The audited financial statement of any year is generally available by August/ September of subsequent year. Accordingly, audited financial statements are currently available for <u>FY 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023 and 2024.</u></p> <p>In view of the above, we request the Authority to kindly consider and accept the latest available audited financial statements of last five (5) years of such foreign applicants following calendar-year accounting practices for assessment of financial capacity criteria.</p> <p>Kindly Consider.</p>	Please see Addendum Num 2.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
190	Page no 26-39 of 213 2.2 Conditions of Eligibility of Applicants 2.2.2	(I) Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Personnel (K1) whose CVs will be evaluated for bidding:  (II) Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of K2 experts whose CVs will not be evaluated for bidding but need to be approved by HAML before mobilization:	We would like to kindly highlight that the mentioned GC’s Personnels (K1 & K2) have critical inputs to be provided through considerable duration in assignments of similar nature and thus the allocated man-months seem to be insufficient therefore, we request the client to review and suitably increase the man-months.  Alternatively, we request the client to kindly provide the tentative deployment plan of the GC’s Personnels (K1 & K2).	No change.
191	Page no. 34 of 233 NB: (i)	Please note that Team Leader and Deputy Team Leader must be from different discipline.	The RfP stated that the two key positions as “Team Leader (Civil)” and “Dy. Team Leader (Systems)”. However, the subsequent note only stipulates that both positions shall be from different disciplines.  In this regard, the Authority is kindly requested to clarify whether bidders may propose interchangeability in the disciplines of these two positions. Specifically, kindly confirm whether a Team Leader from the Systems discipline (Electrical/Electronics) and a Dy. Team Leader from the Civil discipline would be acceptable, provided both experts are from different disciplines and fully satisfy the prescribed overall and relevant experience requirements applicable to the respective K1 positions.  Kindly confirm.	No change.
192	Page no. 50 of 233 2.14 Technical	2.14.4 If an individual Key Personnel makes a false averment regarding his qualification, experience or other particulars, or his commitment regarding availability for the Project is not fulfilled at any stage after signing of the Agreement,	We understand that in case of submission of false information, client may impose punitive actions including debarment of the Key personnel for 5 years.  In this regard, we wish to clarify that the	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	Proposal	he shall be liable to be debarred for any future assignment of the Authority for a period of 5 (five) years from the date of notice to that effect from the Authority. The award of this Consultancy to the Applicant may also be liable to cancellation in such an event.	<p>Consultant undertakes full responsibility for due diligence, verification, and cross-checking of all submitted CVs and credentials of key personnel. However, despite reasonable verification measures, instances of incorrect or false information provided by individuals may still occur due to misrepresentation beyond the Consultant’s control.</p> <p>Therefore, we request the client to kindly consider that in such cases, punitive action may be limited to debarment of the concerned individual (key personnel) only, and no cancellation of the Consultancy will be done.</p> <p>Kindly consider.</p>	
193	Page no. 51 of 233 2.14 Technical Proposal: 2.14.6	The GC shall be required to arrange for technical visits for the representatives of the Authority to different Metro Rail systems across the globe for interaction and exposure to latest technology. These visits shall be spread over the total Assignment Period and shall include all the aspects of Metro Rail system from foundation to completion and operation. For the purpose of Financial Proposal, Applicant shall include an estimated 12 (twelve) technical visits of two representatives of the Authority each time for interaction and exposure distributed between Europe and Asia during the entire Assignment Period (minimum 175 man days). The cost of travel, boarding and lodging in this connection will have to be borne by GC.	<p>It may kindly be noted that in general practice the expenses toward such training/ global exposure requirement are accounted for in a separate head of the financial proposal or through provisional sums which are non-competitive items.</p> <p>Thus, we request client to consider a suitable amount towards this requirement to be made part of the financial proposal as a non-competitive head and to be used at actuals based on the approval from the client and subject to the overall ceiling limit of the training head.</p> <p>This will level out the field for all the consultants.</p> <p>Kindly consider.</p>	No change.
194	Page no. 52 of 233	2.14.9 In case it is found during the evaluation or at any time before signing of the Agreement or after its execution and	Consultants inherently undertake regress due-diligence in order to provide accurate and correct information in their bids. However, in	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	2.14 Technical Proposal	<p>during the period of subsistence thereof, that one or more of the <b>eligibility conditions</b> have not been met by the Applicant or the Applicant has made material misrepresentation or has given any materially incorrect or false information, the Applicant shall be disqualified forthwith if not yet appointed as the General Consultant either by issue of the LOA or entering into of the Agreement, and if the Applicant has already been issued the LOA or has entered into the Agreement, as the case may be, the same shall, notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained therein or in this RFP, be liable to be terminated, by a communication in writing by the Authority without the Authority being liable in any manner whatsoever to the Applicant or General Consultant, as the case may be.</p> <p>In such an event, the Authority shall forfeit and appropriate the Bid Security or the Performance Security, as the case may be, as mutually agreed pre-estimated compensation and damages payable to the Authority for, inter alia, time, cost and effort of the Authority, without prejudice to any other right or remedy that may be available to the Authority.</p>	<p>particular cases wherein the Consultant inadvertently fails to provide correct information or submit any misrepresenting information pertaining to firm’s/bidders eligibility conditions, we respectfully request the Client to kindly provide a reasonable opportunity to clarify, explain, or rectify any inadvertent errors, omissions, or non-material discrepancies identified during evaluation or contract execution prior to any measures mentioned under Clause 2.14.9.</p> <p>However, if the consultant fails to provide a reasonable and acceptable clarification then an official warning may be given to the consultant.</p> <p>Further, we request that forfeiture of Bid Security/Performance Security may be limited only in a case where the clarification so issued by the consultant as mentioned above is found to be unsatisfactory and misrepresentation, fraud, or submission of materially false information by the consultant is established.</p> <p>Kindly consider.</p>	
195	Page no. 60 & 150 of 213 2.25	(ii) Substitution of Key Personnel (K1) when he is working with the Lead Member, its Associate or any other Consortium Member, as the case may be, will not be accepted under any	We kindly request the authority to delete the clause mentioned or kindly include exemptions related to death, medical incapacity to work or any reason beyond reasonable control of the consultant.	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	Substitution of Key Personnel 4.4 Substitution of Key Personnel	circumstances.	Kindly consider.	
196	Page no. 60 of 233 2.25 Substitution of Key Personnel	(i) The Authority expects all the Key Personnel (K1) to be available during first year of implementation of the Agreement. The Authority will not consider substitution of Key Personnel (K1) except for reasons of any incapacity or due to health. Such substitution shall ordinarily be limited to one Key Personnel (K1) subject to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel being provided to the satisfaction of the Authority. As a condition to such substitution, a sum equal to 10% (ten per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel (K1) for the first three months from the date of such substitution of Key Personnel shall be deducted from the payments due to the General Consultant. In the case of a second substitution hereunder, such deduction shall be 20% (twenty per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel (K1) for the first three months from the date of such substitution. Any further substitution may lead to disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement.	We request the Authority to kindly reconsider the deduction percentages applicable for substitution of Key Personnel (K1) during the first year of implementation. Considering the long project duration and practical challenges associated with availability of specialized experts, it is requested that the deduction for first substitution <b>may kindly be reduced from 10% to 5% of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel (K1) for the first three months, and for second substitution from 20% to 10%.</b>  Kindly Consider.	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority								
197	Page no. 60 of 233 & Page no. 152 of 233 2.25 Substitution of Key Personnel 4.9 Non-deployment of Personnel	-	<b>We request to kindly cap the provisions of deductions due to substitutions of Key personnels and non-deployment up to a maximum of 5% of the total remuneration payable to GC.</b>  Kindly Consider.	No change.								
198	Page no. 61 of 233 2.25 Substitution of Key Personnel – 2.25.3	Substitution of the Team Leader will not normally be considered and may lead to disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement.	It is requested that the Authority may kindly consider permitting substitution of the Team Leader in exceptional and unavoidable circumstances such as medical reasons, incapacity, death, or other circumstances beyond the control of the GC, subject to prior approval of the authority and deployment of an equivalent or better qualified replacement expert.  Also, Substitution of the Team Leader should not be linked to disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement.  Kindly Consider.	No change.								
199	Page no. 63 of 233 3.	3.1.3 <table border="1" style="display: inline-table; vertical-align: middle;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Item Code</th> <th>Parameter</th> <th>Max. Marks</th> <th>Criteria</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Item Code	Parameter	Max. Marks	Criteria					We understand that 30% of the total marks (i.e., 3 marks out of 10) shall be awarded based on the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum of six Eligible Assignments)	Please see Sl. No. 25 above.
Item Code	Parameter	Max. Marks	Criteria									

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause				Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority	
	CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION 3.1 Evaluation of Technical Proposals	de				<p>undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% (i.e., 7 marks) shall be awarded based on:</p> <p>(i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and</p> <p>(ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector.</p> <p>In this regard, we request the Authority to kindly define objectively the criteria for “comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments,” including the parameters to be considered for evaluation and the indicative benchmark/ Number of assignments required to secure maximum marks under this category.</p> <p>Further, we request the Authority to clarify the scope of “other similar work in the Metro Rail sector” and the basis on which such experience will be assessed for scoring purposes.</p> <p>Additionally, we request clarification on whether the same Eligible Assignment may be considered under both the 30% and 70% evaluation criteria for the purpose of scoring.</p> <p>Kindly Consider.</p>		
200	Page no. 63 of 233 3. CRITERIA	3.1.3	<b>Item Code</b>	<b>Parameter</b>	<b>Max. Marks</b>	<b>Criteria</b>	<p>We understand that 30% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments.</p>	Please see Sl. No. 28 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause			Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	RIA FOR EVALUATION 3.1 Evaluation of Technical Proposals	1	Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel	10 30% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments as per the scoring criteria mentioned in the clause) (as specified below) the respective Key Personnel has worked on. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments undertaken	<p>The remaining 70% shall be awarded for:</p> <p>(i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments undertaken by the Key Personnel; and</p> <p>(ii) other similar work in Metro Rail sectors.</p> <p>In this regard, we request the Authority to kindly define the criteria for “comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments,” including the parameters to be considered for evaluation and the indicative benchmark/number of assignments required to secure maximum marks under this category.</p> <p>Further, we request the Authority to clarify the scope of “other similar work in the Metro Rail sector” and the basis on which such experience will be assessed for scoring purposes.</p> <p>Kindly Consider.</p>	



**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	Assignments		<p><b>India.</b></p> <p>This would enable participation of applicants currently executing large-scale similar assignments with substantial progress, proven execution capability and experience. Considering such projects would also broaden competition and allow participation of firms possessing relevant experience in execution of complex metro and urban infrastructure projects.</p> <p>Kindly consider.</p>	
203	Page no. 104 of 233 Services and Facilities to be provided by HAML to GC	-	<p>Requesting authority to add clause</p> <p>Provide rent-free furnished air-conditioned office space of 300 Sq. m floor area which will be increased to 800 sqmts progressively, with reasonably adequate electrical switch points. All office furniture and furnishings including chairs, tables etc. as required, and office equipment including Computers, (except telephone instruments), software related items etc., as required have to be arranged by the GC. Power consumption charges and telephone charges are to be borne by the GC. Security and housekeeping will also be provided by the GC. Transport facility to the staff will be provided by the GC at their own cost. The space will be provided in HAML headquarters or any other suitable place available to with HAML. In case of non-availability of such office space with HAML, reasonable rent for similar accommodation as aforesaid (to be engaged by GC) shall be reimbursed by HAML.</p>	No change.
204	Page no. 119	Key Personnel shall be deployed on need basis and the work on the Assignment	Considering the scale and multidisciplinary nature of the Assignment, including	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	of 233 16.15.2 Deploy ment of Key Person nel	shall commence within 15 days of the Letter of Award of the Assignment in a progressive manner.	mobilization of specialized Key Personnel, statutory notice periods, travel and onboarding requirements, we request the Authority to kindly extend the timeline for commencement of services from 15 days to <b>60 days from the date of Letter of Award.</b>  Kindly consider.	
205	Page no. 128 of 223 & Page no. 158 of 223 1.4 Governi ng law and jurisdict ion of the Agreem ent 9.4 Arbitrati on of the Agreem ent	-	Please clarify that arbitration pursuant to Clause 9.4 of the Agreement is applicable and not courts pursuant to Clause 1.4 of the Agreement.	No change.
206	Page no 136 of 213 2.8 Susten sion of	The Authority may, by written notice of suspension to the General Consultant, suspend all payments to the General Consultant hereunder if the General Consultant shall be in breach of this Agreement or shall fail to perform any of	We request that the suspension and termination provisions be made more balanced and procedurally structured. Specifically, we propose that client shall first issue a written notice clearly specifying the nature of the alleged breach, followed by a	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	Agreement 2.8.1 Suspension of Payments	its obligations under this Agreement, including the carrying out of the Services; provided that such notice of suspension (i) shall specify the nature of the breach or failure, and (ii) shall provide an opportunity to the General Consultant to remedy such breach or failure within a period not exceeding thirty (30) days after receipt by the General Consultant of such notice of suspension.	reasonable cure period of up to 60 days depending on the severity and nature of the issue. Suspension of services and/or payments should only be effected if the Consultant fails to remedy the breach within the agreed cure period.  Further, any termination of the Agreement should be subject to a minimum notice period of 90 days to allow for orderly demobilization and mitigation of costs and obligations by the Consultant.  Kindly consider.	
207	Page no 137 of 213 2.9 Termination of Agreement 2.9.1 By the Authority	The Authority may, by not less than thirty (30) days’ written notice of termination to the General Consultant, such notice to be given after the occurrence of any of the events specified in this Clause 2.9.1, terminate this Agreement if: (a) the General Consultant fails to remedy any breach hereof or any failure in the performance of its obligations hereunder, as specified in a notice of suspension pursuant to Clause 2.8.1 hereinabove, within thirty (30) days of receipt of such notice of suspension or within such further period as the Authority may have subsequently granted in writing;	We request that termination rights be exercised only in case of material and substantiated breach of obligations. The cure period for rectification should be flexible between up to 60 days depending on the nature and complexity of the issue. Further, determination of satisfactory rectification should not rest solely with the Employer but be subject to mutual agreement or independent review where required.  Additionally, termination should be subject to a minimum notice period of 90 days to ensure orderly demobilization and continuity of services. Termination should not be effected while the cure process or any dispute resolution mechanism is actively ongoing.  Kindly consider.	No change.
208	Page no. 137 of 223 2.8 Suspension	-	Please add at the end of Art. 2.8, a suspension right in favor of the General Consultant as well, as follows:  <i>“2.8.3 Suspension by the General</i>	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	sion of Agreement		<i>Consultant: The General Consultant may, by written notice of suspension to the Client, suspend any of or all Services hereunder if the Client fails to perform any of its obligations under this Contract, including the payment obligations, provided that such notice of suspension (i) shall specify the nature of the failure, and (ii) shall request the Client to remedy such failure within a period not exceeding thirty (30) Days after receipt by the Client of such notice of suspension. Such suspension may be revoked on proof of remedial action by the Client to the satisfaction of the General Consultant.”</i>	
209	Page no. 144 of 223 Clause 3.4.3 introductory para. Agreement	The Parties hereto agree that except in case of negligence or wilful misconduct on the part of the General Consultant or on the part of any person or firm acting on behalf of the General Consultant in carrying out the Services, the General Consultant, with respect to damage caused to the Authority’s property, shall not be liable to the Authority:	Please supplement Cause 3.4.3 introductory paragraph of the Agreement by implementing the following addition in bold:  <i>“The Parties hereto agree that except in case of negligence or wilful misconduct on the part of the General Consultant or on the part of any person or firm acting on behalf of the General Consultant in carrying out the Services, the General Consultant, with respect to damage and <b>indemnities</b> caused to the Authority’s property <b>and with respect to any and all other types of damages and indemnities caused by the General Consultant, to the maximum extent permitted by the Applicable Laws, shall not be liable to the Authority.”</b></i>  As the main object of the contract is the provision of Services (and not the transfer of	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
			<p>property, works or goods), the limitation of liability should clearly cover the damages and indemnities caused in carrying out the Services as set forth pursuant to Clause 3.4.2 (which references Clause 3.4.3).</p> <p>The limitation of liability set forth under Clause 3.4.3 should clearly cover the damages related to the performance of the Services pursuant to Clause 3.4.2.</p> <p>The suggested modifications would also ensure alignment with Item 2.26 Indemnity INSTRUCTIONS TO APPLICANTS, which is clearer and more predictable in terms of interpretation:  <i>“The General Consultant shall, subject to the provisions of the Agreement, indemnify the Authority for an amount not exceeding the value of the Agreement for any direct loss or damage that is caused due to any deficiency in Services. “</i></p>	
210	Page no. 144 of 223 Clause 3.4.3 (ii) Agreement	(ii) for any direct loss or damage that exceeds the aggregate of (a) the Agreement Value set forth in Clause 6.1.2 of this Agreement, and (b) the proceeds the General Consultant may be entitled to receive from any insurance maintained by the General Consultant to cover such a liability in accordance with Clause 3.5.2.	<p>In line with the industry standards, we request to implement the following deletions in Clause 3.4.3 (ii) of the Agreement:  <i>“(ii) for any direct loss or damage that exceeds the <del>aggregate of (a) the Agreement Value set forth in Clause 6.1.2 of this Agreement, and (b) the proceeds the General Consultant may be entitled to receive from any insurance maintained by the General Consultant to cover such a liability in accordance with Clause 3.5.2”</del></i></p>	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
211	Page no. 145 of 223 Clause 3.4.3 last paragraph Agreement	This limitation of liability shall not affect the General Consultant’s liability, if any, for damage to Third Parties caused by the General Consultant or any person or firm acting on behalf of the General Consultant in carrying out the Services, or on account of any deficiency in the Services.	<p>Please delete the last paragraph of Clause 3.4.3 in order for the bidders to have more predictability and enable them to submit even more competitive proposals:</p> <p><del>“This limitation of liability shall not affect the General Consultant’s liability, if any, for damage to Third Parties caused by the General Consultant or any person or firm acting on behalf of the General Consultant in carrying out the Services, or on account of any deficiency in the Services.”</del></p> <p>The suggested modifications would also ensure alignment with Item 2.26 Indemnity INSTRUCTIONS TO APPLICANTS, which is clearer and more predictable in terms of interpretation:</p> <p><i>“The General Consultant shall, subject to the provisions of the Agreement, indemnify the Authority for an amount not exceeding the value of the Agreement for any direct loss or damage that is caused due to any deficiency in Services.”</i></p>	No change.
212	Page no. 157 of 233 7.2.2 Liquidated Damages for delay	In case of delay in completion of Services (or any individual component thereof), liquidated damages of an amount equal to 0.05% (zero point zero five percent) of the Agreement Value for every week of delay, subject to a maximum of 10% (ten percent) of the Agreement Value will be imposed and the Authority shall be entitled to recover the same by appropriation from the Performance Security or otherwise. However, in case of delay due to reasons beyond the control	<p>We request to kindly reduce the liquidated damages to a total of <b>5%</b> of the agreement value.</p> <p>Kindly Consider.</p>	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		of the General Consultant, suitable extension of time shall be granted. The foregoing obligation to pay liquidated damages shall be without prejudice to the Authority’s right to terminate the Agreement.		
213	Page no. 157 of 233 7.2.3 Encashment and appropriation of Performance Security	The Authority shall have the right to invoke and appropriate the proceeds of the Performance Security, in whole or in part, without notice to the General Consultant in the event of breach of this Agreement or for recovery of damages or liquidated damages, as the case may be, as specified in this Clause 7.2.	We request the client to kindly consider giving a notice before Encashment of Performance Security.  Kindly Consider.	No change.
214	Page no 212 & 54 of 213 Form 2 <b>Financial Proposal</b> & 2.15 Financial Proposal <b>2.16.7</b>	8. No escalation on any account will be payable on the above amounts.	We kindly request that clause 2.16.7 defines the escalation mechanism for the rates quoted by the GC in its Financial Proposal. However, the subject clause from financial proposal seems to be contradicting to the above.  Kindly clarify.	Please see Sl. No. 73 above.
215	NIT, Minimu	Financial Capabilities: Minimum average annual turnover of INR 200 crore for the	For Indian companies, please consider financial year ending in Mar’2025 as 2025-26	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	m eligibility criteria	last 5 (five) financial years ending in March 2026.	FY financial statements are being prepared. Please confirm.	
216	1. INTRO DUCTI ON, Clause 1.2/ Pg. 3	(iv) GC will review and proof check the designs/drawings for construction submitted by Detailed Design Consultants, in case of construction contracts awarded on ‘Construct only’ basis. GC will review and proof check the designs/drawings submitted by the contractors in case of contracts awarded on EPC (design and build) basis. The GC shall then put up the reviewed designs/drawings to HAML for its approval.	It is understood that only the physical verification for adequacy is in the scope of GC. Any study/simulation as part of their adequacy is in the scope of DDC/Authority. Kindly confirm.	No change.
217	1. INTRO DUCTI ON, Clause 1.2/ Pg. 4	(xviii) GC shall review the drawings / documents sent to it by the EPC(DB) contractor and send its comments / observations to the EPC(DB) contractor within 15 (fifteen) days of receipt of such drawings. (xix) GC shall review any modified drawings/documents sent to it by the EPC(DB) contractor and furnish its comments within 7 (seven) days of receiving such drawings/documents and give necessary approvals.	It is understood that the studies and engineering iteration/simulation as applicable will be done by DDC/GMRL and GC scope is limited to its review for adequacy without using any simulation for cross-checks etc. Kindly confirm	No change.
218	1. INTRO DUCTI ON, Clause 1.8/ Pg. 6 Terms of Reference	Period of Assignment: 36 months  1.3 As regards the operation and maintenance of Phase-II for Cor VI MGBS to Chandryangutta (7.5 km; 6 stns), Hyderabad, Telangana, the Authority will decide on an appropriate arrangement in due course. The scope of work under this current terms of reference thus excludes O&M services in relation to post construction operation and maintenance	1. It is understood that total estimated period for Completion of services is 36 months and there is no DLP. Please Confirm.  2. Besides, it is also requested to keep indicative provision of man-months of Experts during the work pertaining to O&M or post construction if any. Please Consider.	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	(TOR), Clause 1.3/ Pg. 77	of the Phase-II for Cor VI MGBS to Chandrayangutta (7.5 km; 6 stns), Hyderabad, Telangana.		
219	1. INTRO DUCTIO N, Clause 1.2/ Pg. 4	(xvii) GC will make sure that all the drawings that are received from the contractors are in 3 D form and along with that the contractor supplies a sheet on time lines and associated costs in a form which is mutually acceptable and the GC would then analyze / validate the inputs received from the contractors, certify the same and then authorize the contractors to upload the same into the Digital project Management platform i.e. 5D-BIM.	(xvii) The Detailed Design Consultant (DDC) in coordination with the GC will make sure that all the drawings that are received from the contractors are in 3D form and along with that the contractor supplies a sheet on time lines and associated costs in a form which is mutually acceptable and the GC would then analyze / validate the inputs received from the contractors, certify the same and then authorize the contractors to upload the same into the Digital project Management platform i.e. 5D-BIM.	No change.
220	2. INSTR UCTIO NS TO APPLIC ANTS, Clause 2.2.1/ Pg. 17	The Technical Capacity and Financial Capacity mentioned hereunder, should be satisfied either by the Applicant itself or it may satisfy the same along with its Associate(s), only.	We understand that for evaluation, credentials of sister/ parent company will be considered without going in partnership with sister/ parent company. Please clarify.	No change.
221	2. INSTR UCTIO NS TO APPLIC ANTS, Clause 2.2.1/ Pg. 17	The Key Personnel, with estimated Man Months of 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RFP, to be offered in the Proposal shall be full time permanent employees of the Applicant or its Associates.	As the requirement of full-time employees may limit the participation of competent bidders and restrict access to domain experts who typically operate on a project-specific engagement basis, and key personnels of less than 12 man-months we request you to please modify the same as mentioned below: “The Key Personnel as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RFP, to be offered in the Proposal should be hired as full time employees of the Applicant before commencement of work”	Please see Sl. No. 2 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
222	2. INSTR UCTIONS TO APPLICANTS, Clause 2.13.2/ Pg. 40	The Applicant shall prepare one original set of the documents comprising the Proposal (i) in Physical Format...(ii) Electronic Format by online submission on e procurement platform	Request you to please consider only online submission of tenders.	No change.
223	2. INSTR UCTIONS TO APPLICANTS, Clause 2.25.2 (i)/ Pg. 52 & 53	The Authority expects all the Key Personnel (K1) to be available during first year of implementation of the Agreement. The Authority will not consider substitution of Key Personnel (K1) except for reasons of any incapacity or due to health. Such substitution shall ordinarily be limited to one Key Personnel (K1) subject to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel being provided to the satisfaction of the Authority. As a condition to such substitution, a sum equal to 10% (ten per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel (K1) for the first three months from the date of such substitution of Key Personnel shall be deducted from the payments due to the General Consultant. In the case of a second substitution hereunder, such deduction shall be 20% (twenty per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel (K1) for the first three months from the date of such substitution. Any further substitution may lead to disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement. (ii) Substitution of Key Personnel (K1) when he is working with	The condition of substitution is too harsh. It is requested to simplify and provide the provision of substitution as mentioned below: "Condition for substitution: Maximum permissible limit for change of GC STAFF (Key & Non Key) is 20% WITHOUT ANY REDUCTION IN MONTHLY REMUNERATION, on the basis of CV approved by HAML and deployed by GC for first time. This 20% limit covers replacement of GC staff on medical ground and also on long absentee or quitting the organization or demobilized by Employer. Once 20% replacement limit is crossed the penalty is applicable. However, if experts are replaced after giving written notice of 3 months, with equal or more experience/ qualification (than the qualification/ experience, etc. specified for the position), then there shall be no penalty. " May kindly consider.	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		<p>the Lead Member, its Associate or any other Consortium Member, as the case may be, will not be accepted under any circumstances. (iii) Substitution of Key Personnel (K1) can be permitted after first year of Agreement, if the Key Personnel is not available for reasons of any incapacity or due to health or due to resigning from the employment of Lead Member, its Associate or any other Consortium Member, as the case may be, subject to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel being provided to the satisfaction of the Authority. Such substitution shall however be limited to two in each year. There will not be any deduction for the substitutions from second year onwards where such substitution is limited to two in each year. In the event the Authority permits substitution of more than two Key Personnel in a year, a sum equal to 20% of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel for the first three months from the date of substitution of such Key Personnel shall be deducted from the payments due to the General Consultant, for every substitution exceeding the limit of two Key Personnel.</p> <p>2.25.3 Substitution of the Team Leader will not normally be considered and may lead to disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement.</p>		
224	3. CRITERIA FOR EVALU	The scoring criteria to be used for evaluation shall be as follows.	<p>In the current RFP, it is not clearly understood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Whether six eligible assignments are required to score maximum marks;</li> <li>• How the “relative marking” mechanism will</li> </ul>	Please see Sl. No. 25 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority								
	ATION, Clause 3.1.3/ Pg. 55	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Item Code</th> <th>Parameter</th> <th>Max. Marks</th> <th>Criteria</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>Relevant Experience of the Applicant</td> <td>10</td> <td>30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and (ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>While awarding marks for the number of Eligible Assignments, the Applicant or Key Personnel, as the case may be, that has undertaken the highest number of Eligible Assignments shall be entitled to the maximum score for the respective category and all other competing Applicants or respective Key Personnel, as the case may be, shall be entitled to a proportionate score.</p>	Item Code	Parameter	Max. Marks	Criteria	1.	Relevant Experience of the Applicant	10	30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and (ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector.	<p>be applied across assignments <u>Please share the evaluation criteria as discussed in pre-bid meeting at your office.</u></p>	
Item Code	Parameter	Max. Marks	Criteria									
1.	Relevant Experience of the Applicant	10	30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and (ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector.									
225	3. CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION, Clause 3.1.3/ Pg. 55	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel</td> <td>70</td> <td>30% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments as per the scoring criteria mentioned in the clause) (as specified below) the respective Key Personnel has worked on. The remaining</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments undertaken by the Key Personnel; and (ii) other similar work in Metro Rail sectors. The criteria for scoring are given below:</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	3.	Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel	70	30% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments as per the scoring criteria mentioned in the clause) (as specified below) the respective Key Personnel has worked on. The remaining				70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments undertaken by the Key Personnel; and (ii) other similar work in Metro Rail sectors. The criteria for scoring are given below:	<p>We request that the requirement of a minimum of six (6) eligible assignments for key personnel be revised to provide greater flexibility. The Authority may consider evaluating Key Personnel based on cumulative experience, project significance, and role performed, rather than prescribing a rigid numerical threshold. <u>Please share the evaluation criteria as discussed in pre-bid meeting at your office as understanding of client and bidder is different.</u></p>	<p>Please see Sl. No. 28 above.</p>
3.	Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel	70	30% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments as per the scoring criteria mentioned in the clause) (as specified below) the respective Key Personnel has worked on. The remaining									
			70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments undertaken by the Key Personnel; and (ii) other similar work in Metro Rail sectors. The criteria for scoring are given below:									
226	3. CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION, Clause 3.1.3/ Pg. 60	<p>Chief Alignment and Project Coordination Expert (K1): Shall have total experience of 25 years. Out of which minimum 10 years of experience in preparation of FSRs/DPRs for rail based urban mass transit systems including alignment design, alternative analysis, travel demand modeling, multi modal integration, project structuring, coordination of various project activities.</p>	<p>Request you to please consider GC/ PMC projects.</p>	<p>No change.</p> <p>...Shall have been Team Leader <u>or one position below</u> in preparation of at least three DPRs/FSRs for Metro Rail based urban mass rapid transit systems.</p>								

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		Shall have been Team Leader in preparation of at least three DPRs/FSRs for Metro Rail based urban mass rapid transit systems.		
227	3. CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION, Clause 3.1.3/ Pg. 61	For the avoidance of doubt and by way of illustration, if the minimum number of Eligible Assignments for meeting the eligibility criteria is 3 (three), then an equivalent number will be ignored for each Applicant/Key Personnel and only the balance remaining will be considered for awarding scores relating to the number of Eligible Assignments on a proportionate basis.	Request to please consider assignments fulfilling the eligibility criteria for evaluation as well. <u>Please share the evaluation criteria as discussed in pre-bid meeting at your office</u>	Please see Sl. No. 25 and Sl. No. 28 above.
228	3. CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION, Clause 3.4.1/ Pg. 63	3.4.1 Proposals will finally be ranked according to their combined technical (ST) and financial (SF) scores as follows: $S = ST \times T_w + SF \times F_w$ Where S is the combined score, and $T_w$ and $F_w$ are weights assigned to Technical Proposal and Financial Proposal that shall be 0.70 and 0.30 respectively.	Request to consider the evaluation criteria Technical Proposal and Financial Proposal 0.80 and 0.20 respectively.  Kindly consider.	3.4.1 Proposals will finally be ranked according to their combined technical ( $S_T$ ) and financial ( $S_F$ ) scores as follows: $S = S_T \times T_w + S_F \times F_w$ Where S is the combined score, and $T_w$ and $F_w$ are weights assigned to Technical Proposal and Financial Proposal that shall be <u>0.780</u> and <u>0.320</u> respectively.  1.6 ...Quality cum Cost Based Selection (QCBS) will be the criteria where technical and financial scores shall be assigned respective weights of <u>780:320</u> . The entire procedure for selection of the successful Applicant under this RfP, hereinafter referred to as the “ <b>Selection Process</b> ”.
229	Terms of Reference (TOR), Clause 1.9/ Pg. 78	1.9 The nature of studies for property development will be only preliminary studies, the detailed studies or Transit Oriented Development (TOD) studies are not included in the GC’s scope of Services. xiii) Framing property development proposal as commercial hubs in stations	The scope work includes property development but no Expert is provided for this discipline. It is requested to provide One no. of PD Expert.	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		and commercial and transportation hubs at depots, at terminal stations and at other stations both inside and outside the station box area.		
230	Terms of Reference (TOR), Clause 3/ Pg. 82	xxxviii) GC shall provide detailed consultancy services for renewable energy (RE) system.	system. The scope work includes consultancy services for renewable energy, but no Expert is provided for this discipline. It is requested to provide One no Renewable Energy Expert.	No change.
231	Terms of Reference (TOR) Clause 16.10.3 (d)/ Pg. 103	The GC’s Team Leader, Dy. Team Leader and Project Planning & Scheduling Expert (PPSE will be responsible for monitoring the progress of the works. The PPSE will make use of computerized scheduling software to test the impact that a delay to any one activity (or group of activities) will have on the achievement of milestones and commissioning of the Project.	It is understood that necessary software and licensing will be provided by the Authority, and no cost of software is required to be envisage by consultant in estimate. Please confirm.	No change.
232	Terms of Reference (TOR) Clause 16.15.2 / Pg. 111	Deployment of Key Personnel a) Key Personnel shall be deployed on need basis and the work on the Assignment shall commence within 15 days of the Letter of Award of the Assignment in a progressive manner.	The period of 100% deployment is not provided in the RFP. A big number of Personnel to be deployed for this project including Team Leader and other Key and Non-Key Experts. These personnel would be already working in other assignments at the time of award of work. Taking over their responsibilities from the present assignments and deploying them to this project requires Notice Period time. As per industry practices, most of the employees have to serve notice periods ranging from 30 days to 90 days. Accordingly, it is requested to keep the provision of at- least 60 days for deploying 50% Manpower and 120 days for deploying 100% Manpower. Considering above, we request you to please modify the clause as	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE "AUTHORITY") TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant's Query	Response of the Authority
			follows: " Key Personnel shall be deployed on need basis and the work on the Assignment shall commence within 15 days of the Letter of Award of the Assignment in a progressive manner. The Authority will keep the provision of at least 60 days for deploying 50% Manpower and 120 days for deploying 100%."	
233	AGREEMENT, Clause 1.9.3 (ii)/ Pg. 122	Invoices for the Services performed by the General Consultant... Agreement to any Consortium Members other than the Lead Member	Request you to please c	No change.
234	AGREEMENT, Clause 3.12./ Pg. 140	Accuracy of Documents The General Consultant shall be responsible for accuracy of the data collected by it directly or procured from other agencies/authorities, the designs, drawings, estimates and all other details prepared by it as part of these services. Subject to the provisions of Clause 3.4, it shall indemnify the Authority against any inaccuracy in its work which might surface during implementation of the Project. ----- -- re survey / investigations.	It is well known that the documents are obtained / available in public domain or that are owned by the respective agencies. It is understood that for the documents, GC shall only be made responsible for accuracy of the documents available in public domain only and for those drafted and / or vetted by it or the Data generated by GC and not of the documents / data collected from other agencies. As such, the existing clause may be replaced with the below suggested clause:- "The GC shall be responsible for accuracy of the documents obtained from the Public Domain or drafted and/or vetted by it, estimates and all other details prepared and/or undertaken by it as part of its Services. The cost of re-survey will be payable by the Authority"	No change.
235	AGREEMENT, Clause 4.5/ Pg. 140	Working hours, overtime, leave, etc. The Personnel shall not be entitled to be paid for overtime nor to take paid sick leave or vacation leave except as specified in the Agreement, and the General Consultant's remuneration shall be deemed to cover	1) It is seen that when projects' targeted works are in peak, experts have to stretch their working hours for weeks & months together. It is, therefore, requested to keep an account of such period and to consider compensation of half-a-day in cases where	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		<p>these items. All leave to be allowed to the Personnel is excluded from the Man Days of service set forth in Annex-2. Taking of leave by any Personnel for a period exceeding 7 days shall be subject to the prior approval of the Authority, and the General Consultant shall ensure that any absence on leave will not delay the progress and quality of the Services. One month equals the actual number of working days in the respective Calander month, which is calculated by deducting number of Sundays and National Holidays (as defined below) from total number of days in month. One (1) working day shall be not less than eight (8) hours. However, GC Expert should be available whenever required by GMRC even on Holidays/ weekly off.. ----- -----No extra remuneration No over-time or compensatory holiday is applicable to GC staff. Internal Human Resources policy of the GC shall comply with international labour standards, consistent with applicable law and regulations in India, including the fundamental conventions of the International Labour Organisation (ILO)</p>	<p>services are utilised 4 hours beyond normal working hours and also allow commutation of such hours for substitute leave. This practice will improve the availability of personnel on one hand and on other hand will also increase the satisfaction level if such leaves are allowed.</p> <p>May kindly consider.</p> <p>2) It is also requested to provide replacement of Experts deployed in case where the Expert is not able to continue for the health reasons arising due to the strenuous duties and no penalty be charged to the Authority Engineer.</p> <p>May kindly consider.</p>	
236	AGREEMENT, Clause 4.9/ Pg. 144	<p><b>Non-deployment of Personnel</b> In the event that the GC fails to deploy any Key Personnel or Professional Personnel as may be required for provision of the Services in accordance with the requirements of this Agreement and the MSS, the Authority shall have the right to levy liquidated damages as under: (i) for each day of delay or failure to deploy Key Personnel upto a period equal</p>	<p>As the number of such projects are increasing year on year and requisite trained experts may not be sufficient to meet the upcoming demand and the burden of penalty will accumulate losses due to this penalty. Therefore, we request to modify the clause as follows: "(i) for each day of delay or failure to deploy Key Personnel up to a period equal to 20% of the total Man-month period allocated for</p>	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE "AUTHORITY") TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant's Query	Response of the Authority
		<p>to 20% of the total Man-month period allocated for such Key Personnel as specified in Annex 3 hereof: 1% of the total amount attributable to that Key Personnel per day determined as per Annex 3 hereof.</p> <p>(ii) for each day of delay or failure to deploy Key Personnel for a period beyond 20% and upto 40% of the total Man-month period allocated for such Key Personnel as specified in Annex 3 hereof: 2% of the total amount attributable to that Key Personnel per day determined as per Annex 3 hereof.</p> <p>(iii) for any delay or failure to deploy Key Personnel beyond a 2-month period of the date on which such Key Personnel is required to be present, the Authority shall be entitled to take suitable remedial actions or to terminate this Agreement, for an GC Event of Default and appropriate the Performance Security. For the avoidance of doubt, the Authority shall have the right to recover such liquidated damages by invoking, and appropriating from, the Performance Security or otherwise.</p>	<p>such Key Personnel as specified in Annex 3 hereof: No penalty.</p> <p>(ii) for each day of delay or failure to deploy Key Personnel for a period beyond 20% and up to 40% of the total Man-month period allocated for such Key Personnel as specified in Annex 3 hereof: 1% of the total amount attributable to that Key Personnel per day determined as per Annex 3 hereof.</p> <p>(iii) for any delay or failure to deploy Key Personnel beyond a 3-month period of the date on which such Key Personnel is required to be present, the Authority shall be entitled to take suitable remedial actions or to terminate this Agreement, for an GC Event of Default and appropriate the Performance Security. " May kindly consider.</p>	
237	Insurance Clause- 3.5.2, Pg No 146	3.5.2 The Parties agree that the risks and coverages shall include but not be limited to the following: (a) Higher of Third-Party liability insurance as required under Applicable Laws and the Third-Party liability insurance with a minimum coverage of Rs. 1 cr. (Rs. one crore) for the period of this Agreement	<p>As per our understanding, following Insurance needs to be taken:</p> <p>(a) Professional liability insurance, with a coverage of upto the Agreement \ Value;</p> <p>(b) Third Party liability insurance, with a coverage of upto INR 10 Lakhs per occurrence in accordance with the applicable law in India;</p> <p>(c) Workmen Compensation policy; and</p> <p>(d) Office Package Policy.</p>	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority															
238	Liability Clause- 3.4.3, Pg No. 145	This limitation of liability shall not affect the General Consultant’s liability, if any, for damage to Third Parties caused by the General Consultant or any person or firm acting on behalf of the General Consultant in carrying out the Services, or on account of any deficiency in the Services	As per our understanding, the OVERALL Consultant’s liability (which includes all claims, losses, indemnity, damages and penalties) shall be capped upto One time of agreement value.	No change.															
239	AGREEMENT, Clause 7.2.2/ Pg. 149	Liquidated Damages for delay In case of delay in completion of Services (or any individual component thereof), liquidated damages of an amount equal to 0. 05% (zero-point zero five percent) of the Agreement Value for every week of delay, subject to a maximum of 10% (ten percent) of the Agreement Value will be imposed and the Authority shall be entitled to recover the same by appropriation from the Performance Security or otherwise. However, in case of delay due to reasons beyond the control of the General Consultant, suitable extension of time shall be granted. The foregoing obligation to pay liquidated damages shall be without prejudice to the Authority’s right to terminate the Agreement.	In the case of 'Reasons for deficiency may be on many accounts beyond legitimate control of GC such as requisite details from other stakeholders. As such the imposition of penalty should be considering reasonability or scope of explanation with the GC. It is, therefore, requested to suitably amend the clause. It is proposed to consider that "No such penalty will be imposed without proper notice by the Authority and reasonable opportunity to GC for its explanation and also not beyond 3 months after the completion of the Activity."	No change.															
240	Form 2, Financial Proposal/ Pg. 212	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Item No.</th> <th>Description</th> <th>Amount (Rs.)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>D</td> <td>SUBTOTAL OF A+B+C</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>E</td> <td>OVERHEAD EXPENSES @---- % of (E)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>F</td> <td>GST</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>G</td> <td>TOTAL (including taxes) (D+E+F) (in Rs.) In Indian Rupees in figures in words _____ in _____</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Item No.	Description	Amount (Rs.)	D	SUBTOTAL OF A+B+C		E	OVERHEAD EXPENSES @---- % of (E)		F	GST		G	TOTAL (including taxes) (D+E+F) (in Rs.) In Indian Rupees in figures in words _____ in _____		We understand that overhead expenses will be considered as “OVERHEAD EXPENSES @----- % of (D)”. Please clarify	Please see Addendum Num <del>4</del> 2.
Item No.	Description	Amount (Rs.)																	
D	SUBTOTAL OF A+B+C																		
E	OVERHEAD EXPENSES @---- % of (E)																		
F	GST																		
G	TOTAL (including taxes) (D+E+F) (in Rs.) In Indian Rupees in figures in words _____ in _____																		
241	Form 2, Financial Proposal/ Note S. no.	Savings of upto 20% (twenty percent) under any head of expenditure specified in the summary of Financial Proposal may be reappropriated by the General Consultant and added to any other head of expenditure, subject to a ceiling of 10%	Request you to please modify the clause as “Savings under any head of expenditure specified in the Financial Proposal may be reappropriated by the General Consultant and added to any other head of expenditure, provided that the overall approved contract	No change.															

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	5/ Pg. 212	(ten percent) in respect of the recipient head of expenditure. Upon Notification of such reappropriation to the Authority, the Financial Proposal shall be deemed to be amended, and payment shall be made accordingly.	value is not exceeded. Upon Notification of such reappropriation to the Authority, the Financial Proposal shall be deemed to be amended, and payment shall be made accordingly.”	
242		The provision of annual increment for the experts is not given in the RFP. It is requested to provide the same.	Please refer clause 42.3 of GMRL (Annexure A). Similar clause may be added. However, if personnel of category approved in LOA is deployed after one year of the commencement of the approved schedule or later on request of the Authority, Price Adjustment should be applicable for fixing the remuneration of such incumbent. Kindly consider and confirm.	No change.
243		Multiple consent	We assume multiple consent is allowed. Please confirm.	No change.
244	Page No. 43, Clause No. 2.14.6	All the Key Personnel proposed by the Applicant in its Proposal, with estimated Man Months of 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RfP, shall be full time permanent employees of the Applicant, its Associate or the other consortium member(s), as the case may be. In case any Key Personnel proposed by the Applicant in its Proposal is an employee of the Associate of the Applicant or of the consortium member, then such Associate or the consortium member, as the case may be, shall furnish an Undertaking - 1 in relation to such employee in the format specified in Form 16 of Appendix I hereof. In case any Key Personnel proposed by the Applicant in its Proposal is not an employee of the Applicant or its Associate or the consortium member, as the case may be, then the Applicant shall furnish an Undertaking - 2 in relation to such Key	We kindly request you to delete this clause as it is challenging to have the experts available in-house for extended durations, these are typically project-based roles. Most experts are actively engaged in ongoing assignments, and including their CVs for new bids may either necessitate their replacement in current projects or could result in non-deployment penalties in new assignments.	Please see Sl. No. 2 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		Personnel in the format specified in Form 17 of Appendix I hereof.		
245	RFP Page No. 41, 2.14 Technical Proposal, 2.14.2	While submitting the Technical Proposal, the Applicant shall, in particular, ensure that:  (d) CVs of all Professional Personnel have been submitted;	We understand that at the time of bid submission we need to submit only 15 CV's given under clause 2.2.2, (D) Conditions of Eligibility for Key Personnel, As per clause (I) Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Personnel (K1) whose CVs will be evaluated for bidding, page 18.	No change.
246	Page No. 43, Clause No. 2.14.6	For the purpose of Financial Proposal, Applicant shall include an estimated 12 (twelve) technical visits of two representatives of the Authority each time for interaction and exposure distributed between Europe and Asia during the entire Assignment Period (minimum 175 man days). The cost of travel, boarding and lodging in this connection will have to be borne by GC.	We request the Authority may kindly specify the proposed countries/cities for the technical visits, along with an indicative duration/schedule, to enable bidders to accurately assess and quote the associated travel, boarding, lodging, and logistical costs in the Financial Proposal.	No change.
247	Page No. 46, Clause No. 2.16.7	the rate paid by the Authority to the GC shall be escalated every year at 5% on a non-compounded basis on the rate for the first year of the Assignment Period. In case the Services are required to be provided beyond the contemplated 3 year Assignment Period, the rate to be paid to the GC by the Authority shall similarly be escalated at the rate of 5% per annum on non-compounded basis on the rate for the first year of the Assignment Period.	It is requested that the annual escalation may kindly be considered at 5% on a compounded basis instead of a non-compounded basis. Considering the long duration of the Assignment Period and the continuous increase in manpower costs, statutory compliances, inflation, administrative overheads, and operational expenses, compounded escalation would more appropriately reflect the actual increase in project execution costs over time.  This revision would help maintain financial sustainability throughout the contract period and ensure uninterrupted deployment of qualified resources and quality services during the entire Assignment Period, including any extended duration of services.	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
248	Page No. 52, 53; Clause No. 2.25.2	<p>(i) The Authority expects all the Key Personnel (K1) to be available during first year of implementation of the Agreement. The Authority will not consider substitution of Key Personnel (K1) except for reasons of any incapacity or due to health. Such substitution shall ordinarily be limited to one Key Personnel (K1) subject to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel being provided to the satisfaction of the Authority. As a condition to such substitution, a sum equal to 10% (ten per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel (K1) for the first three months from the date of such substitution of Key Personnel shall be deducted from the payments due to the General Consultant. In the case of a second substitution hereunder, such deduction shall be 20% (twenty per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel (K1) for the first three months from the date of such substitution. Any further substitution may lead to disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement.</p> <p>(ii) Substitution of Key Personnel (K1) when he is working with the Lead Member, its Associate or any other Consortium Member, as the case may be, will not be accepted under any circumstances.</p> <p>(iii) Substitution of Key Personnel (K1) can be permitted after first year of Agreement, if the Key Personnel is not available for reasons of any incapacity or due to health or due to resigning from the employment of Lead Member, its Associate or any</p>	<p>The deduction of remuneration for substitution of key personnel is too high; we recommend to amend this clause as:</p> <p><b>If experts are replaced after giving written notice of 3 months, with equal or more experience/ qualification (than the qualification/ experience, etc. specified for the position), then there shall be no penalty.</b></p>	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		<p>other Consortium Member, as the case may be, subject to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel being provided to the satisfaction of the Authority. Such substitution shall however be limited to two in each year. There will not be any deduction for the substitutions from second year onwards where such substitution is limited to two in each year. In the event the Authority permits substitution of more than two Key Personnel in a year, a sum equal to 20% of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel for the first three months from the date of substitution of such Key Personnel shall be deducted from the payments due to the General Consultant, for every substitution exceeding the limit of two Key Personnel.</p>		
249	CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION, 3.1.3, page 55	<p>Relevant Experience of the Applicant 30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and (ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector.</p>	<p>In this regard, it is requested that full marks under the “number of Eligible Assignments” criteria may kindly be awarded to bidders possessing six (6) eligible projects as these numbers are sufficient enough to justify the experience of the eligible bidder to execute this nature of project, also as the present definition creates ambiguity regarding the exact number of projects required for securing full marks.</p> <p>Further, the parameters “comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments” and “other similar works in the Metro Rail sector” are broad and subjective in nature, and no clear methodology or measurable benchmarks for evaluation have been defined in the tender document. This may lead to varying</p>	Please see Sl. No. 25 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
			<p>interpretations during technical evaluation.</p> <p>It is therefore requested that a clear and transparent evaluation methodology, including defined criteria/weightage for assessing project size, quality, and similarity of Metro Rail works, may kindly be specified in the tender document to ensure uniform understanding among all bidders and a fair evaluation process.</p>	
250	CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION, 3.1.3, page 55 & 56	Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel 30% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments as per the scoring criteria mentioned in the clause) (as specified below) the respective Key Personnel has worked on. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments undertaken by the Key Personnel; and (ii) other similar work in Metro Rail sectors. The criteria for scoring are given below:	<p>In this regard, it is requested that full marks under the “number of Eligible Assignments” criteria may kindly be awarded to experts possessing six (6) eligible projects as these numbers are sufficient enough to justify their experience to execute this nature of project. And we request you to delete 70% criteria for the experts.</p> <p>Also, we understand contractors’/client experience will also be considered as the same has been mentioned in area of expertise of relevant project experience for all the experts (page 18).</p>	Please see Sl. No. 28 above.
251		In Key experts requirement : Relevant project experience means working experience with/as client/ contractor while implementation of a Metro Rail / Railway System/ High Speed/ RRTS project or with/as consultant providing GC/PMC/IE services while implementation of Metro Rail / Railway System projects.	We understand Railway system projects includes all types of railway projects not restricting to any specific systems.	No change.
252		Mobilization advance	<p>We request for a provision of an interest-free Mobilization Advance equivalent to 10% of the contract value.</p> <p>The Mobilization Advance would help ensure positive cash flow during the initial stages of</p>	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
			the project and facilitate timely mobilization of resources, deployment of key personnel, establishment of project infrastructure, and smooth execution of the assignment.	
253	Combined and Final Evaluation, page 63	<p>3.4.1 Proposals will finally be ranked according to their combined technical (ST) and financial (SF) scores as follows:</p> $S = ST \times T_w + SF \times F_w$ <p>Where S is the combined score, and <math>T_w</math> and <math>F_w</math> are weights assigned to Technical Proposal and Financial Proposal that shall be 0.70 and 0.30 respectively</p>	<p>In this regard, it is requested that the weightage may kindly be revised to 80% for the Technical Proposal and 20% for the Financial Proposal. Considering the specialized and critical nature of consultancy/services in Metro Rail projects, greater emphasis on technical capability, relevant experience, methodology, and domain expertise is essential to ensure quality deliverables and successful project execution.</p> <p>A higher technical weightage would encourage technically competent and experienced bidders to participate competitively while ensuring that the selection process is driven primarily by quality and technical merit rather than price alone. This would ultimately result in a technically compliant, balanced, and competitive bidding process in line with industry best practices for complex infrastructure projects.</p>	<p>3.4.1 Proposals will finally be ranked according to their combined technical (<math>S_T</math>) and financial (<math>S_F</math>) scores as follows:</p> $S = S_T \times T_w + S_F \times F_w$ <p>Where S is the combined score, and <math>T_w</math> and <math>F_w</math> are weights assigned to Technical Proposal and Financial Proposal that shall be <u>0.780</u> and <u>0.320</u> respectively.</p> <p>1.6 ...Quality cum Cost Based Selection (QCBS) will be the criteria where technical and financial scores shall be assigned respective weights of <del>780:320</del>. The entire procedure for selection of the successful Applicant under this RfP, hereinafter referred to as the “<b>Selection Process</b>”.</p>
254		Technical Proposal 2.14.3, page 42 Failure to comply with the requirements spelt out in this Clause 2.14 shall make the Proposal liable to be rejected and the Authority shall be entitled to forfeit the Bid Security.	We request to kindly delete this clause.	No change.
255		CVs for the key personnel	<p>We understand that the CVs of the proposed Key Personnel / Experts may be submitted by multiple bidders, provided the experts satisfy the qualification and experience requirements specified in the RFP.</p> <p>Further, we understand that such multiple</p>	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
			usage of CVs across different bids shall not affect the technical evaluation or marks allotted to the respective positions.	
256	3.1.4	Eligible Assignments: Similar Works" means, "General Consultancy (GC) / Independent Engineer (IE) / Project Management Consultancy (PMC) covering the scope of services similar to those required to be rendered under this RfP and works pertaining to Civil & System works of Metro Rail based urban mass rapid transit system / Railway System (i.e., “ <b>rail based urban mass rapid transit system</b> ”) involving the work of project management and supervision including contract management, design checking, construction management, inspection, safety and quality, testing and commissioning during last 10 (ten) years ending in March 2026	We kindly request to allow substantially completed projects also in similar works definition.	Please see Sl. No. 26 above.
257	3.1 Evaluati on of Technic al Propos als page 55	Relevant Experience of the Applicant	We understand that, for the purpose of evaluation of eligible assignments and relevant experience of the Applicant, the credentials of a Parent Company may be considered for its Subsidiary, or vice versa, only in cases where both entities are participating together as part of the same Joint Venture / Consortium in the subject bid.  Accordingly, it is requested that the Client kindly confirm whether the above understanding is correct.	No change.
258		<b>Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Personnel (K1) whose CVs will be evaluated for bidding, page 22</b> <b>Chief Structural Engineer - Elevated &amp; UG Structures (K1),</b> Relevant project experience means working	We request you to kindly allow DDC projects experience also.	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		experience on GC / PMC / IE for detailed designing/ proof checking/ reviewing of structural drawings for elevated viaduct / underground tunnel / stations for Metro Rail / Railway System projects.		
259	Clause 2.3 Performance BG	The General Consultant shall be required to furnish a performance security of a value equivalent to 3% (three percent) of the Agreement Value. The Authority shall retain by way of performance security, 3% (three percent) of all the amounts due and payable to the General Consultant. The General Consultant may, in lieu of retention of the amounts as referred above, furnish a Bank Guarantee.	We request you to kindly allow “Insurance surety Bond in Performance BG as being allowed in EMD.	Please see Sl. No. 43 above.
260	APPENDIX-II (See Clause 2.1.3) Form 2	<b>Financial Proposal OVERHEAD EXPENSES @----- % of (E), page 212</b>	In this regard, the Client is kindly requested to recheck and confirm the appropriate cell / component on which the Overhead Expenses are intended to be calculated, so as to ensure uniformity and accuracy in financial proposal submission by all bidders.  Kindly clarify and confirm.	Please see Addendum Num 2.
261	2.1 Scope of Proposal, page 8	<b>The members having less than 26% participation will be termed as non-substantial member and will not be considered for technical and financial evaluation</b>	We request to kindly restrict the participation of Non-Substantial/Associate Members to a maximum of 10% only.  Further, considering the complexity, scale, and technical requirements of the project, it is requested that each consortium partner should independently possess relevant experience in similar assignments. Accordingly, the eligibility criteria may kindly be revised as under:  Lead Member:  Should have successfully completed at least one “Similar Work” in India of value not less than INR 60 Crore.	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
			Each Consortium Member: Should have successfully completed at least one “Similar Work” in India of value not less than INR 45 Crore each.	
262	Clause 1.7.1, Page 6	For the purposes of evaluation of Technical Proposal of the Applicants, US \$ conversion rate shall be as per the forex rate of Reserve Bank of India (RBI) on / in the applicable date / period. In case of any other currency, the same shall first be converted to US\$ as per the forex rate of RBI as on / in the applicable date / period, and the amount so derived in US\$ shall be converted into Rupees at the aforesaid rate.	<p>The RFP does not specify the exact reference date or methodology for determination of the applicable exchange rate for conversion of foreign currency values.</p> <p>In this regard, it is requested that the Client kindly confirm a single standard reference date along with a uniform exchange rate methodology to be considered for evaluation of the Consultant’s work experience and financial capacity.</p> <p>Further, it is requested that a specific cut-off date for applicability of the exchange rate may kindly be fixed prior to the bid submission date. This will help avoid repeated revision and resubmission of supporting financial documents in the event of extension of the bid submission deadline.</p> <p>The above request is submitted for ensuring clarity, consistency, and uniformity in bid evaluation for all applicants.</p> <p>Kindly consider and confirm.</p>	Please see Sl. No. 186 above.
263	Clause 2.2.2 (B), Page 17	Financial Capacity: Minimum average annual turnover of the Applicant shall be INR 200 crore or more for consecutive 5 (five) financial years ending in March 2026. Minimum average networth consecutive 5 (five) financial years shall be more than Rs 25 crore. Each member of a Joint Venture / Consortium must have minimum average annual turnover of Rs 55 crore for consecutive 5(five) financial years ending in March 2026.	<p>We request to kindly consider and allow the following financial years for evaluation of financial capacity:</p> <p>For Indian Companies: Consecutive 5 (five) financial years ending March 2025.</p> <p>For Foreign Companies: Consecutive 5 (five) financial years ending December 2025 or the latest audited financial</p>	Please see Addendum Num 2.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
			year available as per the applicable accounting regulations of the respective country.	
264	Appendix-1, Form-8, Page 192	Certificate from the Statutory Auditor	<p>As obtaining certificates from the Statutory Auditor is often a time-consuming process and may lead to practical difficulties within the bid submission timelines, it is requested that the Client kindly permit submission of certification(s) from a practicing Chartered Accountant (CA).</p> <p>The certificate may be accepted on the CA's official letterhead, duly signed and stamped, along with a valid UDIN number, for the purpose of compliance with the subject forms.</p>	No change.
265		<b>Bid Submission Date :</b> 05.06.2026 at 15.00 Hrs IST	We request the Client to kindly allow a minimum preparation period of 4 (four) weeks from the date of issuance of pre-bid clarifications / replies before the final bid submission date. This will enable prospective bidders to prepare a more responsive and competitive proposal in line with the RFP requirements.	Please see Sl. No. 5 above.
266	2.1 Scope of Proposal, Pg. No.8	2.1.1 A non-Indian bidder registered in India for last 5 years is permitted to tender as a sole entity or a joint venture or consortium arrangement with Indian Consultant or their wholly owned Indian subsidiary registered in India under Companies Act.	We request to consider allowing International Firm to act as the Lead Partner, provided the firm has been registered in India in the last 10 years.	No change.
267	2.2 Conditions of Eligibility of Applicants, Pg. No.17	2.2.1 The Key Personnel, with estimated Man Months of 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RfP, to be offered in the Proposal shall be full time permanent employees of the Applicant or its Associates. Where the Applicant is a consortium, the Applicant may also offer the Key Personnel of its other consortium	Proposing employees on pay rolls (In-house employees) in the bids as key professional may not be feasible, as those professional might have been deployed on other projects and shifting them to this project is difficult. It is therefore desirable and feasible that the professional sourced from market and proposed in the bids, will be inducted into the	Please see Sl. No. 2 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		members (but not the Associates of such other consortium members) provided such Key Personnel, whose estimated Man Months are 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RfP, are full time permanent employees of such consortium member(s).	payrolls, once the bidder is successful and gets LOA. Kindly relax this criterion and allow us to provide CV with exclusive consent (LOA).	
	1.12 Representations and Warranties, Pg. No.125	(I) the Key Personnel with estimated Man Months of 12 (twelve) or more are full time permanent employees of the General Consultant {or of its Associates or its Consortium Members, as the case may be}.		
268	(I) Qualification, overall experience and area of expertise of Key Personnel (K1) whose CVs will be evaluated for bidding ;, Pg. No.26	NB: (ii) If the project assignment is limited to a length of 7.5 km, the indicated man months, including the deployment schedule for each Key Personnel, as well as the total man-months allocated for K1 Personnel, shall be adjusted accordingly.	We understand that the man months indicated for K1, K2, K3, K4, K5, and K6 have been provided specifically for the scope of the subject tender pertaining to Corridor VI (MGBS to Chandrayangutta) General Consultancy services. Kindly confirm that no further adjustment in the indicated man months and deployment schedule shall be required in case the project scope remains limited to the said Corridor VI (MGBS to Chandrayangutta) GC assignment of approximately 7.5 km, as presently defined under this tender.	No change.
	(II) Qualification, overall	NB: (i) If the project assignment is limited to a length of 7.5 km, the indicated man months, including the deployment schedule for each Key Personnel, as well		

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	experie nce and area of expertis e of K2 experts whose CVs will not be evaluat ed for bidding but need to be approv ed by HAML before mobiliz ation ;, Pg. No.31	as the total man-months allocated for K2 Personnel, shall be revised accordingly.		
	(III) Break up of Man Months for K3, K4, K5 & K6 position s ;, Pg. No.31	If the project assignment is limited to a length of 7.5 km, the indicated man months, including the deployment schedule for each Key Personnel, as well as the total man months allocated for K3 to K6 Personnel, shall be revised accordingly.		
269	3.1.3 The scoring criteria	While awarding marks for the number of Eligible Assignments, the Applicant or Key Personnel, as the case may be, that has undertaken the highest number of Eligible	We request you to kindly consider the capping already provided in the tender for awarding the maximum score to the Applicant and/or Key Personnel, in order to	Please see Sl. No. 25 & Sl. No. 28 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	to be used for evaluation shall be as follows. Pg. No.61	Assignments shall be entitled to the maximum score for the respective category and all other competing Applicants or respective Key Personnel, as the case may be, shall be entitled to a proportionate score.	ensure a fair and competitive evaluation process.	
270	3.8 Training of Personnel of HAML. Pg. No.91	GC will plan and design the training modules for personnel of HAML in operation, maintenance and repairs of various equipments and plants supplied by various suppliers, at OEM's premises. GC will also plan and design training modules for these personnel in operation, maintenance and repairs of the metro system as a whole and will provide training to them in these areas. The training will have to be arranged before commencement of the trials and will continue upto commissioning of the metro system.	We request to kindly specify the minimum man-days requirement for training of personnel within India, so that the associated costs can be appropriately considered and included in the Financial Proposal.	No change.
271		(iii) for any delay or failure to deploy Key Personnel beyond a 2 month period of the date on which such Key Personnel is required to be present, the Authority shall be entitled to take suitable remedial actions or to terminate this Agreement, for an GC Event of Default and appropriate the Performance Security.		No change.
272	4.9 Non deployment of Personnel, Pg. No.144	(iii) for any delay or failure to deploy Key Personnel beyond a 2-month period of the date on which such Key Personnel is required to be present, the Authority shall be entitled to take suitable remedial actions or to terminate this Agreement, for an GC Event of Default and appropriate	Key Personnel beyond a 2-month period of the date on which such Key Personnel is required to be present, the Authority shall be entitled to take suitable remedial actions or to terminate this Agreement, for an GC Event of Default and appropriate the Performance Security. The notice period for each firm	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE "AUTHORITY") TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant's Query	Response of the Authority
		the Performance Security.	varies and in certain cases, this may result in unavoidable delays in the deployment of some Key Personnel.  Hence, request to kindly consider relaxing the provision related to termination of the Agreement on account of delay in deployment of Key Personnel.	
273	Clause 1.1.1/P 1	Authority may include additional corridors within the scope of assignment subject to GoI approval.	Request HAML to clarify whether the financial proposal and quoted rates shall remain fixed for additional corridors or whether revised commercial negotiations will be permitted based on additional scope and revised deployment.	No change.
274	Clause 1.2 (ii)/P2	Executive Summary of DPR will be provided at HAML office only.	Request HAML to provide soft copy of DPR Executive Summary and available survey/reference data through e-procurement portal for better bid preparation.	Please visit HAML office.
275	Clause 2.1.1/P 8	Consortium limited to 3 firms and non-substantial members (<26%) not considered for evaluation.	Request clarification whether specialist sub-consultants for niche systems expertise can be engaged outside consortium structure without affecting eligibility evaluation.	No change.
276	Clause 2.2.1/1 7	The Key Personnel, with estimated Man Months of 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RfP, to be offered in the Proposal shall be full time permanent employees of the Applicant or its Associates.	There are SIX Key personnel positions to be evaluated whose MMs are >12 months. In view of the above and shortage of specialized metro expertise availability in the market . request to revise this clause as " HAML will permit long-term retained experts/contractual experts with exclusive commitment letters "	Please see Sl. No. 2 above.
277	Clause 2.2.2 (D)/18	Requirement of full-time permanent employees for key personnel with man-months of 12 or more.	Request HAML to permit long-term retained experts/contractual experts with exclusive commitment letters, considering specialized metro expertise availability.	Please see Sl. No. 2 above.
278	Clause 1.8/6	Proposal submission period is relatively short considering project complexity.	Request extension of Proposal Due Date by at least FOUR(04) weeks from issuance of pre-bid clarifications.	Please see Sl. No. 5 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
279	Clause 1.9/7	Site visit and inspection of data available only at HAML office.	Request HAML to provide digital access to available reports, utility drawings, and traffic data to all bidders.	Please visit HAML office.
280	General		We request the Authority to allow Indian subsidiary companies to utilize the technical and financial credentials of their Parent/Holding Company, provided the Parent/Holding Company holds more than 90% equity stake in the subsidiary company.	No change.
281	3. Criteria for evaluation (Page 63 of pdf)	Relevant Experience of the Applicant - 30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm.	We respectfully request the Authority to consider the cumulative length of eligible metro corridors/projects executed instead of limiting the evaluation to the number of projects only, as certain assignments involve significantly larger metro network lengths exceeding 50 km under a single project.	Please see Sl. No. 25 above.
282	3. CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION 3.1.3 Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel (Page no 64 of pdf)	Total 70 marks - 30% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments as per the scoring criteria mentioned in the clause) (as specified below) the respective Key Personnel has worked on.  And Team Leader allotted 11 marks with specific requirement.	The criteria for evaluation of Key Personnel appears to be open to varied interpretations. We request the Authority to kindly provide a detailed and unambiguous CV evaluation methodology, including the exact scoring criteria for eligible assignments, marks distribution, and parameters for assessment of each Key Personnel category.	Please see Sl. No. 25 & Sl. No. 28 above.
283	Introdu	Quality cum Cost Based Selection (QCBS) will be the criteria where technical	Considering the technical complexity and specialized nature of the assignment, we	3.4.1 Proposals will finally be ranked according to their combined technical (S <sub>T</sub> )

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	ction - 1.6 Brief description of the Selection Process (Page no 13 of pdf)	and financial scores shall be assigned respective weights of 70:30.	request the Authority to consider revising the QCBS ratio from 70:30 to 80:20, thereby assigning higher weightage to technical evaluation.	and financial ( $S_F$ ) scores as follows: $S = S_T \times T_w + S_F \times F_w$ Where S is the combined score, and $T_w$ and $F_w$ are weights assigned to Technical Proposal and Financial Proposal that shall be <u>0.780</u> and <u>0.320</u> respectively.  1.6 ...Quality cum Cost Based Selection (QCBS) will be the criteria where technical and financial scores shall be assigned respective weights of <u>780:320</u> . The entire procedure for selection of the successful Applicant under this RfP, hereinafter referred to as the “ <b>Selection Process</b> ”.
284	ITC – 2.2.2 – B - Financial Capacity (Page no 25 of pdf)	Each member of a Joint Venture / Consortium must have minimum average annual turnover of Rs 55 crore for consecutive 5(five) financial years ending in March 2026.	Since FY 2025-26 has recently concluded and audited financial statements for the same may not yet be available for all bidders, we request the Authority to consider average annual turnover for the consecutive 5 financial years ending March 2025 instead of March 2026.	No change.
285	ITC - 2.14 Technical Proposal - 2.14.3 (Page no 50 of pdf)	Failure to comply with the requirements spelt out in this Clause 2.14 shall make the Proposal liable to be rejected and the Authority shall be entitled to forfeit the Bid Security.	Considering the nature of the requirement under Clause 2.14 pertains to technical proposal compliance, forfeiture of Bid Security appears to be disproportionate. We request the Authority to kindly reconsider deletion/modification of the Bid Security forfeiture provision and consider alternative measures, if deemed necessary.	No change.
286	2.2 Conditions of Eligibility of	Break up of Man Months for K3, K4, K5 & K6 positions - The total Man Months proposed to be deployed for K3, K4, K5 & K6 positions are 1,044 Man Months.	The RFP specifies deployment of 1,044 Man-Months for Key Positions K3, K4, K5 & K6, while also requiring a minimum of 2,088 Man-Months of Support Personnel. We request the Authority to kindly clarify the	Please see Sl. No. 6 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	Applicants (Page no 39 of pdf) ITC - 2.14 Technical Proposal - 2.14.6 (Page no 50/51 of pdf)	The GC shall be required to RfP for Appointment of GC provide a minimum of 2,088 Man Months of Support Personnel.	exact Man-Month requirement to be considered for financial proposal preparation and evaluation purposes.	
287	2.25 Substitution of Key Personnel (Page no 60 of pdf) Schedule – 2, 7.1 Liquidated Damages (Page no 156 of pdf)		We kindly request the Authority to consider incorporating an aggregate cap on total penalties, deductions, liquidated damages, and delay damages under the Contract, limited to a maximum of 10% of the total Contract Value.	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
288	NIT - Date & Time of submission of Tender	Online submission up till 15.00 Hrs. on Dt. 05/06/2026	In order to enable bidders to adequately review the pre-bid clarifications/corrigendum and prepare a comprehensive proposal, we respectfully request the Authority to provide a minimum period of 4 weeks between issuance of pre-bid responses/corrigendum and the bid submission deadline.	Please see Sl. No. 5 above.
289	1.9 Preproposal visit to the site (Page no 15)		To facilitate detailed project understanding, site assessment, and accurate proposal preparation, we request the Authority to kindly provide the project alignment KMZ/CAD files and other available survey/reference drawings.	Please visit HAML office.
290		General Estimated Consultancy Cost:	It is requested to provide the estimated consultancy cost for better understanding of the project magnitude and preparation of the proposal.	No change.
291	Page No. 47, Clause No. 2.20	Bid Security 2.20.1 2.20 Bid Security 2.20.1 The Applicant shall furnish as part of its Proposal, a Bid Security of Rs.50,00,000 (Rupees Fifty Lakh only) in the form of a Demand Draft /bank guarantee issued by one of the Nationalised/ Scheduled Banks in India (in the form prescribed in Annexure A) or in the form of ‘Insurance Surety Bond’ as per IRDAI norms in favour of the Managing Director, Hyderabad Airport Metro Limited, Metro Rail Bhavan, Begumpet, Hyderabad-500003, Telangana payable at Hyderabad (“Bid Security”), .....	It is requested to kindly consider acceptance of Bid Security in the form of Insurance Surety Bond issued as per applicable IRDAI norms, in addition to Demand Draft / Bank Guarantee. Acceptance of Surety Bond would facilitate ease of participation, reduce financial burden on bidders and align the tender provisions with prevailing Government procurement practices.	Please see Sl. No. 67 above.
292	Page No.55 Clause-3. CRITE	30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded	We understand from the Technical Capacity criteria that the Applicant may qualify the minimum eligibility requirement through one (1), two (2), or three (3) Similar Works. Further, as per the evaluation criteria, a	Please see Sl. No. 25 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	RIA FOR EVALUATION, 3.1 Evaluation of Technical Proposals	for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and (ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector.	maximum of six (6) Eligible Assignments shall be considered for award of marks. Accordingly, it may please be confirmed that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If eligibility is achieved through 1 project, additional 3 projects may be submitted (Total 4);</li> <li>• If eligibility is achieved through 2 projects, additional 3 projects may be submitted (Total 5);</li> <li>• If eligibility is achieved through 3 projects, additional 3 projects may be submitted (Total 6)</li> </ul> Kindly Clarify.	
293	EVALUATION, 3.1 Evaluation of Technical Proposals	30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and (ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector.	Similar work means “General Consultancy (GC) / Independent Engineer (IE) / Project Management Consultancy (PMC) covering the scope of services similar to works pertaining to Civil & System works of Metro Rail based urban mass rapid transit system / Railway System (i.e., “rail based urban mass rapid transit system & “Railway System projects”).... during last 10 (ten) years.” We understand that either underground or elevated alignment is Considered, subject to meeting the required scope of work and value criteria. Kidnly clarify.	No change.  Please see Sl. No. 25 above.
294	Page no 8, Cluase no. A General , 2.1 Scope of Proposal, 2. INSTR UCTIO	The members having less than 26% participation will be termed as nonsubstantial member and will not be considered for technical and financial evaluation. All members of the Consortium shall have working experience in GC / IE / PMC of Metro Rail / Railway system projects. A non Indian bidder registered in India for last 5 years is permitted to tender as a sole entity or a joint venture or consortium arrangement with Indian Consultant or their wholly	It is requested to kindly clarify whether the requirement of working experience in GC / IE / PMC of Metro Rail / Railway System Projects is applicable only to the Substantial Member(s) of the Consortium, and whether such project-related experience is not mandatory for Non-Substantial Members. Further, if this clause is mandatory, we request you to consider relaxing/removing the minimum experience requirement for the non-substantial partner, since the credentials, technical capability, and financial	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	NS TO APPLICANTS :	owned Indian subsidiary registered in India under Companies Act.	strength of such a partner are not being considered in the evaluation process. The same has been considered in similar Metro project (attached for your reference) For your kind consideration.	
295	Page no 17, Clause no 2.2.2, (B) Financial Capacity:	Minimum average annual turnover of the Applicant shall be INR 200 crore or more for consecutive 5 (five) financial years ending in March 2026. Minimum average networth consecutive 5 (five) financial years shall be more than Rs 25 crore. Each member of a Joint Venture / Consortium must have minimum average annual turnover of Rs 55 crore for consecutive 5(five) financial years ending in March 2026.	It is requested to kindly consider the minimum turnover requirement proportionate to the participation share of each Consortium Member instead of a fixed requirement for all members. As Non-Substantial Members have lower participation and their credentials are not evaluated, a proportionate turnover criterion would encourage wider participation without affecting the overall financial capability of the Applicant.	No change.
296	Page No 61, 3. CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION a) Technical Capacity:	In the above mentioned projects, at least one Similar Work of value INR 45 Crore or more should have been successfully completed by the Applicant in India.	In view of encouraging wider participation of experienced international Metro Rail consultancy firms, it is requested to consider Ongoing Similar Works of requisite value and comparable scope/complexity may also be considered to meet this criteria, subject to satisfactory performance certification from the Client. The above consideration would enable participation of globally experienced firms having extensive Metro Rail consultancy experience of similar nature and complexity. Kindly consider.	No change.
297	Page No. 62	b) "Similar Works" means, "General Consultancy (GC) / Independent Engineer (IE) / Project Management Consultancy (PMC) covering the scope of services similar to those required to be rendered under this RfP and works pertaining to Civil & System works of Metro Rail based urban mass rapid transit system / Railway System (i.e., "rail based urban mass rapid	We understand that "Similar Works" includes "Railway System projects" along with "rail based urban mass rapid transit system" projects. Accordingly, it is requested to confirm whether Railway System projects, including High Speed Rail / Semi High Speed Rail projects of similar scope and complexity, shall also be considered eligible towards technical qualification and evaluation under	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		transit system”) involving the work of project management and supervision including contract management, design checking, construction management, inspection, safety and quality, testing and commissioning during last 10 (ten) years ending in March 2026.”	the subject RfP. Further, it is understood that Similar Works pertaining to at-grade, elevated and underground Metro Rail / Railway System projects involving Civil & Systems works under GC / PMC / IE consultancy scope shall also be considered eligible under the subject RfP.	
298	Page No 8, Clause no. 2. INSTR UCTIO NS TO APPLIC ANTS	A. GENERAL 2.1 Scope of Proposal Non-Indian bidder registered in India for last 5 years is permitted to tender as a sole entity or a joint venture or consortium arrangement with Indian Consultant or their wholly owned Indian subsidiary registered in India under Companies Act.	We understand that foreign companies through their wholly owned Indian subsidiary registered in India under the Companies Act are permitted to participate in the tender. Accordingly, a wholly owned subsidiary company registered in India for the last 5 years may participate in the tender based on the Technical and Financial credentials of its Parent / Holding Company. Further, kindly confirm that the requirement of registration in India for the last 5 years shall be applicable to the Indian subsidiary entity only and not mandatory for the Parent / Holding Company.	No change.
299	Page no 17, clause no 2. INSTR UCTIO NS TO APPLIC ANTS	The Key Personnel, with estimated Man Months of 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RfP, to be offered in the Proposal shall be full time permanent employees of the Applicant or its Associates. Where the Applicant is a consortium, the Applicant may also offer the Key Personnel of its other consortium members (but not the Associates of such other consortium members) provided such Key Personnel, whose estimated Man Months are 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RfP, are full time permanent employees of such consortium member(s).	It is requested to kindly reconsider and remove the requirement that Key Personnel having estimated Man-Months of 12 or more must be full-time permanent employees of the Applicant/Consortium Member. Considering the complexity and specialized nature of the project, it is requested that the Applicant may also be permitted to propose experienced consultants/pool experts in addition to permanent employees of the Applicant/Consortium Members, subject to submission of valid consent and availability undertaking. Further, It is requested to kindly permit Key Experts to provide consent to multiple bidders instead of exclusive consent, considering the complexity and specialized nature of the project requirements.	Please see Sl. No. 2 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
300	RFP, Clause 3.1 - Evaluation of Technical Proposals, Sub Clause 3.1.4 - Eligible Assignments. Page 17 of 215 of RFP	The Key Personnel, with estimated Man Months of 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RFP, to be offered in the Proposal shall be full time permanent employees of the Applicant or its Associates. Where the Applicant is a consortium, the Applicant may also offer the Key Personnel of its other consortium members (but not the Associates of such other consortium members) provided such Key Personnel, whose estimated Man Months are 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RFP, are full time permanent employees of such consortium member(s).	<p>As per the RFP, the Consultant is asked to have the Key Personnel whose input is 12 or more to full time employee of applicant or it’s consortium.</p> <p>Further, Key Personnel Profile being the topmost would adhere to the negative cashflow to the consultant before the starting of the Project.</p> <p>We kindly request the competent authority to give the relaxation/modification to the referred clause as –</p> <p>“The Key Personnel, with estimated Man Months of 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RFP, to be offered in the Proposal shall be made available prior to commencement of the services by the Applicant or its Associates. Where the Applicant is a consortium, the Applicant may also offer the Key Personnel of its other consortium members (but not the Associates of such other consortium members) provided such Key Personnel, whose estimated Man Months are 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RFP, are made available prior to commencement of the services by the respective consortium member(s)”.</p>	Please see Sl. No. 2 above.
301	RFP, clause 3 Criteria for Evaluation, Sub Clause 3.1.4 -	<p>Technical Capacity: The Applicant shall have, over the past 10 (ten) years preceding the Project Due Date ending March 2026, completed eligible assignments as below:</p> <p>At least one “similar work” of value INR 100 crore or more</p> <p>or</p> <p>At least two “similar works” each of value</p>	<p>As per the RFP, assignments considered under “Similar Work” are required to satisfy the prescribed scope and qualification criteria, including the requirement that at least one similar assignment should have a quantifiable consultancy value of ₹45 Crores.</p> <p><b>In regard of wider participation of experienced and competent consultants while maintaining the overall quality and</b></p>	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	Eligible Assignments, a) Technical Capacity, Page 61 of 215 of RFP	<p>INR 60 crore or more or At least three “similar works” each of value INR 45 crore or more.</p> <p>In the above-mentioned projects, at least one Similar Work of value INR 45 Crore or more should have been successfully completed by the applicant in India.</p>	<p><b>competitiveness of the bidding process.</b></p> <p>We request the Competent Authority to kindly consider relaxation/modification of the aforesaid qualifying criterion by reducing the minimum quantifiable value requirement from ₹45 Crores to ₹40 Crores.</p>	
302	RFP, Clause 3 – Criteria for Evaluation, Sub Clause 3.1.4 – Eligible Assignments, b) “Similar Works”, Page 62 of 215 of RFP	<p>“Similar Works” means, “General Consultancy (GC) / Independent Engineer (IE) / Project Management Consultancy (PMC) covering the scope of services similar to those required to be rendered under this RFP and works pertaining to Civil &amp; System works of Metro Rail based urban mass rapid transit system / Railway System (i.e., “rail based urban mass rapid transit system”) involving the work of project management and supervision including contract management, design checking, construction management, inspection, safety and quality, testing and commissioning during last 10 (ten) years ending in March 2026</p>	<p>As per the RFP, the definition of similar work given as Page 61 of 215 of RFP “General Consultancy (GC) / Independent Engineer (IE) / Project Management Consultancy (PMC) covering the scope of services similar to those required to be rendered under this RFP and works pertaining to Civil &amp; System works of Metro Rail based urban mass rapid transit system / Railway System (i.e., “rail based urban mass rapid transit system”) involving the work of project management and supervision including contract management, design checking, construction management, inspection, safety and quality, testing and commissioning during last 10 (ten) years ending in March 2026”</p> <p>In view of facilitating broader participation from capable and technically proficient consulting organizations</p> <p>In regard of wider participation of experienced and competent consultants while maintaining the overall quality and competitiveness of the bidding process.</p> <p>We request the Competent Authority to</p>	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
			kindly consider relaxation/modification of the aforesaid qualifying criterion by “General Consultancy (GC) / Independent Engineer (IE) / Project Management Consultancy (PMC) covering the scope of services similar to those required to be rendered under this RFP and works pertaining to Civil & System works/Electrical works consisting of E & M works and System Works of Metro Rail based urban mass rapid transit system / Railway System (i.e., “rail based urban mass rapid transit system”) involving the work of project management and supervision including contract management, design checking, construction management, inspection, safety and quality, testing and commissioning during last 10 (ten) years ending in March 2026”.	
303		Key Personnel Criteria	Please wave off penalty for replacement of staff when resigned, when alternative competent personal proposed	No change.
304		Key Personnel Criteria	Please allow to replace CVs if the persons are not available by that time for 3 to 6 months deployment staff, if the deployment requirement crosses 90 days	No change.
305		Form 10	Please consider substantially completed projects as Eligible Assignments	Please see Sl. No. 26 above.
306		Office Space	Kindly confirm whether office accommodation for the GC team shall be provided by the Client during the project period	No change.
307	1.3 Due diligence by Applicants RFP PDF	The Applicants are also advised to fully inform themselves of the terms of Manual of Specifications and Standards(MSS) (a copy of which is available for the Applicants to collect at HAML office), the provisions of the Metro Railways (Construction of Works) Act, 1978 and the	We request the Authority to kindly provide a copy of the Manual of Specifications and Standards (MSS) document for reference.	Please visit HAML office.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	Page No. 13	Metro Railways (Operation and Maintenance) Act, 2002 and all other applicable laws, including applicable taxation regulations prior to submitting their Proposals.		
308	2.1 Scope of Proposal RFP PDF Page No. 16	2.1.1 A non-Indian bidder registered in India for last 5 years is permitted to tender as a sole entity or a joint venture or consortium arrangement with Indian Consultant or their wholly owned Indian subsidiary registered in India under Companies Act.	We request the Authority to kindly include Indian Branch Offices of foreign entities under the eligibility criteria for non-Indian bidders.	No change.
309	2.2 Conditions of Eligibility of Applicants RFP PDF Page No. 25	2.2.1 In case the Applicant is satisfying the said Conditions of Eligibility along with its Associate(s), it shall furnish a certificate in format prescribed in Form 14 of Appendix- I. The Key Personnel, with estimated Man Months of 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RfP, to be offered in the Proposal shall be full time permanent employees of the Applicant or its Associates. Where the Applicant is a consortium, the Applicant may also offer the Key Personnel of its other consortium members (but not the Associates of such other consortium members) provided such Key Personnel, whose estimated Man Months are 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RfP, are full time permanent employees of such consortium member(s).	We request the Authority to please add that the Key Personnel, with estimated man-months of 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2(D) of this RFP, proposed in the bid, need not necessarily be full time employees of the applicant. Alternatively, the Key Personnel may provide an undertaking confirming that he/she will join the applicant upon award of the LOA.	Please see Sl. No. 2 above.
310	2.14 Technical Proposal RFP PDF	2.14.6 In case any Key Personnel proposed by the Applicant in its Proposal is an employee of the Associate of the Applicant or of the consortium member, then such Associate or the consortium member, as the case may be, shall furnish	We request the Authority to kindly clarify that Form 16 shall be applicable for Key Personnel who are on the payroll of the Applicant/ Associate/Consortium Member, whereas Form 17 shall be applicable for Key Personnel who are not on the payroll at the	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	Page No. 51	an Undertaking - 1 in relation to such employee in the format specified in Form 16 of Appendix I Thereof. In case any Key Personnel proposed by the Applicant in its Proposal is not an employee of the Applicant or its Associate or the consortium member, as the case may be, then the Applicant shall furnish an Undertaking - 2 in relation to such Key Personnel in the format specified in Form 17 of Appendix I hereof.	time of bid submission.	
311	2.14 Technical Proposal RFP PDF Page No. 51	2.14.6 For the purpose of Financial Proposal, Applicant shall include an estimated 12 (twelve) technical visits of two representatives of the Authority each time for interaction and exposure distributed between Europe and Asia during the entire Assignment Period (minimum 175 man days). The cost of travel, boarding and lodging in this connection will have to be borne by GC.	We request the Authority to kindly clarify the detailed heads/items of expenses that shall be borne by the General Consultant (GC) for the purpose of preparation of the Financial Proposal. This clarification will help bidders in estimating the cost accurately and submitting a realistic financial proposal.	No change.
312	2.2 Conditions of Eligibility of Applicants RFP PDF Page No. 25, 2.14 Technical Proposal RFP PDF	Clause 2.2.1 – The Key Personnel, with estimated Man Months of 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RfP, to be offered in the Proposal shall be full time permanent employees of the Applicant or its Associates. Where the Applicant is a consortium, the Applicant may also offer the Key Personnel of its other consortium members (but not the Associates of such other consortium members) provided such Key Personnel, whose estimated Man Months are 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RfP, are full time permanent employees of such consortium member(s). Clause 2.14.6- In case any Key Personnel proposed by the Applicant in its Proposal is an employee of the	It is observed that as per Clause 2.2.1 Key Personnel having 12 or more man months (as referred under Clause 2.2.2(D)) are required to be full-time permanent employees of the Applicant/Associate/Consortium Member. However, Clause 2.14.6 permits submission of an Undertaking through Form 17 in cases where the proposed Key Personnel is not an employee of these entities, which appears to be contradictory. Therefore, we kindly request the Authority to clarify whether Key Personnel having 12 or more man months are mandatorily required to be on the permanent payroll of the Applicant/ Associate/ Consortium Member, or whether such personnel may also be engaged	Please see Sl. No. 2 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority								
	Page No. 51	Associate of the Applicant or of the consortium member, then such Associate or the consortium member, as the case may be, shall furnish an Undertaking - 1 in relation to such employee in the format specified in Form 16 of Appendix I hereof. In case any Key Personnel proposed by the Applicant in its Proposal is not an employee of the Applicant or its Associate or the consortium member, as the case may be, then the Applicant shall furnish an Undertaking - 2 in relation to such Key Personnel in the format specified in Form 17 of Appendix I hereof.	externally (outsourced) and proposed through an Undertaking in accordance with Form 17.									
313	3.1 Evaluation of Technical Proposals RFP PDF Page No. 63	<p>3.1.3 The scoring criteria to be used for evaluation shall be as follows.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Item Code</th> <th>Parameter</th> <th>Max. Marks</th> <th>Criteria</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>Relevant Experience of the Applicant</td> <td>10</td> <td>30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and (ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Item Code	Parameter	Max. Marks	Criteria	1.	Relevant Experience of the Applicant	10	30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and (ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector.	<p>With reference to the evaluation criteria for “Relevant Experience of Bidder” carrying 10 marks, we kindly request the Authority to clarify the methodology for distribution of the 70% marks between the two specified categories, for better understanding and uniform interpretation by all bidders.</p> <p>Further, we request the Authority to clarify the types/nature of projects that shall be considered under “other similar work” in the Metro Rail sector for the purpose of evaluation.</p>	Please see Sl. No. 25 above.
Item Code	Parameter	Max. Marks	Criteria									
1.	Relevant Experience of the Applicant	10	30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and (ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector.									

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority												
314	3.1 Evaluati on of Technic al Propos als RFP PDF Page No. 63	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel</td> <td>70</td> <td>30% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments as per the scoring criteria mentioned in the clause) (as specified below) the respective Key Personnel has worked on. The remaining</td> </tr> </table> <p align="center">55</p> <hr/> <p align="center">RfP for Appointment of GC</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Item Code</th> <th>Parameter</th> <th>Max. Marks</th> <th>Criteria</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments undertaken by the Key Personnel; and (ii) other similar work in Metro Rail sectors. The criteria for scoring are given below:</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	3.	Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel	70	30% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments as per the scoring criteria mentioned in the clause) (as specified below) the respective Key Personnel has worked on. The remaining	Item Code	Parameter	Max. Marks	Criteria				70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments undertaken by the Key Personnel; and (ii) other similar work in Metro Rail sectors. The criteria for scoring are given below:	<p>Since the project of Metro/Rail-based eligible assignments are generally of long tenure, it becomes difficult for Key Personnel to have experience in six such projects to obtain full marks under the evaluation criteria.</p> <p>Therefore, we kindly request the Authority to reconsider/relax the criteria for awarding full marks against the number of eligible assignments for Key Personnel.</p> <p>Further, we kindly request the Authority to clarify the methodology for distribution of these marks between the two specified categories, for better understanding and uniform interpretation by all bidders.</p>	Please see Sl. No. 28 above.
3.	Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel	70	30% of the maximum marks for each Key Personnel shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments as per the scoring criteria mentioned in the clause) (as specified below) the respective Key Personnel has worked on. The remaining													
Item Code	Parameter	Max. Marks	Criteria													
			70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments undertaken by the Key Personnel; and (ii) other similar work in Metro Rail sectors. The criteria for scoring are given below:													
315	Page no 8 2.1 Scope of Proposal	2.1.1 The members having less than 26% participation will be termed as non-substantial member and will not be considered for technical and financial evaluation. All members of the Consortium shall have working experience in GC / IE / PMC of Metro Rail / Railway system projects.	<p>We understand that members having less than 26% participation will be termed as non substantial member and will not be considered for technical and financial evaluation.</p> <p>So, we requesting to omit the requirement of having minimum average annual turnover of Rs 55 crore from Each member of a Joint Venture / Consortium.</p>	No change.												
316	Page no 17 2.2 Condi tions of Eligibilit y of Applica nts	2.2.2 (B) Financial Capacity: Minimum average annual turnover of the Applicant shall be INR 200 crore or more for consecutive 5 (five) financial years ending in March 2026. Minimum average net worth consecutive 5 (five) financial years shall be more than Rs 25 crore. Each member of a Joint Venture / Consortium must have minimum average annual turnover of Rs 55 crore for consecutive 5 (five) financial years ending in March 2026.	<p>OR</p> <p>Consider the minimum turnover requirement proportionate to the participation share of each Consortium Member instead of a fixed requirement for all members.</p> <p>As Non-Substantial Members have lower participation and their credentials are not evaluated, a proportionate turnover criterion would encourage wider participation without affecting the overall financial capability of the Applicant.</p>	No change.												

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
			For your kind consideration.	
317	INTRO DUCTI ON; Clause 1.1.1; NB: Page 1 of the RFP document	The Authority may include additional corridors within the scope of this assignment, subject to approval by the Government of India (GoI). In such cases the payments shall be adjusted accordingly based on the revised man-month requirements and the deployment schedule of the required Key and Professional Personnel, as determined by project needs.	We understand that there will be no separate rates provided by consultants for additional corridors The proposed rates provided for Corridor VI MGBS to Chandryangutta, Hyderabad will be considered for the upcoming additional corridors. Please clarify if our understanding is correct or not.	No change.
318	INTRO DUCTI ON; Clause 1.2, Point X; Page 3 of RFP document	GC will plan for O&M, frame Operation and Maintenance Manuals and advise necessary steps to be taken to get the O&M organization in place at the appropriate time including training of O&M staff of HAML during the construction, testing and commissioning stage.	We request the client to please clarify whether there is any separate O&M organization, or it is the staff of HAML. Please Clarify	No change.
319	INTRO DUCTI ON; Clause 1.2, Point XX; Page 4 of RFP document	GC shall provide complete assistance for Rolling Stock (60 cars / 10 train sets for Phase-I of Hyderabad Metro Rail Project) procurement and commissioning.	We request the client to please elaborate what does complete assistance means, related to Rolling Stock of Phase-1. Please Clarify	No change.
320	Introduction; Clause 1.6, Pag	All the Technical Proposals so received shall be opened and pursuant to the evaluation thereof, the Authority shall shortlist 3 (three) Applicants, on the basis	We request the client to consider the following and modify the clause as follows. All the Technical Proposals so received shall be opened and pursuant to the evaluation	No change.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	e 5 of RfP document	of their technical scores.	thereof, the Authority shall shortlist 6 (SIX) Applicants, on the basis of their technical scores. Kindly Consider	
321	Instructions to Applicants Clause 2.2.1; Page 17 of RFP document	Conditions of Eligibility of Applicants Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RfP, to be offered in the Proposal shall be full time permanent employees of the Applicant or its Associates.	We request the client to consider the following and modify the clause as follows. Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RfP, to be offered in the Proposal shall be providing an undertaking to Join the bid once the bid is awarded to the Applicant.  Kindly Consider.	Please see Sl. No. 2 above.
322	Instructions to Applicants Clause 2.2.2; Point B, Page 17 of RFP document	Financial Capacity: Minimum average annual turnover of the Applicant shall be INR 200 crore or more for consecutive 5 (five) financial years ending in March 2026. Minimum average net worth consecutive 5 (five) financial years shall be more than Rs 25 crore. Each member of a Joint Venture / Consortium must have minimum average annual turnover of Rs 55 crore for consecutive 5(five) financial years ending in March 2026.	We request the client to consider and modify the clause as follows:  Financial Capacity: Minimum average annual turnover of the Applicant shall be INR 200 crore or more for consecutive 5 (five) financial years ending in March 2026. Minimum average net worth consecutive 5 (five) financial years shall be more than Rs 25 crore. Each member of a Joint Venture / Consortium must have minimum average annual turnover of Rs 50 crore for consecutive 5(five) financial years ending in March 2026.  Kindly Consider.	No change.
323	Instructions to Applicants Clause 2.14.6; Page	All the Key Personnel proposed by the Applicant in its Proposal, with estimated Man Months of 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RfP, shall be full time permanent employees of the Applicant, its Associate or the other consortium member(s), as the case may be.	We request the client to consider and modify the clause as follows: All the Key Personnel proposed by the Applicant in its Proposal, with estimated Man Months of 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RfP, shall provide an undertaking that he/she will join the bid once it is awarded	Please see Sl. No. 2 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
	43 of RFP document		to the applicant. Kindly Consider.	
324	Instructions to Applicants Clause 2.25.2 (i); Page 52 of RFP document	As a condition to such substitution, a sum equal to 10% (ten per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel (K1) for the first three months from the date of such substitution of Key Personnel shall be deducted from the payments due to the General Consultant. In the case of a second substitution hereunder, such deduction shall be 20% (twenty per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel (K1) for the first three months from the date of such substitution. Any further substitution may lead to disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement.	We request the client to consider and modify the clause as follows: Authority. As a condition to such substitution, a sum equal to 05% (five per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel (K1) for the first three months from the date of such substitution of Key Personnel shall be deducted from the payments due to the General Consultant. In the case of a second substitution hereunder, such deduction shall be 10% (ten per cent) of the remuneration specified for the original Key Personnel (K1) for the first three months from the date of such substitution.  Kindly Consider.	No change.
325	Terms of Reference Clause 3.5.2 Point 3 Page 89 of RFP document	During the maintenance period after the completion, the GC shall provide adequate and relevant staff to undertake necessary follow-up and monitoring and reporting to HAML on completeness of all works, proper execution of works of all parties and any components of works to be checked up and maintained according to construction/supply contracts.	We request the client to specify the exact period/duration of such staff who will be engaged to the services. This would help the consultant in assessing the cost of such personnel in their financial bid. Please clarify.	No change.
326	Page 61	3.1.4-Eligible Assignments For the purposes of determining Conditions of Eligibility and for evaluating the Proposals under this RfP, the following projects shall be deemed as eligible assignments (the “Eligible Assignments”): a) Technical	We request you to kindly revise the clause to include substantially completed projects, i.e., where more than 80% of the work has been completed and at least one stretch has been successfully completed and operational, in addition to fully completed projects. Kindly	Please see Sl. No. 26 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		<p>Capacity: The Applicant shall have, over the past 10 (ten) years preceding the PDD ending March 2026, completed eligible assignments as below            At least one “similar work” of value INR 100 crore or more            or            • At least two “similar works” each of value INR 60 crore or more            or • At least three “similar works” each of value INR 45 crore or more.            In the above mentioned projects, at least one Similar Work of value INR 45 Crore or more should have been successfully completed by the Applicant in India.</p>	<p>consider and confirm.</p>	
327	Page 62	<p>“Similar Works” means, “General Consultancy (GC) / Independent Engineer (IE) / Project Management Consultancy (PMC) covering the scope of services similar to those required to be rendered under this RfP and works pertaining to Civil &amp; System works of Metro Rail based urban mass rapid transit system / Railway System (i.e., “rail based urban mass rapid transit system”) involving the work of project management and supervision including contract management, design checking, construction management, inspection, safety and quality, testing and commissioning during last 10 (ten) years ending in March 2026.”</p>	<p>We request you to kindly consider High Speed / Semi High-Speed Rail Projects and Railway projects along with Metro Rail based urban mass rapid transit system / Railway System (i.e., “rail based urban mass rapid transit system) projects for evaluation purposes. Kindly confirm.</p>	<p>No change.</p>

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority								
328	Page 55	<p>3.1.3: The scoring criteria to be used for evaluation shall be as follows</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Item Code</th> <th>Parameter</th> <th>Max. Marks</th> <th>Criteria</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>Relevant Experience of the Applicant</td> <td>10</td> <td>30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and (ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Item Code	Parameter	Max. Marks	Criteria	1.	Relevant Experience of the Applicant	10	30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and (ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector.	<p>We understand that 30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded based on the number of Eligible Assignments, subject to a maximum of six (6) Eligible Assignments. Further, 70% of the marks shall be awarded if the bidder has experience of undertaking more than six (6) projects.</p> <p>We request you to further clarify the evaluation criteria and relevant experience requirements under this category.</p>	Please see Sl. No. 25 above.
Item Code	Parameter	Max. Marks	Criteria									
1.	Relevant Experience of the Applicant	10	30% of the maximum marks shall be awarded for the number of Eligible Assignments (maximum 6 (six) Eligible Assignments) undertaken by the Applicant firm. The remaining 70% shall be awarded for: (i) the comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments; and (ii) other similar work in the Metro Rail sector.									
329	Page 5	<p>1.6, Selection method</p> <p>Quality cum Cost Based Selection (QCBS) will be the criteria where technical and financial scores shall be assigned respective weights of 70:30. The entire procedure for selection of the successful Applicant under this RfP, hereinafter referred to as the “Selection Process”.</p>	<p>We request you to kindly revise QCBS selection method from 70:30 to 80:20, considering the critical nature and large scale of this infrastructure project. As the project demands high technical expertise, precision, and adherence to stringent quality standards, greater weightage to the technical evaluation would help to ensure quality of deliverables and successful project execution without compromising on the required standards. Please consider and confirm.</p>	<p>3.4.1 Proposals will finally be ranked according to their combined technical (<math>S_T</math>) and financial (<math>S_F</math>) scores as follows:  <math>S = S_T \times T_w + S_F \times F_w</math>            Where S is the combined score, and <math>T_w</math> and <math>F_w</math> are weights assigned to Technical Proposal and Financial Proposal that shall be <u>0.780</u> and <u>0.320</u> respectively.</p> <p>1.6 ...Quality cum Cost Based Selection (QCBS) will be the criteria where technical and financial scores shall be assigned respective weights of <u>780:320</u>. The entire procedure for selection of the successful Applicant under this RfP, hereinafter referred to as the “<b>Selection Process</b>”.</p>								
330	Page 8	<p>2.1.1 The members having less than 26% participation will be termed as non substantial member and will not be considered for technical and financial evaluation. All members of the Consortium shall have working experience in GC / IE / PMC of Metro Rail / Railway system projects. A non-Indian bidder registered in India for last 5 years is permitted to tender as a sole entity or a joint venture or consortium arrangement</p>	<p>We request you to kindly revise the mandatory participation requirement of the Parent Company (26% equity in a Joint Venture) and amend the clause to permit the bidder/wholly owned subsidiary to utilize the technical and financial credentials of its Parent Company, provided that the Parent Company is duly incorporated, registered, and legally operating in India. Kindly consider and confirm.</p>	No change.								

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

Sl. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		with Indian Consultant or their wholly owned Indian subsidiary registered in India under Companies Act. The term applicant (the “Applicant”) means the Sole Firm or the Consortium, as the case may be. The manner in which the Proposal is required to be submitted, evaluated and accepted is explained in this RfP.		
331	Page 47	2.20, 2.20.1: Bid Security The Applicant shall furnish as part of its Proposal, a Bid Security of Rs.50,00,000 (Rupees Fifty Lakh only) in the form of a Demand Draft /bank guarantee issued by one of the Nationalised/ Scheduled Banks in India (in the form prescribed in Annexure A) or in the form of ‘Insurance Surety Bond’ as per IRDAI norms in favour of the Managing Director, Hyderabad Airport Metro Limited, Metro Rail Bhavan, Begumpet, Hyderabad-500003, Telangana payable at Hyderabad (“Bid Security”), returnable not later than 150 days from PDD except in case of the two highest ranked Applicants as required in Clause 2.24.1.	We kindly request you to kindly include a provision in the RFP granting exemption from the Earnest Money Deposit (EMD) for firms registered as MSE (Udyam), in line with similar clauses adopted by other Government PSUs, and allowed EMD exemption for MSE consultants, kindly consider and confirm.	No change.
332	Page 17, 202,	2.2 Conditions of Eligibility of Applicants The Key Personnel, with estimated Man Months of 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RfP, to be offered in the Proposal shall be full time permanent employees of the Applicant or its Associates. Where the Applicant is a consortium, the Applicant may also offer the Key Personnel of its other consortium members (but not the Associates of such other consortium members) provided such Key Personnel, whose estimated Man Months are 12 or more as per Clause 2.2.2 (D) of this RFP, are full time	We request you to kindly reconsider this requirement stipulating that key experts must be full-time permanent employees associated with the firm for 12 months or more. In this regard, we request you to revise the clause and include a provision in the RFP stating that the proposed key expert should either be in house staff or provide an undertaking confirming that he/she will join the project upon award of the contract, and all the key personnel shall be directly employed or engaged by the bidder prior to the commencement of services. Also, consultants shall showcase their in-	Please see Sl. No. 2 above.

**RESPONSE OF HYDERABAD AIRPORT METRO LIMITED (THE “AUTHORITY”) TO QUERIES RECEIVED FROM APPLICANTS ON RfP DOCUMENT AND CONSEQUENTIAL CORRIGENDUM / ADDENDUM NUM 3 FOR APPOINTMENT OF GENERAL CONSULTANT (GC) FOR HYDERABAD METRO PHASE-II IMPLEMENTATION OF COR VI MGBS TO CHANDRAYANGUTTA (7.5 KM; 6 STNS), HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.**

(The referenced provision is to be read in the amended form as set out below. The deletions from the earlier text of the draft circulated are indicated as strikethroughs in red and the additions are underlined in blue in the response of the Authority)

SI. No.	RfP Cl. No.	RfP Clause	Applicant’s Query	Response of the Authority
		permanent employees of such consortium member(s).	house pool of experts in design & supervision (civil, systems, rolling stock, etc) employed with them on full time basis. Please consider.	
333	Page 9, Clause 2.1.4	Key Personnel	Considering the scale of this project, we strongly recommend the position <b>of Deputy Team Leader (Civil - K1)</b> in addition to the given positions. Kindly consider.	No change.
334	Page 18, Clause (I)	Estimated man-months	As it becomes extremely difficult for the bidder to relocate experts from other ongoing projects on staggered basis. Hence, we request you to consider continuous inputs of experts. However, mobilisation of these experts shall be planned to keep the project requirements paramount and also in consultation with the authority. Please consider.	No change.

**Sd/-  
GM(P)**